UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2016

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter) (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code) (Telephone Number) (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

Delaware

Identification No.) 13-3398766

13-3398767

(IRS Employer

767 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4700 New York, NY 10153 (212) 702-4300

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P.

333-118021-01

ICAHN ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS L.P.

Delaware

767 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4700 New York, NY 10153 (212) 702-4300

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Icahn Enterprises L.P. Yes x No o

Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Icahn Enterprises L.P. Yes x No o

Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check One):

<u>Icahn En</u>	terprises L.P.	Icahn Enterpr	ises Holdings L.P.
Large Accelerated Filer x	Accelerated Filer o	Large Accelerated Filer o	Accelerated Filer o
Non-accelerated Filer o	Smaller Reporting Company o	Non-accelerated Filer x	Smaller Reporting Company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Icahn Enterprises L.P. Yes o No x Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. Yes o No x

As of May 5, 2016, there were 134,990,612 of Icahn Enterprises' depositary units outstanding.

(Commission File Number) 1-9516

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. ICAHN ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS L.P.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
	PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements.	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	<u>66</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.	<u>69</u>
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Legal Proceedings.	<u>70</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	<u>70</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	Exhibits.	<u>71</u>

i

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this "Report") is a joint report being filed by Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. Each registrant hereto is filing on its own behalf all of the information contained in this Report that relates to such registrant. Each registrant hereto is not filing any information that does not relate to such registrant, and therefore makes no representation as to any such information.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In millions, except unit amounts)

	Γ	March 31, 2016	D	ecember 31, 2015
ASSETS		(Unaudited)		2010
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,876	\$	2,078
Cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash		751		1,282
Investments		10,026		15,351
Accounts receivable, net		1,839		1,685
Inventories, net		2,896		2,259
Property, plant and equipment, net		10,572		9,535
Goodwill		1,194		1,504
Intangible assets, net		1,212		1,108
Other assets		1,916		1,601
Total Assets	\$	32,282	\$	36,403
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Accounts payable	\$	1,813	\$	1,416
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		2,812		1,828
Deferred tax liability		1,627		1,197
Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value		1,052		794
Due to brokers		2,710		7,317
Post-employment benefit liability		1,230		1,224
Debt		12,530		12,594
Total liabilities		23,774		26,370

Commitments and contingencies (Note 17)

Equity:

Limited partners: Depositary units: 132,166,426 and 131,481,059 units issued and outstanding at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively	2,802	4,244
General partner	(286)	(257)
Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises	2,516	3,987
Equity attributable to non-controlling interests	5,992	6,046
Total equity	8,508	10,033
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 32,282	\$ 36,403

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions, except per unit amounts)

	Three I	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2016		2015	
Revenues:		(Unaudited	i)	
Net sales	\$	3,548 \$	3,565	
Other revenues from operations		446	329	
Net (loss) gain from investment activities		(936)	591	
Interest and dividend income		42	53	
Other income (loss), net		27	(27)	
		3,127	4,511	
Expenses:				
Cost of goods sold		3,123	3,125	
Other expenses from operations		246	155	
Selling, general and administrative		518	477	
Restructuring		15	12	
Impairment		577	1	
Interest expense		241	270	
		4,720	4,040	
(Loss) income before income tax expense		(1,593)	471	
Income tax expense		(16)	(49)	
Net (loss) income		(1,609)	422	
Less: net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling interests		772	(261)	
Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises	\$	(837) \$	161	
Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to:				
Limited partners	\$	(820) \$	158	
General partner	• •	(17)	3	
	\$	(837) \$	161	
Basic (loss) income per LP unit	\$	(6.21) \$	1.28	
Basic weighted average LP units outstanding		132	123	
Diluted (loss) income per LP unit	\$	(6.21) \$	1.27	
	Ψ	132	1.27	
Diluted weighted average LP units outstanding	<u>*</u>			
Cash distributions declared per LP unit	\$	1.50 \$	1.50	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In millions)

	Т	Three Months Ended March 31,		
		2016	2015	
		(Unaud	ited)	
Net (loss) income	\$	(1,609)	\$ 422	
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Post-employment benefits		6	22	
Hedge instruments		—	1	
Translation adjustments and other		47	(128)	
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax		53	(105)	
Comprehensive (loss) income		(1,556)	317	
Less: Comprehensive loss (income) attributable to non-controlling interests		760	(239)	
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises	\$	(796)	\$ 78	
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to:				
Limited partners	\$	(780)	\$ 76	
General partner		(16)	2	
	\$	(796)	\$ 78	

Accumulated other comprehensive loss was \$1,404 million and \$1,457 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (In millions, Unaudited)

	Equity Attributable to Icahn Enterprises				
	General Partner's (Deficit) Equity	Limited Partners' Equity	Total Partners' Equity	Non-controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance, December 31, 2015	(257)	4,244	3,987	6,046	10,033
Net loss	(17)	(820)	(837)	(772)	(1,609)
Other comprehensive income	1	40	41	12	53
Partnership distributions	(4)	(198)	(202)	—	(202)
Partnership contribution	1	—	1	—	1
Investment segment contributions	—	_	—	490	490
Dividends and distributions to non- controlling interests in subsidiaries	_	_	_	(19)	(19)
Acquisitions	(10)	(497)	(507)	250	(257)
LP unit issuance	—	35	35	_	35
Changes in subsidiary equity and other		(2)	(2)	(15)	(17)
Balance, March 31, 2016	\$ (286)	\$ 2,802	\$ 2,516	\$ 5,992	\$ 8,508

	Equity Attributable to Icahn Enterprises				
	General Partner's (Deficit) Equity	Limited Partners' Equity	Total Partners' Equity	Non-controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$ (229)	\$ 5,672	\$ 5,443	\$ 6,947	\$ 12,390
Net income	3	158	161	261	422
Other comprehensive loss	(1)	(82)	(83)	(22)	(105)
Partnership distributions	(4)	(184)	(188)		(188)
Investment segment contributions	—	—	—	245	245
Dividends and distributions to non- controlling interests in subsidiaries	_	_	_	(48)	(48)
Proceeds from subsidiary equity offering	_	_	_	20	20
Changes in subsidiary equity and other		(11)	(11)	10	(1)
Balance, March 31, 2015	\$ (231)	\$ 5,553	\$ 5,322	\$ 7,413	\$ 12,735

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
		2016	2015	
		(Unauc	audited)	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net (loss) income	\$	(1,609)	\$ 422	
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:				
Net loss (gain) from securities transactions		554	(525)	
Purchases of securities		(329)	(283)	
Proceeds from sales of securities		4,988	339	
Purchases to cover securities sold, not yet purchased		(38)	(186)	
Proceeds from securities sold, not yet purchased		246	839	
Changes in receivables and payables relating to securities transactions		(4,928)	(560)	
Depreciation and amortization		234	207	
Impairment		577	1	
Deferred taxes		(8)	5	
Other, net		(19)	(24)	
Changes in cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash		532	(534)	
Changes in other operating assets and liabilities		410	113	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		610	(186)	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Capital expenditures		(210)	(363)	
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired		(952)	(305)	
Net proceeds from business dispositions		_	15	
Net proceeds from the sale and disposition of assets		6	80	
Other, net		(22)	_	
Net cash used in investing activities		(1,178)	(573)	
Cash flows from financing activities:			()	
Capital contributions by non-controlling interests		490	245	
Partnership contribution		1		
Proceeds from offering of subsidiary equity		-	20	
Dividends and distributions to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries		(19)	(48)	
Proceeds from other borrowings		372	1,070	
Repayments of other borrowings		(472)	(572)	
Other, net		(8)	(13)	
Net cash provided by financing activities		364	702	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents			13	
Net change in cash of assets held for sale		(10) 12		
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents			- (44)	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		(202)	(44)	
	¢	2,078	2,912	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	1,876	\$ 2,868	

Supplemental information:

Cash payments for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 226	\$ 200
Net cash payments (refunds) for income taxes	\$ 17	\$ (38)
Distribution payable to Icahn Enterprises unitholders	\$ 202	\$ 188
Investment in Pep Boys prior to acquiring a controlling interest	\$ 160	\$ _
Investment in Trump prior to acquiring a controlling interest	\$ 126	\$ _
LP unit issuance for remaining 25% interest in ARL	\$ 35	\$ _
Investment additions included in accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$ 50	\$ _
Construction in progress additions included in accounts payable	\$ 33	\$ 22

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In millions)

	 March 31, 2016	D	ecember 31, 2015
ASSETS	 (Unaudited)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,876	\$	2,078
Cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash	751		1,282
Investments	10,026		15,351
Accounts receivable, net	1,839		1,685
Inventories, net	2,896		2,259
Property, plant and equipment, net	10,572		9,535
Goodwill	1,194		1,504
Intangible assets, net	1,212		1,108
Other assets	1,940		1,625
Total Assets	\$ 32,306	\$	36,427
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY			
Accounts payable	\$ 1,813	\$	1,416
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	2,812		1,828
Deferred tax liability	1,627		1,197
Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value	1,052		794
Due to brokers	2,710		7,317
Post-employment benefit liability	1,230		1,224
Debt	12,530		12,594
Total liabilities	23,774		26,370
Commitments and contingencies (Note 17)			

Equity:		
Limited partner	2,853	4,310
General partner	(313)	(299)
Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings	2,540	4,011
Equity attributable to non-controlling interests	5,992	6,046
Total equity	8,532	10,057
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 32,306	\$ 36,427

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In millions)

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2016		2015			
Revenues:		(Unaudited)			
Net sales	\$ 3	,548 \$	3,565			
Other revenues from operations		446	329			
Net (loss) gain from investment activities		(936)	591			
Interest and dividend income		42	53			
Other income (loss), net		27	(27)			
	3	,127	4,511			
Expenses:						
Cost of goods sold	3	,123	3,125			
Other expenses from operations		246	155			
Selling, general and administrative		518	477			
Restructuring		15	12			
Impairment		577	1			
Interest expense		241	270			
	4	,720	4,040			
(Loss) income before income tax expense	(1	,593)	471			
Income tax expense		(16)	(49)			
Net (loss) income	(1	,609)	422			
Less: net loss (income) attributable to non-controlling interests		772	(261)			
Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings	\$	(837) \$	161			
Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings allocable to:						
Limited partner	\$	(829) \$	159			
General partner		(8)	2			
	\$	(837) \$	161			

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In millions)

Т	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2016	2015		
	(Unaudited)		
\$	(1,609) \$	422		
	6	22		
	—	1		
	47	(128)		
	53	(105)		
	(1,556)	317		
	760	(239)		
\$	(796) \$	78		
\$	(788) \$	77		
	(8)	1		
\$	(796) \$	78		
	\$ 	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		

Accumulated other comprehensive loss was \$1,404 million and \$1,457 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (In millions, Unaudited)

	Equity Attrib	utable to Icahn Enterpr	ises Holdings		
	General Partner's Equity (Deficit)	Limited Partner's Equity	Total Partners' Equity	Non-controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance, December 31, 2015	(299)	4,310	4,011	6,046	\$ 10,057
Net loss	(8)	(829)	(837)	(772)	(1,609)
Other comprehensive income	—	41	41	12	53
Partnership distributions	(2)	(200)	(202)	—	(202)
Partnership contribution	1	_	1	—	1
Investment segment contributions	—	—	—	490	490
Dividends and distributions to non- controlling interests in subsidiaries	_	_	_	(19)	(19)
Acquisitions	(5)	(502)	(507)	250	(257)
LP unit issuance	—	35	35	—	35
Changes in subsidiary equity and other		(2)	(2)	(15)	(17)
Balance, March 31, 2016	\$ (313)	\$ 2,853	\$ 2,540	\$ 5,992	\$ 8,532

	Equity Attri	butable to Icahn Enterpr	ises Holdings		
	General Partner's Equity (Deficit)	Limited Partner's Equity	Total Partners' Equity	Non-controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance, December 31, 2014	\$ (285)	\$ 5,751	\$ 5,466	\$ 6,947	\$ 12,413
Net income	2	159	161	261	422
Other comprehensive loss	(1)	(82)	(83)	(22)	(105)
Partnership distributions	(2)	(186)	(188)	—	(188)
Investment segment contributions	—	—	—	245	245
Dividends and distributions to non- controlling interests in subsidiaries	_	_	_	(48)	(48)
Proceeds from subsidiary equity offering	_	_	_	20	20
Changes in subsidiary equity and other	—	(11)	(11)	10	(1)
Balance, March 31, 2015	\$ (286)	\$ 5,631	\$ 5,345	\$ 7,413	\$ 12,758

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In millions)

	Three Months Ended March 31				
		2016	2015		
		(Unaudited)		
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net (loss) income	\$	(1,609) \$	422		
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:					
Net loss (gain) from securities transactions		554	(525)		
Purchases of securities		(329)	(283)		
Proceeds from sales of securities		4,988	339		
Purchases to cover securities sold, not yet purchased		(38)	(186)		
Proceeds from securities sold, not yet purchased		246	839		
Changes in receivables and payables relating to securities transactions		(4,928)	(560)		
Depreciation and amortization		234	207		
Impairment		577	1		
Deferred taxes		(8)	5		
Other, net		(19)	(24)		
Changes in cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash		532	(534)		
Changes in other operating assets and liabilities		410	113		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		610	(186)		
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Capital expenditures		(210)	(363)		
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired		(952)	(305)		
Net proceeds from business dispositions		_	15		
Net proceeds from the sale and disposition of assets		6	80		
Other, net		(22)	_		
Net cash used in investing activities		(1,178)	(573)		
Cash flows from financing activities:		· · · ·			
Capital contribution by non-controlling interests		490	245		
Partnership contribution		1	_		
Proceeds from offering of subsidiary equity		_	20		
Distributions to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries		(19)	(48)		
Proceeds from other borrowings		372	1,070		
Repayments of other borrowings		(472)	(572)		
Other, net		(8)	(13)		
Net cash provided by financing activities		364	702		
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		(10)	13		
Net change in cash of assets held for sale		12	_		
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(202)	(44)		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		2,078	2,912		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	1,876 \$	2,868		
cush and each equivalentity, end of period	÷		_,500		



Supplemental information:

Cash payments for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 226	\$ 200
Net cash payments (refunds) for income taxes	\$ 17	\$ (38)
Distribution payable to Icahn Enterprises unitholders	\$ 202	\$ 188
Investment in Pep Boys prior to acquiring a controlling interest	\$ 160	\$ _
Investment in Trump prior to acquiring a controlling interest	\$ 126	\$ _
LP unit issuance for remaining 25% interest in ARL	\$ 35	\$ _
Investment additions included in accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$ 50	\$ _
Construction in progress additions included in accounts payable	\$ 33	\$ 22

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation.

General

Icahn Enterprises L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises") is a master limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises Holdings") is a limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. References to "we," "our" or "us" herein include both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings and their subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

Icahn Enterprises owns a 99% limited partner interest in Icahn Enterprises Holdings. Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc. ("Icahn Enterprises GP"), which is owned and controlled by Mr. Carl C. Icahn, owns a 1% general partner interest in each of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings as of March 31, 2016. Icahn Enterprises Holdings and its subsidiaries own substantially all of our assets and liabilities and conduct substantially all of our operations. Therefore, the financial results of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings are substantially the same, with differences relating primarily to debt, as discussed further in Note 10, "Debt," and to the allocation of the general partner interest, which is reflected as an aggregate 1.99% general partner interest in the financial statements of Icahn Enterprises. In addition to the above, Mr. Icahn and his affiliates owned approximately 89.1% of Icahn Enterprises' outstanding depositary units as of March 31, 2016.

We are a diversified holding company owning subsidiaries currently engaged in the following continuing operating businesses: Investment, Automotive, Energy, Metals, Railcar, Gaming, Mining, Food Packaging, Real Estate and Home Fashion. We also report the results of our Holding Company, which includes the results of certain subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings (unless otherwise noted), and investment activity and expenses associated with the Holding Company. Further information regarding our reporting segments is contained in Note 2, "Operating Units," and Note 13, "Segment Reporting."

We conduct and plan to continue to conduct our activities in such a manner as not to be deemed an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "40 Act"). Therefore, no more than 40% of our total assets can be invested in investment securities, as such term is defined in the '40 Act. In addition, we do not invest or intend to invest in securities as our primary business. We intend to structure our investments to continue to be taxed as a partnership rather than as a corporation under the applicable publicly traded partnership rules of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the "Code").

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") related to interim financial statements. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The financial information contained herein is unaudited; however, management believes all adjustments have been made that are necessary to present fairly the results for the interim periods. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications from the prior year presentation have been made to conform to the current year presentation.

Principles of Consolidation

As of March 31, 2016, our condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of (i) Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings and (ii) the wholly and majority owned subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, in addition to variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which we are the primary beneficiary. In evaluating whether we have a controlling financial interest in entities that we consolidate, we consider the following: (1) for voting interest entities, including limited partnerships and similar entities that are not VIEs, we consolidate these entities in which we own a majority of the voting interests; and (2) for VIEs, we consolidate these entities in which we are the primary beneficiary. See below for a discussion of our VIEs. Kick-out rights (which are the rights underlying the limited partners' ability to dissolve the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partners and which are collectively referred to as "kick-out" rights) held through voting interests of partnerships and similar entities that are not VIEs are considered the equivalent of the equity interests of corporations that are not VIEs. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.



Except for our Investment segment, for those investments in which we own 50% or less but greater than 20%, we generally account for such investments using the equity method, while investments in affiliates of 20% or less are accounted for under the cost method.

Variable Interest Entities

As further discussed below, the Financial Statement Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2015-02 became effective during the first quarter of 2016. ASU No. 2015-02 amends the current consolidation guidance, including introducing a separate consolidation analysis specific to limited partnerships and other similar entities. Specifically, under the revised consolidation analysis, limited partnerships and other similar entities are consolidation analysis, limited partnerships and other similar entities are consolidation of our limited partnership entities as VIEs (as discussed below), we continue to consolidate these entities because we are the primary beneficiaries of such entities.

Investment

Our Investment segment is comprised of various private investment funds, including Icahn Partners L.P. ("Icahn Partners") and Icahn Partners Master Fund LP ("Master Fund") (collectively, the "Investment Funds"), through which we invest our proprietary capital. See Note 2, "Operating Unit - Investment," for further discussion regarding our Investment segment's business.

We determined that each of the Investment Funds are considered VIEs because these limited partnerships lack both substantive kick-out and participating rights. Because we are the general partner in each of the Investment Funds and have significant limited partner interests in each of the Investment Funds, coupled with our significant exposure to losses and benefits in each of the Investment Funds, we are the primary beneficiary of each of the Investment Funds and therefore continue to consolidate each of the Investment Funds. Substantially all of the assets and liabilities of our Investment segment pertain to the Investment Funds . See Note 13, "Segment Reporting," for details of our condensed balance sheets for our Investment segment.

Energy

We conduct our Energy segment through our majority ownership in CVR Energy Inc. ("CVR"). CVR owns petroleum refining and nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing businesses held through CVR Refining, LP ("CVR Refining") and CVR Partners, LP ("CVR Partners"), respectively, and each are considered VIEs. See Note 2, "Operating Unit - Energy," for further discussion regarding our Energy segment's business.

Our Energy segment determined that CVR Refining and CVR Partners are each considered VIEs because each of these limited partnerships lack both substantive kick-out and participating rights. In addition, our Energy segment also concluded that based upon its general partner's roles and rights in CVR Refining and CVR Partners as afforded by their respective partnership agreements, coupled with its exposure to losses and benefits in each of CVR Refining and CVR Partners through its significant limited partner interests, intercompany credit facilities and services agreements, CVR determined that it is the primary beneficiary of both CVR Refining and CVR Partners. Based upon this evaluation, CVR continues to consolidate both CVR Refining and CVR Partners. The assets and liabilities of our Energy segment that are directly related to CVR Refining and CVR Partners included in our condensed consolidate balance sheets are as follows:

	Marc	ch 31, 2016	Decembe	er 31, 2015
		(in m	illions)	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	198	\$	237
Property, plant and equipment, net		2,667		2,674
Inventories		259		290
Goodwill		—		574
Intangible assets, net		332		337
Other assets		78		102
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		335		333
Debt		668		667

Icahn Enterprises Holdings

As discussed above, Icahn Enterprises Holdings and its subsidiaries own substantially all of our assets and liabilities and conduct substantially all of our operations. We determined that Icahn Enterprises Holdings is a VIE because it lacks both substantive kick-out and participating rights. Icahn Enterprises is the primary beneficiary of Icahn Enterprises Holdings principally based on its 99% limited partner interest in Icahn Enterprises Holdings and therefore continues to consolidate Icahn Enterprises Holdings. The condensed consolidated financial statements of Icahn Enterprises Holdings are included in this Report.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash, accounts receivable, due from brokers, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities and due to brokers are deemed to be reasonable estimates of their fair values because of their short-term nature.

See Note 4, "Investments and Related Matters," and Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements," for a detailed discussion of our investments.

The fair value of our long-term debt is based on the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or on the current rates offered to us for debt of the same remaining maturities. The carrying value and estimated fair value of our long-term debt as of March 31, 2016 was approximately \$12.5 billion and \$12.1 billion, respectively. The carrying value and estimated fair value of our long-term debt as of December 31, 2015 was approximately \$12.6 billion and \$12.2 billion, respectively.

Restricted Cash

Our restricted cash balance was \$564 million and \$966 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Inventories

As further discussed in Note 2, "Operating Units - Automotive," we acquired Pep Boys - Manny, Moe and Jack ("Pep Boys") on February 3, 2016. Pep Boys' inventories are valued at lower of cost or market and cost of goods sold is determined using the last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method. Pep Boys is currently the only subsidiary of ours that uses LIFO in determining cost of goods sold. As inventories of Pep Boys have been revalued to fair value as a result of our acquisition on February 3, 2016, there are immaterial differences between inventory balances calculated using LIFO as compared to inventory balances calculated using first-in, first-out as of March 31, 2016.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-02, which amends FASB ASC Topic 810, *Consolidations*. This ASU amends the current consolidation guidance, including introducing a separate consolidation analysis specific to limited partnerships and other similar entities. This ASU requires that limited partnerships and similar legal entities provide partners with either substantive kick-out rights or substantive participating rights over the general partner in order to be considered a voting interest entity. The specialized consolidation model and guidance for limited partnerships and similar legal entities have been eliminated. There is no longer a presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership. For limited

partnerships and similar legal entities that qualify as voting interest entities, a limited partner with a controlling financial interest should consolidate a limited partnership. A controlling financial interest may be achieved through holding a limited partner interest that provides substantive kick-out rights. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. As a result of adopting this guidance in the first quarter of 2016, while certain of our limited partnership entities are now considered VIEs, we continue to consolidate these limited partnerships. See above for further discussion regarding our VIEs.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*, which amends FASB ASC Subtopic 835-30, *Interest - Imputation of Interest*. The new standard requires that all costs incurred to issue debt be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the debt. Given the absence of authoritative guidance within this ASU regarding debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit, the SEC staff has stated that it would not object to an entity deferring and presenting debt issuance costs as an asset and subsequently amortizing the deferred issuance costs ratably over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement. The standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015 and is required to be applied on a retrospective basis. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance resulted in a reclassification of debt issuance costs on our condensed consolidated balance sheets to debt in the amount of \$39 million as of December 31, 2015.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16, *Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments*, which amends FASB ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations*. This ASU eliminates the requirement to retrospectively adjust provisional amounts recognized at the acquisition dates of business combinations. Rather, this ASU requires that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The amendments in this ASU should be applied prospectively to adjustments to provisional amounts that occur after the effective date with earlier application permitted for financial statements that have not been issued. The adoption of this guidance in the first quarter of 2016 did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows and disclosures.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, creating a new topic, FASB ASC Topic 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, superseding revenue recognition requirements in FASB ASC Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*. This ASU requires that an entity recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. In addition, an entity is required to disclose sufficient information to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. This ASU was amended by ASU No. 2015-14, issued in August 2015, which deferred the original effective date by one year; the effective date of this ASU is for fiscal years, and interim reporting periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017, using one of two retrospective application methods. Early adoption is permitted only as of the annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. We are currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows and disclosures.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-11, *Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*, which amends FASB ASC Topic 330, *Inventory*. This ASU requires entities to measure inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value and eliminates the option that currently exists for measuring inventory at market value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. This ASU should be applied prospectively with earlier application permitted as of the beginning of an interim period or annual reporting period. We anticipate that the adoption of this guidance will have minimal impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows and disclosures.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, *Financial Instruments - Overall*, which amends FASB ASC Topic 825, *Financial Instruments*. This ASU requires that equity investments (except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting or those that result in the consolidation of the investee) to be measured at fair value with changes recognized in earnings. However, an entity may choose to measure equity investments that do not have readily determinable fair values at cost minus impairment. In addition, there were other amendments to certain disclosure and presentation matters pertaining to financial instruments, including the requirement of an entity to use the exit price notion when measuring the fair value of

financial instruments for disclosure purposes. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The amendments in this ASU should be applied by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance sheet as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The amendments related to equity securities without readily determinable fair values should be applied prospectively to equity investments that exist as of the date of adoption. Early application is permitted for certain matters only. We are currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows and disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*, which supersedes FASB ASC Topic 840, *Leases*. This ASU requires the recognition of lease assets and lease liabilities by lessees for those leases classified as operating leases under previous guidance. In addition, among other changes to the accounting for leases, this ASU retains the distinction between finance leases and operating leases. The classification criteria for distinguishing between finance leases and operating leases are substantially similar to the classification criteria for distinguishing between capital leases and operating leases and operating leases in the previous guidance. This ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The amendments in this ASU should be applied using a modified retrospective approach. Early application is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows and disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-07, *Simplifying the transition to equity method of accounting*, which amends FASB ASC Topic 323, *Investments - Equity Method and Joint Ventures*. This ASU eliminates the retroactive adjustment of an investment that qualifies for the equity method as a result of an increase in the level of ownership or degree of influence as if the equity method had been in effect during all previous periods that the investment had been held. The amendments in this ASU are effective for interim and annual fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016. Earlier application is permitted. We anticipate that the adoption of this guidance will have minimal impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows and disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-08, *Principal versus agent considerations (reporting revenue gross versus net)*, which amends FASB ASC Topic 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. This ASU clarifies the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations, including providing guidance on indicators to assist in the evaluation as to whether an entity controls a specified good or service before it is transferred to the customers. The amendments in this ASU is effective the same time that FASB ASU No. 2014-09 becomes effective. We are currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows and disclosures.

Filing Status of Subsidiaries

Federal-Mogul Holdings Corporation ("Federal-Mogul"), CVR Energy, Inc. ("CVR"), American Railcar Industries, Inc. ("ARI") and Tropicana Entertainment Inc. ("Tropicana") are each a public reporting entity under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and file annual, quarterly and current reports and proxy and information statements with the SEC. Each of these reports is publicly available at *www.sec.gov*.

2. Operating Units.

Investment

Our Investment segment is comprised of various private investment funds, including the Investment Funds, through which we invest our proprietary capital. We and certain of Mr. Icahn's wholly owned affiliates are the sole investors in the Investment Funds. Icahn Onshore LP and Icahn Offshore LP (together, the "General Partners") act as the general partner of Icahn Partners and the Master Fund, respectively. The General Partners provide investment advisory and certain administrative and back office services to the Investment Funds but do not provide such services to any other entities, individuals or accounts. Interests in the Investment Funds are not offered to outside investors.

We had interests in the Investment Funds with a fair value of approximately \$1.8 billion and \$3.4 billion as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Automotive

We conduct our Automotive segment through our majority ownership in Federal-Mogul Holdings Corporation ("Federal-Mogul"), our wholly owned subsidiary IEH Auto Parts Holding LLC ("IEH Auto"), effective June 1, 2015, and our wholly owned subsidiary Pep Boys, effective February 3, 2016 (see acquisition below).

Federal-Mogul is a leading global supplier of a broad range of components, accessories and systems to the automotive, small engine, heavy-duty, marine, railroad, agricultural, off-road, aerospace and energy, industrial and transport markets, including customers in both the original equipment manufacturers and servicers ("OE") market and the replacement market ("aftermarket"). Federal-Mogul's customers include the world's largest automotive OEs and major distributors and retailers in the independent aftermarket.

Federal-Mogul operates with two end-customer focused businesses. The Powertrain business unit focuses on original equipment products for automotive, heavy duty and industrial applications. The Motorparts business unit sells and distributes a broad portfolio of products in the global aftermarket, while also serving original equipment manufacturers with products including braking, chassis, wipers and other vehicle components.

As of March 31, 2016, we owned approximately 82.0% of the total outstanding common stock of Federal-Mogul.

On February 28, 2016, Icahn Enterprises issued a proposal to the board of directors of Federal-Mogul to purchase the remaining shares of Federal-Mogul common stock not owned by us in a merger transaction pursuant to which Federal-Mogul shareholders would receive \$7.00 per share in cash for their shares of Federal-Mogul common stock. Federal-Mogul's board of directors subsequently authorized the formation of a special committee consisting of three of the four independent members of Federal-Mogul's board of directors to review and evaluate this proposal and any alternative transactions involving Federal-Mogul. Following its formation, the special committee engaged Houlihan Lokey Capital, Inc. as its financial advisor and Richards, Layton & Finger as its legal counsel. The special committee, working with its advisors, has commenced its review and evaluation of Icahn Enterprises' proposal and alternatives thereto. Subsequent to submitting its proposal, Icahn Enterprises advised Federal-Mogul's board of directors that it was not considering selling its stake in Federal-Mogul at the current time.

Pep Boys has 805 locations in the automotive aftermarket industry located throughout the United States and Puerto Rico. Pep Boys stores are organized into a hub and spoke network consisting of Supercenters and Service & Tire Centers. Supercenters average approximately 20,000 square feet and combine a parts and accessories store with professional service centers that perform a full range of automotive maintenance and repair services. Most of the Pep Boys Supercenters also have a commercial sales program that provides prompt delivery of parts, tires and equipment to automotive repair shops and dealers. Service & Tire Centers, which average approximately 6,000 square feet, provide automotive maintenance and repair services in neighborhood locations that are conveniently located where our customers live or work.

IEH Auto has 32 distribution centers and satellite locations and 274 corporate-owned jobber stores in the United States and supports a network of more than 2,000 independent wholesalers. Through its banner and technical support programs as well as its offering of premium auto parts, IEH Auto has built its reputation on being the partner of choice for independent entrepreneurs eager to tap into the strength of large network.

Pep Boys and IEH Auto are being operated together in order to grow their sales to do-it-for-me ("DIFM") distributors and DIFM service professionals, to grow their automotive service business, and to maintain their do-it-yourself customer bases by offering the newest and broadest product assortment in the automotive aftermarket.

In addition, Federal-Mogul is operated independently from Pep Boys and IEH Auto. Transactions among Federal-Mogul, Pep Boys and IEH Auto have been eliminated in consolidation.

Pep Boys Acquisition

On February 3, 2016, pursuant to a tender offer, Icahn Enterprises acquired a majority of the outstanding shares of Pep Boys. On February 4, 2016, Icahn Enterprises completed the acquisition of the remaining outstanding shares of Pep Boys and our wholly owned subsidiary, IEP Parts Acquisition LLC, merged with and into Pep Boys, with Pep Boys surviving the merger as a wholly owned subsidiary of Icahn Enterprises Holdings. The total value for the acquisition of Pep Boys was approximately \$1.2 billion, including the fair value of our equity interest in Pep Boys just prior to our acquisition of a controlling interest.

Prior to obtaining a controlling interest, we remeasured our equity interest in Pep Boys to its acquisition-date fair value of \$121 million. The difference between the carrying value and the acquisition-date fair value of our equity interest in Pep Boys was immaterial.



A preliminary valuation of the net assets of the Pep Boys acquisition resulted in \$943 million allocated to tangible net assets and \$293 million allocated to goodwill and other intangible net assets as of the acquisition date. Our allocation to other intangible net assets includes \$69 million allocated to unfavorable leases liability which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. We are in the process of valuing the Pep Boys acquisition and have recorded provisional amounts based on preliminary estimates of fair value of net assets acquired, including goodwill. The provisional measurements of net assets are subject to change as we finalize the purchase price allocation. The acquisition of Pep Boys was not material, individually or in the aggregate with the Trump (as defined below) business acquisition, to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Accounts Receivable, net

Federal-Mogul's subsidiaries in Brazil, France, Germany, Italy and the United States are party to accounts receivable factoring and securitization facilities. Gross accounts receivable transferred under these facilities were \$491 million and \$408 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Of those gross amounts, \$479 million and \$401 million, respectively, qualify as sales as defined in FASB ASC Topic 860, *Transfers and Servicing*. The remaining transferred receivables were pledged as collateral and accounted for as secured borrowings and recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets within accounts receivable, net and debt. Under the terms of these facilities, Federal-Mogul is not obligated to draw cash immediately upon the transfer of accounts receivable. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, Federal-Mogul had withdrawn cash related to such transferred receivables of \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively. Proceeds from the transfers of accounts receivable qualifying as sales were \$413 million and \$390 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, expenses associated with transfers of receivables were \$3 million and \$2 million, respectively. Such expenses were recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations within other income (loss), net. Where Federal-Mogul receives a fee to service and monitor these transferred receivables, such fees are sufficient to offset the costs and as such, a servicing asset or liability is not incurred as a result of such activities.

Certain of the facilities contain terms that require Federal-Mogul to share in the credit risk of the sold receivables. The maximum exposure to Federal-Mogul associated with certain of these facilities' terms were \$4 million and \$11 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Restructuring

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, Federal-Mogul recorded \$15 million and \$12 million, respectively, in restructuring charges. These restructuring charges, primarily consisting of employee costs and headcount reductions, pertain to all restructuring programs that Federal-Mogul has initiated in order to improve its operating performance.

Federal-Mogul's restructuring expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016 are aimed at optimizing its cost structure. Federal-Mogul expects to complete these programs in 2017 and incur additional restructuring and other charges of approximately \$1 million. For programs previously initiated in prior periods, Federal-Mogul expects to complete these programs in 2018 and incur additional restructuring charges of approximately \$5 million.

Energy

We conduct our Energy segment through our majority ownership in CVR. CVR is a diversified holding company primarily engaged in the petroleum refining and nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing industries through its holdings in CVR Refining and CVR Partners, respectively. CVR Refining is an independent petroleum refiner and marketer of high value transportation fuels. CVR Partners produces and markets nitrogen fertilizers in the form of urea ammonium nitrate ("UAN") and ammonia. As of March 31, 2016, CVR owned 100% of the general partners of CVR Refining and CVR Partners and approximately 66% of the common units of CVR Refining and approximately 53% of the common units of CVR Partners. Immediately subsequent to the completion of the mergers, as discussed below, CVR held approximately 34% of the outstanding common units of CVR Partners and 100% of the general partner interest.

As of March 31, 2016, we owned approximately 82.0% of the total outstanding common stock of CVR. In addition, as of March 31, 2016, we owned approximately 4.0% of the total outstanding common units of CVR Refining directly.

CVR Partners - Rentech Mergers

On April 1, 2016, CVR Partners completed its merger with Rentech Nitrogen Partners, L.P., now known as East Dubuque Nitrogen, L.P. ("East Dubuque"), a publicly traded partnership whose common units were formerly listed on the New York



Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "RNF", and Rentech Nitrogen GP, LLC, now known as East Dubuque Nitrogen GP, LLC ("East Dubuque GP"), pursuant to which CVR Partners acquired East Dubuque and East Dubuque GP.

In connection with the merger, CVR Partners issued approximately 40.2 million common units to East Dubuque common unitholders and paid \$99 million in cash consideration.

East Dubuque's debt arrangements that remained in place until the closing date of the mergers included \$320 million of Second Lien Notes due 2021 ("Second Lien Notes"). East Dubuque is required under the change of control provision within the indenture governing the Second Lien Notes to offer to purchase, within 90 days of the mergers, all outstanding Second Lien Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to the date of purchase. On April 1, 2016, in connection with the closing of the mergers, CVR Partners entered into a new \$320 million senior term loan facility with AEPC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Icahn Enterprises, as the lender, which (i) may be used by CVR to provide funds to East Dubuque to make a change of control offer and, and if applicable, a "clean-up" redemption in accordance with the indenture governing the Second Lien Notes or (ii) may be used by CVR Partners or East Dubuque to make a tender offer for the Second Lien Notes and, in each case, pay fees and expenses thereto.

East Dubuque, located in East Dubuque, Illinois, owns and operates two fertilizer facilities, producing primarily ammonia and UAN using natural gas as its facility's primary feedstock. The primary reasons for the mergers were to expand CVR Partners geographical footprint, diversify its raw material feedstocks, widen its customer reach and increase its potential cash-flow generation.

Petroleum Business

CVR Refining's petroleum business includes a 115,000 barrels per calendar day ("bpcd") rated capacity complex full coking medium-sour crude oil refinery in Coffeyville, Kansas and a 70,000 bpcd rated capacity complex crude oil refinery in Wynnewood, Oklahoma. The Coffeyville refinery is situated on approximately 440 acres in southeast Kansas, approximately 100 miles from Cushing, Oklahoma, a major crude oil trading and storage hub. The Wynnewood refinery is situated on approximately 400 acres located approximately 65 miles south of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and approximately 130 miles from Cushing, Oklahoma.

In addition to the refineries, CVR's petroleum business owns and operates the following: (i) a crude oil gathering system with a gathering capacity of over 65,000 barrels per day ("bpd") serving Kansas, Oklahoma, Missouri, Nebraska, Colorado and Texas; (ii) a 170,000 bpd pipeline system (supported by approximately 336 miles of active owned and leased pipeline) that transports crude oil to its Coffeyville refinery from its Broome Station facility near Caney, Kansas; (iii) approximately 6.4 million barrels of company owned and leased crude oil storage capacity; (iv) a rack marketing division supplying product through tanker trucks directly to customers located in close geographic proximity to Coffeyville, Kansas and Wynnewood, Oklahoma and at throughput terminals on Magellan and NuStar Energy, LP's ("NuStar") refined products distribution systems; and (v) over 4.5 million barrels of combined refinery related storage capacity.

Nitrogen Fertilizer Business

CVR Partners' nitrogen fertilizer business consists of a nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing facility that utilizes a petroleum coke, or pet coke, gasification process to produce nitrogen fertilizer. The facility includes a 1,300 ton-per-day ammonia unit, a 3,000 ton-per-day UAN unit and a gasifier complex having a capacity of 89 million standard cubic feet per day of hydrogen. The gasifier is a dual-train facility, with each gasifier able to function independently of the other, thereby providing redundancy and improving reliability.

Metals

We conduct our Metals segment through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, PSC Metals, Inc. ("PSC Metals"). PSC Metals collects industrial and obsolete scrap metal, processes it into reusable forms and supplies the recycled metals to its customers, including electric-arc furnace mills, integrated steel mills, foundries, secondary smelters and metals brokers. PSC Metals' ferrous products include busheling, plate and structural, shredded, sheared and bundled scrap metal and other purchased scrap metal such as turnings (steel machining fragments), cast furnace iron and broken furnace iron. PSC Metals processes the scrap into a size, density and purity required by customers to meet their production needs. PSC Metals also processes non-ferrous metals, including aluminum, copper, brass, stainless steel and nickel-bearing metals. Non-ferrous products are a significant raw material in the production of aluminum and copper alloys used in manufacturing. PSC Metals also operates a steel products business that includes the supply of secondary plate and structural grade pipe that is sold into nicke markets for counterweights, piling and foundations, construction materials and infrastructure end-markets. PSC Metals



also purchases end of life vehicles, dismantles those vehicles, resells valuable parts, and ships the resulting vehicle hulks to our shredder yards.

Railcar

We conduct our Railcar segment through our majority ownership interest in ARI and our wholly owned subsidiary American Railcar Leasing, LLC ("ARL").

ARI manufactures railcars that are offered for sale or lease, custom and standard railcar components and other industrial products, primarily aluminum and special alloy steel castings. These products are sold to various types of companies including shippers, leasing companies, industrial companies, and Class I railroads. ARI leases railcars that it manufactures to certain markets that include the energy, food and agriculture, chemical, minerals and petrochemical industries. ARI provides railcar services consisting of railcar repair services, ranging from full to light repair, engineering and on-site repairs and maintenance through its various repair facilities, including mini repair shops and mobile repair units.

ARL is engaged in the business of leasing railcars to customers with specific requirements whose products require specialized railcars dedicated to transporting, storing, and preserving the integrity of their products. These products are primarily in the energy, food and agriculture, chemical, minerals and petrochemical industries.

Transactions between ARI and ARL have been eliminated in consolidation.

As of March 31, 2016, we owned approximately 60.7% of the total outstanding common stock of ARI.

Gaming

We conduct our Gaming segment through our majority ownership in Tropicana and our wholly owned subsidiary, Trump Entertainment Resorts, Inc. ("Trump") which owns and operates Trump Taj Mahal Casino Resort. As discussed below, we obtained control and began consolidating the results of Trump upon its emergence from bankruptcy on February 26, 2016.

Tropicana owns and operates a diversified, multi-jurisdictional collection of casino gaming properties. The eight casino facilities it operates feature approximately 392,000 square feet of gaming space with 7,900 slot machines, 270 table games and 5,500 hotel rooms with two casino facilities located in Nevada and one in each of Mississippi, Missouri, Indiana, Louisiana, New Jersey and Aruba.

Trump owns and operates Trump Taj Mahal Casino Resort ("Trump Taj Mahal") which is located in Atlantic City, New Jersey. Trump Taj Mahal features approximately 160,000 square feet of gaming space with 2,500 slot machines, 130 table games and 2,000 hotel rooms. In addition, Trump also owns an idled casino property in Atlantic City, New Jersey, Trump Plaza Hotel and Casino, which ceased operations in September 2014.

As of March 31, 2016, we owned approximately 68.5% of the total outstanding common stock of Tropicana.

Trump Acquisition

On September 9, 2014, Trump and its subsidiaries filed voluntary Chapter 11 petitions in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware in Wilmington, Delaware. On February 26, 2016 (the "Effective Date"), Trump emerged from bankruptcy. Icahn Enterprises was the sole holder of Trump's senior secured debt. On the Effective Date, among other things, the existing pre-petition senior secured debt with a face amount of \$286 million held by Icahn Enterprises was extinguished and converted into 100% of Trump's New Common Stock (as defined in the bankruptcy plan). As a result, we became the 100% owner of Trump after reorganization and accordingly, obtained control and began consolidating the results of Trump on February 26, 2016. We accounted for this this acquisition as a business combination under FASB ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations*.

Prior to obtaining a controlling interest in Trump upon its emergence from bankruptcy, we remeasured our interest in Trump to its acquisition-date fair value of \$126 million, resulting in a \$16 million gain on investment activities.

A preliminary valuation of the net assets of the Trump business resulted in \$109 million allocated to tangible net assets and \$17 million to trademarks and brand names. We are in the process of valuing the Trump acquisition and have recorded provisional amounts based on preliminary estimates of fair value of net assets acquired. The provisional measurements of net assets are subject to change as we finalize the purchase price allocation. Additionally, at the acquisition date, Trump had a full valuation allowance on its deferred tax assets. We are in the process of obtaining the information necessary for evaluating the valuation allowance and deferred tax assets acquired. It is possible that a portion of the valuation allowance on the Trump deferred tax assets will be adjusted in future periods. The acquisition of Trump was not material, individually or in the aggregate with the Pep Boys acquisition, to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Mining

We conduct our Mining segment through our majority ownership in Ferrous Resources. We obtained control of and consolidated the results of Ferrous Resources during the second quarter of 2015.

Ferrous Resources acquired certain rights to iron ore mineral resources in Brazil and develops mining operations and related infrastructure to produce and sell iron ore products to the global steel industry. Ferrous Resources has acquired significant iron ore assets in the State of Minas Gerais, Brazil, known as Viga, Viga Norte, Esperança, Serrinha and Santanense. In addition, Ferrous Resources has acquired certain mineral rights near Jacuípe in the State of Bahia, Brazil. Of the assets acquired, Viga, Esperança and Santanense are already extracting and producing iron ore, while the other assets are at an early stage of exploration.

In response to the current depressed iron ore price environment, Ferrous Resources decided to temporarily suspend Esperança's and Santanense's operations during the first quarter of 2015 in order to study alternatives to further reduce cost of production and improve product quality and therefore to improve profitability and margin per metric ton.

As of March 31, 2016, we owned approximately 77.2% of the total outstanding common stock of Ferrous Resources.

Food Packaging

We conduct our Food Packaging segment through our majority ownership in Viskase Companies, Inc. ("Viskase"). Viskase is a worldwide leader in the production and sale of cellulosic, fibrous and plastic casings for the processed meat and poultry industry. Viskase currently operates nine manufacturing facilities, six distribution centers and three service centers throughout North America, Europe, South America and Asia and derives approximately 69% of its total net sales from customers located outside the United States for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

As of March 31, 2016, we owned approximately 73.3% of the total outstanding common stock of Viskase.

Real Estate

Our Real Estate segment consists of rental real estate, property development and club activities.

As of March 31, 2016, we owned 15 commercial rental real estate properties. Our property development operations are run primarily through Bayswater Development LLC, a real estate investment, management and development subsidiary that focuses primarily on the construction and sale of single-family and multi-family homes, lots in subdivisions and planned communities and raw land for residential development. Our New Seabury development property in Cape Cod, Massachusetts and our Grand Harbor development property in Vero Beach, Florida include land for future residential development of approximately 239 and 1,128 units of residential housing, respectively. Both our developments operate golf and club operations as well. In addition, our Real Estate segment owns an unfinished development property which is located on approximately 23 acres in Las Vegas, Nevada.

Home Fashion

We conduct our Home Fashion segment through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, WestPoint Home LLC ("WPH"), a manufacturer and distributor of home fashion consumer products. WPH is engaged in the business of designing, marketing, manufacturing, sourcing, distributing and selling home fashion consumer products. WPH markets a broad range of manufactured and sourced bed, bath, basic bedding, and other textile products, including sheets, pillowcases, bedspreads, quilts, comforters and duvet covers, bath and beach towels, bath accessories, bed skirts, bed pillows, flocked blankets, woven blankets and throws and mattress pads. WPH recognizes revenue primarily through the sale of home fashion products to a variety of retail and institutional customers. In addition, WPH receives a small portion of its revenues through the licensing of its trademarks.

3. Related Party Transactions.

Our amended and restated agreement of limited partnership expressly permits us to enter into transactions with our general partner or any of its affiliates, including, without limitation, buying or selling properties from or to our general partner and any of its affiliates and borrowing and lending money from or to our general partner and any of its affiliates, subject to limitations contained in our partnership agreement and the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act. The indentures governing our indebtedness contain certain covenants applicable to transactions with affiliates.

Investment

Mr. Icahn, along with his affiliates (excluding Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings), makes investments in the Investment Funds. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, affiliates of Mr. Icahn made investments aggregating \$490 million and \$245 million, respectively, in the Investment Funds. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the total fair market value of investments in the Investment Funds made by Mr. Icahn and his affiliates (excluding Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings) was approximately \$4.1 billion, respectively, representing approximately 69% and 55%, respectively, of the Investment Funds' assets under management.

Icahn Capital LP ("Icahn Capital") pays for expenses pertaining to the operation, administration and investment activities of our Investment segment for the benefit of the Investment Funds (including salaries, benefits and rent). Effective April 1, 2011, based on an expense-sharing arrangement, certain expenses borne by Icahn Capital are reimbursed by the Investment Funds. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, \$(12) million and \$98 million, respectively, was allocated to the Investment Funds based on this expense-sharing arrangement. The expense reversal for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was primarily due to a reversal of performance related compensation expense accrual.

Railcar

ARL Acquisition

On February 29, 2016, Icahn Enterprises entered into a contribution agreement with IRL Holding, LLC ("IRL"), an affiliate of Mr. Icahn, to acquire the remaining 25% economic interest in ARL not already owned by us. Pursuant to this contribution agreement, we contributed 685,367 newly issued depositary units of Icahn Enterprises to IRL in exchange for the remaining 25% economic interest in ARL. As a result of the transaction, we own a 100.0% economic interest in ARL. This transaction was authorized by the independent committee of the board of directors of the general partner of Icahn Enterprises. The independent committee was advised by independent counsel and retained an independent financial advisor which rendered a fairness opinion.

Agreements with ACF Industries LLC

ARI has from time to time purchased components from ACF Industries LLC ("ACF"), an affiliate of Mr. Icahn, under a long-term agreement, as well as on a purchase order basis. ACF is a manufacturer and fabricator of specialty railcar parts and miscellaneous steel products. Under the manufacturing services agreement entered into in 1994 and amended in 2005, ACF agreed to manufacture and distribute, at ARI's instruction, various railcar components. In consideration for these services, ARI agreed to pay ACF based on agreed upon rates. The agreement automatically renews unless written notice is provided by ARI.

Also in April 2015, ARI entered into a parts purchasing and sale agreement with ACF. The agreement was unanimously approved by the independent directors of ARI's and Icahn Enterprises' audit committee. Under this agreement, ARI and ACF may, from time to time, purchase and sell to each other certain parts for railcars ("Railcar Parts"). ARI also provides a non-exclusive and non-assignable license of certain intellectual property related to the manufacture and sale of Railcar Parts to ARI. The buyer under the agreement must pay the market price of the parts as determined in the agreement or as stated on a public website for all ARI customers. ARI may provide designs, engineering and purchasing support, including all materials and components to ACF. Subject to certain early termination events, the agreement terminates on December 31, 2020.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, ARI purchased \$2 million and \$3 million, respectively, of components from ACF.

In January 2013, ARI entered into a purchasing and engineering services agreement and license with ACF. The agreement was unanimously approved by the independent directors of ARI's and Icahn Enterprises' audit committee on the basis that the terms of the agreement were not materially less favorable to ARI than those that could have been obtained in a comparable transaction with an unrelated person. Under this agreement, ARI provides purchasing support and engineering services to ACF in connection with ACF's manufacture and sale of tank railcars at its facility in Milton, Pennsylvania. Additionally, ARI has granted ACF a nonexclusive, non-assignable license to certain of ARI's intellectual property, including certain designs, specifications, processes and manufacturing know-how required to manufacture and sell tank railcars during the term of the agreement. In November 2015, ARI and ACF amended this agreement to, among other provisions, extend the termination date to December 31, 2016 from December 31, 2015, subject to certain early termination events.

In consideration for the services and license provided by ARI to ACF in conjunction with the agreement, ACF pays ARI a royalty and, if any, a share of the net profits ("ACF Profits") earned on each railcar manufactured and sold by ACF under the

agreement, in an aggregate amount equal to 30% of such ACF Profits, as calculated under the agreement. ACF Profits are net of certain of ACF's start-up and shutdown expenses and certain maintenance capital. If no ACF Profits are realized on a railcar manufactured and sold by ACF pursuant to the agreement, ARI will still be entitled to the royalty for such railcar and will not share in any losses incurred by ACF in connection therewith. In addition, any railcar components supplied by ARI to ACF for the manufacture of these railcars are provided at fair market value.

Under the agreement, ACF had the exclusive right to manufacture and sell subject tank railcars for any new orders scheduled for delivery to customers on or before January 31, 2014. ARI has the exclusive right to any sales opportunities for such tank railcars for any new orders scheduled for delivery after that date and through termination of the agreement. ARI also has the right to assign any sales opportunity to ACF, and ACF has the right, but not the obligation, to accept such sales opportunity. Any sales opportunity accepted by ACF will not be reflected in ARI's orders or backlog.

ARI's revenues under this agreement were \$1 million and \$2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and were recorded for sales of railcar components to ACF and for royalties and profits on railcars sold by ACF.

In April 2013, AEP Leasing entered into an agreement (the "ACF Agreement") with ACF whereby AEP Leasing would purchase railcars from ACF. The ACF Agreement was assumed by ARL in connection with our purchase of economic interest in ARL. The ACF Agreement was unanimously approved by Icahn Enterprises' audit committee consisting of independent directors, who were advised by independent counsel and an independent financial advisor on the basis that the terms were not less favorable than those terms that could have been obtained in a comparable transaction with an unaffiliated third party. Under this agreement, purchases of railcars from ACF were \$9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. There were no purchase of railcars from ACF under this agreement for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

In addition to the above purchases, on a contract-by-contract basis, ARL purchased \$12 million and \$5 million of railcars from ACF for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Insight Portfolio Group LLC

Insight Portfolio Group LLC ("Insight Portfolio Group") is an entity formed and controlled by Mr. Icahn in order to maximize the potential buying power of a group of entities with which Mr. Icahn has a relationship in negotiating with a wide range of suppliers of goods, services and tangible and intangible property at negotiated rates.

In 2013, Icahn Enterprises Holdings acquired a minority equity interest in Insight Portfolio Group and agreed to pay a portion of Insight Portfolio Group's operating expenses. In addition to the minority equity interest held by Icahn Enterprises Holdings, certain subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises Holdings, including Federal-Mogul, CVR, PSC Metals, ARI, ARL, Tropicana, Viskase and WPH also acquired minority equity interests in Insight Portfolio Group and agreed to pay a portion of Insight Portfolio Group's operating expenses. A number of other entities with which Mr. Icahn has a relationship also acquired equity interests in Insight Portfolio Group and also agreed to pay certain of Insight Portfolio Group's operating expenses. For each of the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, immaterial amounts were paid in respect to certain of Insight Portfolio Group's operating expenses.

4. Investments and Related Matters.

Investment

Investments, and securities sold, not yet purchased consist of equities, bonds, bank debt and other corporate obligations, all of which are reported at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. These investments are considered trading securities. In addition, our Investment segment has certain derivative transactions which are discussed in Note 6, "Financial Instruments - Investment." The carrying value and detail of security type and business sector with respect to investments and securities sold, not yet purchased held by our Investment segment consist of the following:

	March 31, 2016		16 December 31	
Assets	(in millio			
Investments:				
Equity securities:				
Basic materials	\$	860	\$	563
Communications		218		407
Consumer, non-cyclical		3,035		3,684
Consumer, cyclical		163		115
Diversified		16		17
Energy		1,292		1,461
Financial		1,921		2,094
Industrial		222		188
Technology		1,274		5,795
		9,001		14,324
Corporate debt:				
Consumer, cyclical		116		55
Financial		4		4
Sovereign debt		—		13
Utilities		12		—
		132		72
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Financial		138		157
	\$	9,271	\$	14,553
Liabilities				
Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value:				
Equity securities:				
Consumer, non-cyclical	\$	_	\$	794
Consumer, cyclical		847		_
Financial		151		_
		998		794
Debt securities:				
Consumer, cyclical		54		
	\$	1,052	\$	794

The portion of trading gains (loss) that relates to trading securities still held by our Investment segment for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$(174) million and \$458 million, respectively.



Other Segments

The carrying value of investments held by our other segments and our Holding Company consist of the following:

	March	31, 2016	Decem	ber 31, 2015
		(in m	illions)	
Equity method investments	\$	340	\$	323
Other investments		415		475
	\$	755	\$	798

5. Fair Value Measurements.

U.S. GAAP requires enhanced disclosures about investments and non-recurring non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities that are measured and reported at fair value and has established a hierarchal disclosure framework that prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring investments or non-financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of investment and the characteristics specific to the investment. Investments with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value.

Investments and non-financial assets and/or liabilities measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level 1 - Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date. The types of investments included in Level 1 include listed equities and listed derivatives. We do not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where we hold a large position.

Level 2 - Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies. Investments that are generally included in this category include corporate bonds and loans, less liquid and restricted equity securities and certain over-the-counter derivatives. The inputs and assumptions of our Level 2 investments are derived from market observable sources including reported trades, broker/dealer quotes and other pertinent data.

Level 3 - Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and non-financial asset and/or liability and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the investment or non-financial asset and/or liability. The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation. Fair value is determined using comparable market transactions and other valuation methodologies, adjusted as appropriate for liquidity, credit, market and/or other risk factors.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and consideration of factors specific to the investment. Significant transfers, if any, between the levels within the fair value hierarchy are recognized at the beginning of the reporting period when changes in circumstances require such transfers.

The following table summarizes the valuation of our investments, derivative contracts, securities sold not yet purchased and other liabilities by the above fair value hierarchy levels measured on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	March 31, 2016							December 31, 2015								
]	Level 1	Ι	evel 2	L	evel 3		Total		Level 1	Ι	Level 2	L	level 3		Total
Assets								(in mi	llions	5)						
Investments (Note 4)	\$	9,007	\$	430	\$	249	\$	9,686	\$	14,447	\$	289	\$	292	\$	15,028
Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽¹⁾				40				40		—		259		—		259
	\$	9,007	\$	470	\$	249	\$	9,726	\$	14,447	\$	548	\$	292	\$	15,287
Liabilities																
Securities sold, not yet purchased	\$	998	\$	54	\$		\$	1,052	\$	794	\$	_	\$		\$	794
Other liabilities				1				1		—		3				3
Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽²⁾				469				469		—		36				36
	\$	998	\$	524	\$	_	\$	1,522	\$	794	\$	39	\$	_	\$	833

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are classified within other assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(2) Amounts are classified within accrued expenses and other liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis for Which We Use Level 3 Inputs to Determine Fair Value

The changes in investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which we use Level 3 inputs to determine fair value are as follows:

	Т	Three Months Ended March			
		2016		2015	
		(in m	illions)		
Balance at January 1	\$	283	\$	229	
Gross unrealized gains (losses)		16		(14)	
Purchases		50			
Transfers (out) in		(122)		1	
Balance at March 31	\$	227	\$	216	

During the first quarter of 2016, we transferred out a Level 3 corporate debt investment in the amount of \$126 million. See Note 2, "Operating Units - Gaming," for further discussion regarding this transaction.

During 2015, the Holding Company made a certain investment classified as trading securities, which is considered a Level 3 investment due to unobservable market data and is measured at fair value on a recurring basis. We purchased an additional \$50 million of this investment during the three months ended March 31, 2016. We determined the fair value of this investment using internally developed models and other valuation techniques. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the fair value of this investment was \$207 million and \$157 million, respectively.

There were no unrealized gains or losses included in earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2016 related to Level 3 investments still held at March 31, 2016 by our Investment and Gaming segments and the Holding Company.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis for Which We Use Level 3 Inputs to Determine Fair Value

We have certain investments in debt securities classified as held-to-maturity within our Gaming segment since we have the ability and intent to hold the bonds to maturity. The debt securities are classified as Level 3 investments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and therefore are excluded from the roll forward of Level 3 investments measured on a recurring basis above. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the fair value of these debt securities was \$22 million and \$9 million, respectively, with the increase resulting from our acquisition of Trump during the first quarter of 2016.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, our Energy segment recorded a goodwill impairment charge of 574 million, which represented the full amount of the remaining goodwill allocated to this segment. Refer to Note 8, "Goodwill and

Intangible Assets, Net," for further discussion. Additionally, during the three months ended March 31, 2016, our Automotive segment recorded \$3 million of impairment relating to property, plant and equipment.

6. Financial Instruments.

Certain derivative contracts with a single counterparty executed by the Investment Funds, by our Automotive segment with a single counterparty or by our Energy segment with a single counterparty, or by our Holding Company with a single counterparty are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis where a legal right of offset exists under an enforceable netting agreement. Values for the derivative financial instruments, principally swaps, forwards, over-the-counter options and other conditional and exchange contracts, are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis. As a result, the net exposure to counterparties is reported in either other assets or accrued expenses and other liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Investment Segment and Holding Company

The Investment Funds currently maintain cash deposits and cash equivalents with financial institutions. Certain account balances may not be covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, while other accounts may exceed federally insured limits. The Investment Funds have prime broker arrangements in place with multiple prime brokers as well as a custodian bank. The Investment Funds also have relationships with several financial institutions with which they trade derivative and other financial instruments.

In the normal course of business, the Investment Funds and the Holding Company may trade various financial instruments and enter into certain investment activities, which may give rise to off-balance-sheet risks, with the objective of capital appreciation or as economic hedges against other securities or the market as a whole. The Investment Funds' and the Holding Company's investments may include futures, options, swaps and securities sold, not yet purchased. These financial instruments represent future commitments to purchase or sell other financial instruments or to exchange an amount of cash based on the change in an underlying instrument at specific terms at specified future dates. Risks arise with these financial instruments from potential counterparty non-performance and from changes in the market values of underlying instruments.

Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value represent obligations to deliver the specified security, thereby creating a liability to repurchase the security in the market at prevailing prices. Accordingly, these transactions result in off-balance-sheet risk, as the satisfaction of the obligations may exceed the amount recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Our investments in securities and amounts due from brokers are partially restricted until we satisfy the obligation to deliver the securities sold, not yet purchased.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company may enter into derivative contracts, including swap contracts, futures contracts and option contracts. The Investment Funds may also enter into foreign currency derivative contracts with the objective of capital appreciation or to economically hedge against foreign currency exchange rate risks on all or a portion of their non-U.S. dollar denominated investments.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company have entered into various types of swap contracts with other counterparties. These agreements provide that they are entitled to receive or are obligated to pay in cash an amount equal to the increase or decrease, respectively, in the value of the underlying shares, debt and other instruments that are the subject of the contracts, during the period from inception of the applicable agreement to its expiration. In addition, pursuant to the terms of such agreements, they are entitled to receive or obligated to pay other amounts, including interest, dividends and other distributions made in respect of the underlying shares, debt and other instruments during the specified time frame. They are also required to pay to the counterparty a floating interest rate equal to the product of the notional amount multiplied by an agreed-upon rate, and they receive interest on any cash collateral that they post to the counterparty at the federal funds or LIBOR rate in effect for such period.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company may trade futures contracts. A futures contract is a firm commitment to buy or sell a specified quantity of a standardized amount of a deliverable grade commodity, security, currency or cash at a specified price and specified future date unless the contract is closed before the delivery date. Payments (or variation margin) are made or received by the Investment Funds and the Holding Company each day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the contract, and the whole value change is recorded as an unrealized gain or loss by the Investment Funds and the Holding Company. When the contract is closed, the Investment Funds and the Holding Company record a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company may utilize forward contracts to seek to protect their assets denominated in foreign currencies and precious metals holdings from losses due to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and spot rates. The Investment Funds' and the Holding Company's exposure to credit risk associated with non-performance of such forward contracts is limited to the unrealized gains or losses inherent in such contracts, which are recognized in other assets and accrued expenses and other liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The Investment Funds may also enter into foreign currency contracts for purposes other than hedging denominated securities. When entering into a foreign currency forward contract, the Investment Funds agree to receive or deliver a fixed quantity of foreign currency for an agreed-upon price on an agreed-upon future date unless the contract is closed before such date. The Investment Funds record unrealized gains or losses on the contracts as measured by the difference between the forward foreign exchange rates at the dates of entry into such contracts and the forward rates at the reporting date.

The Investment Funds may also purchase and write option contracts. As a writer of option contracts, the Investment Funds receive a premium at the outset and then bear the market risk of unfavorable changes in the price of the underlying financial instrument. As a result of writing option contracts, the Investment Funds are obligated to purchase or sell, at the holder's option, the underlying financial instrument. Accordingly, these transactions result in off-balance-sheet risk, as the Investment Funds' satisfaction of the obligations may exceed the amount recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. At March 31, 2016, the maximum payout amounts relating to certain put options written by the Investment Funds were \$830 million, of which \$830 million related to covered put options on existing short positions on certain stock and credit indices. At December 31, 2015, the maximum payout amounts relating to certain put options of which approximately \$5.9 billion related to covered put options on existing short positions. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there were unrealized gains of \$10 million and \$67 million, respectively, with respect to these put options.

Certain terms of the Investment Funds' contracts with derivative counterparties, which are standard and customary to such contracts, contain certain triggering events that would give the counterparties the right to terminate the derivative instruments. In such events, the counterparties to the derivative instruments could request immediate payment on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all of the Investment Funds' derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a liability position at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was \$467 million and \$33 million, respectively.

At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Investment Funds had approximately \$476 million and \$883 million, respectively, posted as collateral for derivative positions, including those derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features; these amounts are included in cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

U.S. GAAP requires the disclosure of information about obligations under certain guarantee arrangements. Such guarantee arrangements requiring disclosure include contracts that contingently require the guarantor to make payments to the guaranteed party based on another entity's failure to perform under an agreement as well as indirect guarantees of the indebtedness of others.

Each Investment Fund's assets may be held in one or more accounts maintained for the Investment Fund by its prime brokers or at other brokers or custodian banks, which may be located in various jurisdictions. The prime brokers, brokers and custodian banks are subject to various laws and regulations in the relevant jurisdictions in the event of their insolvency. Accordingly, the practical effect of these laws and their application to the Investment Funds' assets may be subject to substantial variations, limitations and uncertainties. The insolvency of any of the prime brokers, brokers, custodian banks or clearing corporations may result in the loss of all or a substantial portion of the Investment Funds' assets or in a significant delay in the Investment Funds' having access to those assets.

Credit concentrations may arise from investment activities and may be impacted by changes in economic, industry or political factors. The Investment Funds and the Holding Company routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, resulting in credit concentration with respect to this industry. In the ordinary course of business, the Investment Funds and the Holding Company may also be subject to a concentration of credit risk to a particular counterparty.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company seek to mitigate these risks by actively monitoring exposures, collateral requirements and the creditworthiness of our counterparties.

Automotive

Commodity Price Risk

Federal-Mogul's production processes are dependent upon the supply of certain raw materials that are exposed to price fluctuations on the open market. The primary purpose of Federal-Mogul's commodity price forward contract activity is to manage the volatility associated with forecasted purchases. Federal-Mogul monitors its commodity price risk exposures regularly to maximize the overall effectiveness of its commodity forward contracts. Principal raw materials hedged include copper, nickel, tin, zinc, high-grade aluminum and aluminum alloy. Forward contracts are used to mitigate commodity price risk associated with raw materials, generally related to purchases forecast for up to 15 months in the future.

Federal-Mogul had commodity price hedge contracts outstanding with combined notional values of \$21 million and \$28 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, substantially all of which mature within one year in each of the respective periods and all of which were designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes. Federal-Mogul had a net liability balance of \$2 million and \$3 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, with respect to these hedging positions. Unrealized net losses of \$2 million and \$2 million were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Foreign Currency Risk

Federal-Mogul manufactures and sells its products in North America, South America, Asia, Europe and Africa. As a result, Federal-Mogul's financial results could be significantly affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets in which it manufactures and sells its products. Federal-Mogul's operating results are primarily exposed to changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and various global currencies.

Federal-Mogul generally tries to use natural hedges within its foreign currency activities, including the matching of revenues and costs, to minimize foreign currency risk. Where natural hedges are not in place, Federal-Mogul considers managing certain aspects of its foreign currency activities and larger transactions through the use of foreign currency options or forward contracts. Principal currencies hedged have historically included the euro, British pound and Polish zloty. Foreign currency forwards are also used in conjunction with Federal-Mogul's commodity hedging program. As part of its hedging program, Federal-Mogul attempts to limit hedge ineffectiveness by matching terms of the commodity purchases with the hedging instrument. Federal-Mogul does not hold any foreign currency price hedge contracts as of March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments including cash equivalents, derivative contracts, and accounts receivable, expose Federal-Mogul to counter-party credit risk for non-performance. Federal-Mogul's counterparties for cash equivalents and derivative contracts are banks and financial institutions that meet its requirement of high credit standing. Federal-Mogul's counterparties for derivative contracts are substantial investment and commercial banks with significant experience using such derivatives. Federal-Mogul manages its credit risk through policies requiring minimum credit standing and limiting credit exposure to any one counter-party and through monitoring counter-party credit risks. Federal-Mogul's concentration of credit risk related to derivative contracts at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was not material.

Energy

CVR is subject to price fluctuations caused by supply conditions, weather, economic conditions, interest rate fluctuations and other factors. To manage price risk on crude oil and other inventories and to fix margins on certain future production, CVR from time to time enters into various commodity derivative transactions.

CVR has adopted accounting standards that impose extensive record-keeping requirements in order to designate a derivative financial instrument as a hedge. CVR holds derivative instruments, such as exchange-traded crude oil futures and certain over-the-counter forward swap agreements, which it believes provide an economic hedge on future transactions, but such instruments are not designated as hedges for GAAP purposes. Gains or losses related to the change in fair value and periodic settlements of these derivative instruments are included in other income (loss), net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Commodity Swaps

CVR Refining enters into commodity swap contracts in order to fix the margin on a portion of future production. The physical volumes are not exchanged and these contracts are net settled with cash. The contract fair value of the commodity swaps is reflected on the condensed consolidated balance sheets with changes in fair value currently recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of operations. Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets (Level 2) are considered to determine the fair values for the purpose of marking to market the hedging instruments at each period end. At December 31, 2015, CVR had open commodity hedging instruments consisting of 2.5 million barrels of crack spreads primarily to fix the margin on a portion of its future gasoline and distillate production. During the first quarter of 2016, CVR Refining settled a number of the open crack spread positions and entered into offsetting positions to effectively lock in the gain on the remaining positions to be settled in 2016. At March 31, 2016, CVR had open commodity hedging instruments consisting of 1.0 million barrels of price and basis swaps. The fair value of the outstanding contracts at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was a net asset of \$22 million and \$45 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, CVR recognized a net loss of \$1 million and \$50 million, respectively, which is included in other income (loss), net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Interest Rate Swaps

Coffeyville Resources Nitrogen Fertilizers, LLC ("CRNF") had two floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements for the purpose of hedging the interest rate risk associated with a portion of its \$125 million floating rate term debt, which matures in April 2016. The aggregate notional amount covered under these agreements totals \$63 million (split evenly between the two agreements) and commenced on August 12, 2011 and expired on February 12, 2016. The interest rate swaps agreements terminated in February 2016. The realized losses on the interest rate swaps reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss into interest expense was less than \$1 million for each of the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

Consolidated Derivative Information

The volume of our derivative activities based on their notional exposure, categorized by primary underlying risk, is as follows:

	March	31, 20	016		Decembe	er 31	31, 2015		
0			Short Notional Exposure		Long Notional Exposure		Short Notional Exposure		
			(in m	illions))				
\$	192	\$	917	\$	187	\$	2,306		
	209		15,325		1,343		14,167		
	—		883				842		
	—		63				137		
	26		1,220		43		643		
	0	Long Notional Exposure \$ 192 209 — —	Long Notional Exposure \$ 192 \$ 209	Exposure Exposure (in m) \$ 192 \$ 917 209 15,325 — 883 — 63	Long Notional ExposureShort Notional ExposureExposure(in millions)\$ 192917\$ 20915,32588363	Long Notional ExposureShort Notional ExposureLong Notional Exposure(in millions)\$192\$917\$18720915,3251,343-88363-	Long Notional ExposureShort Notional ExposureLong Notional Exposure(in millions)\$ 192\$ 917\$ 192\$ 18720915,3251,343-883-63		

(1) The short notional amount on our credit default swap positions is approximately \$4.1 billion and \$10.0 billion as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. However, because credit spreads cannot compress below zero, our downside short notional exposure to loss is approximately \$0.9 billion and \$2.3 billion as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

(2) The short notional amount on certain of our interest rate contracts with a three month duration is approximately \$16.0 billion as of December 31, 2015. We assume that interest rates will not fall below zero and therefore our downside short notional exposure to loss on these contracts is \$74 million (of the total \$137 million disclosed in the above table) as of December 31, 2015.

The following table presents the consolidated fair values of our derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments:

		Asset De	rivativ	ves ⁽¹⁾	Liability Derivatives ⁽²⁾					
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Mar	ch 31, 2016	Dec	cember 31, 2015	Marc	ch 31, 2016	December 31, 201			
				(in m	illions)					
Equity contracts	\$	79	\$	339	\$	414	\$	122		
Foreign exchange contracts		_				13		19		
Credit contracts		1		45		36		53		
Interest rate swap contracts		_						_		
Commodity contracts		23		46		67		10		
Sub-total		103		430		530		204		
Netting across contract types ⁽³⁾		(63)		(171)		(63)		(171)		
Total ⁽³⁾	\$	40	\$	259	\$	467	\$	33		

⁽¹⁾ Net asset derivatives are located within other assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(2) Net liability derivatives are located within accrued expenses and other liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(3) Excludes netting of cash collateral received and posted. The total collateral posted at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was \$476 million and \$883 million, respectively, across all counterparties.

The following table presents the amount of gain (loss) recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for our derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:

	(Loss) Gain Recognized in Income ⁽¹⁾								
		Three Months Ended March 31,							
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		2016	2015						
		(in m							
Equity contracts	\$	(408)	\$	(165)					
Foreign exchange contracts		(38)		174					
Credit contracts		125		(29)					
Interest rate contracts		(12)		—					
Commodity contracts		(49)		19					
	\$	(382)	\$	(1)					

(1) Gains (losses) recognized on derivatives are classified in net gain (loss) from investment activities in our condensed consolidated statements of operations for our Investment segment and are included in other income (loss), net for all other segments.

7. Inventories, Net.

Inventories, net consists of the following:

	March 31, 2016	December 31, 20)15
rk in process	(in m	illions)	
Raw materials	\$ 459	\$ 45	57
Work in process	301	29	.92
Finished goods	2,136	1,51	10
Finished goods	\$ 2,896	\$ 2,25	59

8. Goodwill and Intangible Assets, Net.

Goodwill consists of the following:

March 31, 2016						December 31, 2015					
Gross Carrying Amount		Accumulated Impairment		Net Carrying Value		Gross Carrying Amount		Accumulated Impairment		Net Carrying Value	
				(in mil	lions)					
1,722	\$	(538)	\$	1,184	\$	1,458	\$	(538)	\$	920	
930		(930)		—		930		(356)		574	
7		_		7		7		_		7	
3		_		3		3		_		3	
2,662	\$	(1,468)	\$	1,194	\$	2,398	\$	(894)	\$	1,504	
	Amount 1,722 930 7 3	Gross Carrying Amount 1,722 \$ 930 7 3	Gross Carrying AmountAccumulated Impairment1,722\$ (538)930(930)73	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Impairment 1,722 \$ (538) \$ 930 (930) 7 3	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Impairment Net Carrying Value 1,722 \$ (538) \$ 1,184 930 (930) - 7 - 7 3 - 3	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Impairment Net Carrying Value 1,722 \$ (538) \$ 1,184 \$ 930 \$ 930 - 7 - 7 - 7 3 3 - 3	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Impairment Net Carrying Value Gross Carrying Amount 1,722 \$ (538) \$ 1,184 \$ 1,458 930 (930) 930 7 7 7 3 3 3	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Impairment Net Carrying Value Gross Carrying Amount 1,722 \$ (538) \$ 1,184 \$ 1,458 \$ 930 (930) 930 930 7 7 3 <td< td=""><td>Gross Carrying AmountAccumulated ImpairmentNet Carrying ValueGross Carrying AmountAccumulated Impairment1,722\$(538)\$1,184\$1,458\$(538)930(930)930(356)777333</td><td>Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Impairment Net Carrying Value Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Impairment 1,722 \$ (538) \$ 1,184 \$ 1,458 \$ (538) \$ 930 \$ (538) \$ 1,722 \$ (538) \$ 1,184 \$ 1,458 \$ (538) \$ 930 (930) - 930 (356) \$ 7 - 7 7 - \$ 3 - 3 3 - \$</td></td<>	Gross Carrying AmountAccumulated ImpairmentNet Carrying ValueGross Carrying AmountAccumulated Impairment1,722\$(538)\$1,184\$1,458\$(538)930(930)930(356)777333	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Impairment Net Carrying Value Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Impairment 1,722 \$ (538) \$ 1,184 \$ 1,458 \$ (538) \$ 930 \$ (538) \$ 1,722 \$ (538) \$ 1,184 \$ 1,458 \$ (538) \$ 930 (930) - 930 (356) \$ 7 - 7 7 - \$ 3 - 3 3 - \$	

Intangible assets, net consists of the following:

March 31, 2016					December 31, 2015						
Gre	oss Carrying Amount		Accumulated Amortization		Net Carrying Value		Gross Carrying Amount				Net Carrying Value
					(in mi	llions)				
\$	1,071	\$	(426)	\$	645	\$	1,042	\$	(409)	\$	633
	142		(94)		48		144		(90)		54
	121		(75)		46		121		(73)		48
	60		(9)		51		60		(9)		51
	47		(23)		24		47		(23)		24
\$	1,441	\$	(627)	\$	814	\$	1,414	\$	(604)	\$	810
				\$	360					\$	260
					38						38
					398						298
				\$	1,212					\$	1,108
	\$	\$ 1,071 142 121 60 47	Gross Carrying Amount \$ 1,071 \$ 142 1 1 121 60 47	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Amortization \$ 1,071 \$ (426) 142 (94) 121 (75) 60 (9) 47 (23)	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Amortization \$ 1,071 \$ (426) \$ 142 \$ 142 (94) \$ 121 (75) \$ 60 (9) \$ 477 (23) \$ \$ 1,441 \$ (627) \$	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Amortization Net Carrying Value \$ 1,071 \$ (426) \$ 645 142 (94) 48 121 (75) 46 60 (9) 51 47 (23) 24 \$ 1,441 \$ (627) \$ 360 38 398 398	Gross Carrying Amount Accumulated Amortization Net Carrying Value \$ 1,071 \$ (426) \$ 645 \$ 142 (94) 48 121 75) 46 60 (9) 51 47 23) 24 5 144 \$ \$ \$ 1,441 \$ (627) \$ 360 \$ 38 \$ 398 \$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & Accumulated \\ \hline Amount & Accumulated \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Accumulated \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Amount & \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & Accumulated \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & Accumulated \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & Accumulated \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & Accumulated \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & Accumulated \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & Accumulated \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & Accumulated \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & Anount & \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

Amortization expense associated with definite-lived intangible assets for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$23 million and \$22 million, respectively. We utilize the straight-line method of amortization, recognized over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

For our segments discussed below, the fair values of our reporting units are based upon consideration of various valuation methodologies, one of which is projecting future cash flows discounted at rates commensurate with the risks involved ("Discounted Cash Flow" or "DCF"). Assumptions used in a DCF require the exercise of significant judgment, including judgment about appropriate discount rates and terminal values, growth rates, and the amount and timing of expected future cash flows. The forecasted cash flows are based on current plans and for years beyond that plan, the estimates are based on assumed growth rates. We believe that our assumptions are consistent with the plans and estimates used to manage the underlying businesses. The discount rates, which are intended to reflect the risks inherent in future cash flow projections, used in a DCF are based on estimates of the weighted-average cost of capital of a market participant. Such estimates are derived from our analysis of peer companies and consider the industry weighted average return on debt and equity from a market participant perspective.

Automotive

As further discussed in Note 2, "Operating Units - Automotive," during the first quarter of 2016, we acquired Pep Boys and allocated \$85 million to trademarks and brand names, \$25 million to customer relationships and \$252 million to goodwill as of the acquisition date. We are in the process of valuing the Pep Boys acquisition and have recorded provisional amounts based on preliminary estimates of fair value of net assets acquired, including goodwill. The provisional measurements of net assets are subject to change as we finalize the purchase price allocation. The Pep Boys acquisition is not material to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

We perform the annual goodwill impairment test for our Automotive segment as of October 1 of each year, or more frequently if impairment indicators exist. Based on "Step 2" of the goodwill impairment analysis of our Automotive segment's Motorparts reporting unit, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$312 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Due to the complexity in the "Step 2" goodwill impairment analysis, we are currently expecting to finalize our Automotive segment's goodwill impairment for the Motorparts reporting unit during the second quarter of 2016 and any resulting difference in the amount of the impairment will be adjusted at that time.

Energy

Due to worsening sales trends for our Energy segment's Petroleum reporting unit, we performed an interim goodwill impairment analysis during the first quarter of 2016. Based on this analysis, our Energy segment recognized a goodwill impairment charge of \$574 million, which represented the full amount of the remaining goodwill allocated to the Petroleum reporting unit. Due to the complexity in the "Step 2" goodwill impairment test performed during the first quarter of 2016, we expect to finalize the assessment of our Energy segment's goodwill impairment during the second quarter of 2016 and any resulting difference in the amount of the impairment will be adjusted at that time.

We perform the annual goodwill impairment test for our Energy segment as of April 30 of each year, or more frequently if impairment indicators exist. Due to worsening sales trends for our Energy segment's Fertilizer reporting unit, we performed an interim goodwill impairment analysis during the fourth quarter of 2015. Based on "Step 2" of the goodwill impairment analysis of our Energy segment's Fertilizer reporting unit, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$253 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, which represented the full amount of the remaining goodwill allocated to the Fertilizer reporting unit. Due to the complexity in the "Step 2" goodwill impairment test performed during the fourth quarter of 2015, we finalized the assessment of our Energy segment's goodwill impairment for the Fertilizer reporting unit during the first quarter of 2016 and noted that no additional adjustments to goodwill were required for the first quarter of 2016.

Railcar

We perform the annual goodwill impairment test as of November 1 of each year for our Railcar segment. For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, our Railcar segment's manufacturing reporting unit is the only reporting unit with allocated goodwill. We assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount. If, however, we had determined that it was more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit was less than its carrying amount, then we would perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. In evaluating whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, then we would perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. In evaluating whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, we considered various qualitative and quantitative factors, including macroeconomic conditions, railcar industry trends and the fact that our railcar manufacturing reporting unit has historical positive operating cash flows that we anticipate will continue. After assessing these factors, we determined that it was more likely than not the fair value of our railcar manufacturing reporting unit was greater than its carrying amount, and therefore no further testing was necessary.

Gaming

As further discussed in Note 2, "Operating Units - Gaming," during the first quarter of 2016, we acquired Trump and allocated \$17 million to trademarks and brand names as of the acquisition date. We are in the process of valuing the Trump acquisition and have recorded provisional amounts based on preliminary estimates of fair value of net assets acquired. The provisional measurements of net assets are subject to change as we finalize the purchase price allocation. The Trump acquisition is not material to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

9. Property, Plant and Equipment, Net.

Property, plant and equipment, net consists of the following:

	Useful Life	Marc	h 31, 2016	Decer	nber 31, 2015
	(in years)		(in m	illions)	
Land		\$	790	\$	549
Buildings and improvements	4 - 40		2,975		2,456
Machinery, equipment and furniture	1 - 30		6,522		6,047
Assets leased to others	15 - 39		4,025		3,994
Construction in progress			589		598
			14,901		13,644
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization			(4,329)		(4,109)
Property, plant and equipment, net		\$	10,572	\$	9,535

Assets leased to others are related to our Railcar and Real Estate segments. Included in assets leased to others in the table above are our Railcar segment's railcars for lease in the amount of approximately \$3.6 billion and \$3.6 billion as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Additionally, included in assets leased to others in the table above are our Real Estate segment's properties on lease in the amount of \$422 million and \$423 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Aggregate accumulated depreciation pertaining to assets leased to others is approximately \$1.1 billion and \$1.1 billion as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property, plant and equipment for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$207 million and \$182 million, respectively.

10. Debt.

Debt consists of the following:

	Ma	rch 31, 2016	Decei	nber 31, 2015
		(in m	illions)	
5.875% senior unsecured notes due 2022 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings	\$	1,338	\$	1,338
6.00% senior unsecured notes due 2020 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings		1,706		1,706
4.875% senior unsecured notes due 2019 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings		1,270		1,270
3.50% senior unsecured notes due 2017 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings		1,173		1,172
Debt facilities - Automotive		3,262		3,121
Debt facilities - Energy		620		619
Debt and credit facilities - Railcar		2,461		2,671
Credit facilities - Gaming		288		289
Credit facilities - Food Packaging		267		267
Other		145		141
	\$	12,530	\$	12,594

Except for those described below, there were no other significant changes to our consolidated debt during the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to that reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. Additionally, where applicable, we or our subsidiaries were in compliance with all covenants for their respective debt instruments as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Senior Unsecured Notes - Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings

Senior Unsecured Notes Covenants

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we were in compliance with all covenants, including maintaining certain minimum financial ratios, as defined in the indentures. Additionally, as of March 31, 2016, based on covenants in the indentures governing our senior unsecured notes, we are not permitted to incur additional indebtedness.

Debt Facilities - Automotive

Federal-Mogul

During the first quarter of 2016, Federal-Mogul increased its borrowing capacity under its revolving credit facility by \$50 million to \$600 million. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the outstanding balance on Federal-Mogul's revolving credit facility was \$390 million and \$340 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the borrowing availability under Federal-Mogul's revolving credit facility was \$170 million and \$170 million, respectively. Federal-Mogul had \$40 million and \$40 million of letters of credit outstanding as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, pertaining to Federal-Mogul's term loan credit facility. To the extent letters of credit associated with the Federal-Mogul's revolving credit facility are issued, there will be a corresponding decrease in borrowings available under this facility.

IEH Auto and Pep Boys

On November 25, 2015, IEH Auto entered into a senior secured asset based revolving credit facility (the "IEH Auto Credit Facility") with Bank of America as agent, sole lead arranger, and sole bookrunner for \$125 million. On January 25, 2016, the IEH Auto Credit Facility was amended and restated to include Citizens Bank as the documentation agent and to increase the revolver commitments to \$210 million.

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the IEH Auto Credit Facility had an outstanding balance of \$100 million and \$75 million, respectively, and \$3 million and \$3 million, respectively, in letters of credit. As of March 31, 2016, the availability on the IEH Auto Credit Facility was \$42 million.

Pep Boys has a revolving credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and other parties thereto providing for borrowings of up to \$300 million and having a maturity of July 2016. The interest rate on this facility is LIBOR plus a margin of 2.00% to 2.50% for LIBOR rate borrowings or Prime plus 1.00% to 1.50% for Prime rate borrowings. The margin is based upon the then current availability under the facility. As of March 31, 2016, Pep Boys had \$60 million outstanding under the facility and \$41 million of availability was utilized to support outstanding letters of credit. Taking into account the borrowing base requirements (including reduction for amounts outstanding under the trade payable program), as of March 31, 2016, there was \$81 million of availability remaining under the facility.

Debt and Credit Facilities - Energy

Amended and Restated Asset Backed (ABL) Credit Facility

As of March 31, 2016, CVR Refining and its subsidiaries had availability under its existing amended and restated asset backed credit facility (the "CVR Refining Credit Facility") of \$245 million and had letters of credit outstanding of \$28 million. There were no borrowings outstanding under the CVR Refining Credit Facility as of March 31, 2016. Availability under the CVR Refining Credit Facility was limited by borrowing base conditions as of March 31, 2016.

CVR Partners Credit Facility

CVR Partners' credit facility includes a term loan in the amount of \$125 million and a revolving credit facility. No amounts were outstanding under the revolving credit facility at March 31, 2016. On April 1, 2016, CVR Partners repaid all amounts outstanding with respect to its term loan under the credit facility and the credit facility was terminated.

Debt and Credit Facilities - Railcar

ARI

2015 Revolving Credit Facility

In December 2015, ARI completed a financing of its railcar lease fleet with availability of up to \$200 million under a credit agreement. The initial amount drawn from the revolving credit facility ("ARI Revolving Loan") obtained at closing amounted to \$100 million, net of fees and expenses. In February 2016, ARI repaid amounts outstanding under the ARI

Revolving Loan in full and as of March 31, 2016, ARI had borrowing availability of \$200 million under the ARI Revolving Loan.

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the net book value of the railcars that were pledged as part of ARI's credit facilities was \$558 million and \$564 million, respectively.

11. Pension, Other Post-employment Benefits and Employee Benefit Plans.

Federal-Mogul, ARI and Viskase each sponsor several defined benefit pension plans (the "Pension Benefits") (and, in the case of Viskase, its pension plans include defined contribution plans). Additionally, Federal-Mogul and Viskase each sponsors health care and life insurance benefits ("Other Post-Employment Benefits" or "OPEB") for certain employees and retirees around the world. The Pension Benefits are funded based on the funding requirements of federal and international laws and regulations, as applicable, in advance of benefit payments and the Other Post-Employment Benefits as benefits are provided to participating employees. As prescribed by applicable U.S. GAAP, Federal-Mogul, ARI and Viskase each uses, as applicable, appropriate actuarial methods and assumptions in accounting for its defined benefit pension plans, non-pension post-employment benefits, and disability, early retirement and other post-employment benefits. The measurement date for all defined benefit plans is December 31 of each year.

Components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

		Pension	Benef	its		OP	EB	
	,	Three Months E	nded	March 31,		Three Months F	Inded	March 31,
		2016		2015		2016		2015
				(in m	illions)			
Service cost	\$	4	\$	5	\$	—	\$	—
Interest cost		17		16		3		3
Expected return on plan assets		(14)		(17)		—		—
Amortization of actuarial losses		5		7		1		2
Amortization of prior service credit		_		_		(1)		(1)
	\$	12	\$	11	\$	3	\$	4

12. Net Income Per LP Unit.

The following table sets forth the allocation of net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to limited partners and the computation of basic and diluted income per LP unit of Icahn Enterprises for the periods indicated:

	Т	hree Months E	nded M	larch 31,
		2016		2015
		(in millions, exc	ept per uni	it data)
Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises	\$	(837)	\$	161
Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to limited partners (98.01% allocation)	\$	(820)	\$	158
Basic (loss) income per LP unit	\$	(6.21)	\$	1.28
Basic weighted average LP units outstanding		132		123
Dilutive effect of unit distribution declared:				
Income			\$	_
Units				1
Diluted (loss) income per LP unit	\$	(6.21)	\$	1.27
Diluted weighted average LP units outstanding		132		124

LP Unit Issuance

As disclosed in Note 3, "Related Party Transactions," pursuant to a certain contribution agreement, on February 29, 2016, we contributed 685,367 newly issued depositary units of Icahn Enterprises to IRL in exchange for the remaining 25% economic interest in ARL.

LP Unit Distributions

On February 23, 2016, Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.50 per depositary unit in which each depositary unit holder had the option to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units. As a result, on April 12, 2016, Icahn Enterprises distributed an aggregate 2,824,186 depositary units to unit holders electing to receive depositary units in connection with this distribution.

Mr. Icahn and his affiliates elected to receive a majority of their proportionate share of these distributions in depositary units. As of May 5, 2016, Mr. Icahn and his affiliates owned 89.3% of Icahn Enterprises outstanding depositary units.

Because the depositary unit holder has the election to receive the distribution either in cash or additional depositary units, we recorded a unit distribution liability of \$202 million on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as the unit distribution had not been made as of March 31, 2016. In addition, the unit distribution liability, which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, is considered a potentially dilutive security and is considered in the calculation of diluted income per LP unit as disclosed above. However, as their effect would be anti-dilutive, 1 million weighted average units have been excluded from the calculation of diluted income per LP unit for the three months ended March 31, 2016. Any difference between the liability recorded and the amount representing the aggregate value of the number of depositary units distributed and cash paid would be charged to equity.

13. Segment Reporting.

We are a diversified holding company owning subsidiaries currently engaged in the following continuing operating businesses: Investment, Automotive, Energy, Metals, Railcar, Gaming, Mining, Food Packaging, Real Estate and Home Fashion. We also report the results of our Holding Company, which includes the results of certain subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, and investment activity and expenses associated with the Holding Company. Our determination of what constitutes an operating segment is based on the various industries in which our businesses operate and how we manage those businesses in accordance with our investment strategy. We assess and measure segment operating results based on net income from continuing operations attributable to Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, as disclosed below. Certain terms of financings for certain of our segments impose restrictions on the segments' ability to transfer funds to us, including restrictions on dividends, distributions, loans and other transactions. See Note 2, "Operating Units," for a detailed description of each of our reporting segments.

Icahn Enterprises' condensed statements of operations by reporting segment for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 are presented below:

										Three M	Ionths	s Ended !	March	31, 2010	5								
	Inv	estment	А	utomotive	1	Energy	N	Metals	R	Railcar	G	aming	М	lining		Food ckaging		eal tate		lome ashion	olding mpany	Co	nsolidated
											(in millior	ıs)										
Revenues:																							
Net sales	\$	_	\$	2,321	\$	906	\$	58	\$	124	\$	_	\$	10	\$	77	\$	2	\$	50	\$ _	\$	3,548
Other revenues from operations		-		80		_		-		132		218		_		-		16		_	_		446
Net (loss) income from investment activities		(945)		—		_		_		_		_		_		-		_		_	9		(936)
Interest and dividend income		38		1		_		-		1		-		_		-		_		_	2		42
Other income (loss), net		(1)		24		(1)		_		2				(3)		4		1		1	 		27
		(908)		2,426		905		58		259		218		7		81		19		51	11		3,127
Expenses:																							
Cost of goods sold		_		1,912		927		64		102		_		14		61		1		42	_		3,123
Other expenses from operations		_		76		_		_		54		106		_		_		10		_	_		246
Selling, general and administrative		(12)		350		35		4		12		97		4		12		3		9	4		518
Restructuring		_		15		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_	_		15
Impairment		_		3		574		_		_		_		_		_		_		_	_		577
Interest expense		87		39		11				23		3		1		3		1		_	73		241
		75		2,395		1,547		68		191		206		19		76		15		51	 77		4,720
(Loss) income before income tax (expense) benefit	-	(983)		31		(642)		(10)		68		12		(12)		5		4		_	 (66)		(1,593)
Income tax (expense) benefit		_		(3)		28		4		(18)		(6)		(1)		(1)		_		_	(19)		(16)
Net (loss) income		(983)		28		(614)		(6)		50		6		(13)		4		4		_	 (85)		(1,609)
Less: net loss (income) attributable to non- controlling interests		533		(7)		261		_		(14)		(3)		3		(1)		_		_	_		772
Net (loss) income attributable to Icahn Enterprises	\$	(450)	\$	21	\$	(353)	\$	(6)	\$	36	\$	3	\$	(10)	\$	3	\$	4	\$	_	\$ (85)	\$	(837)
	-				-				-		_		_				_		-				
Supplemental information:																							
Capital expenditures	s	_	\$	99	\$	48	\$	1	\$	39	\$	16	\$	2	\$	3	\$	_	\$	2	\$ _	\$	210
Depreciation and amortization(1)	\$	_	\$	104	\$	56	\$	6	\$	34	\$	17	\$	1	\$	5	\$	5	\$	2	\$ _	\$	230

										Three M	Aonths	Ended !	March	31, 201							
	Inves	tment	Au	ıtomotive	I	Energy	N	Ietals	R	ailcar	Ga	ming	М	ining	'ood kaging	Re Esta		ome shion	lding npany	Co	nsolidate
											(i	n millior	is)								
Revenues:																					
Net sales	\$	_	\$	1,835	\$	1,389	\$	106	\$	103	\$	—	\$	_	\$ 85	\$ -	_	\$ 47	\$ _	\$	3,56
Other revenues from operations		-		-		-		-		117		193		-	-		19	-	-		32
Net gain (loss) from investment activities		570		—		35		—		_		—		_	—	-	_	_	(14)		59
Interest and dividend income		49		1		1		_		1		_		—	_	-	_	_	1		5
Other income (loss), net		_		9		(51)						(1)		_	 (3)	1	19	 	 		(2
		619		1,845		1,374		106		221		192		_	82	3	38	47	(13)		4,51
Expenses:																					
Cost of goods sold		_		1,584		1,237		116		82		_		_	66	-	_	40	_		3,12
Other expenses from operations		_		—		_		_		48		96		_	_		11	_	_		15
Selling, general and administrative		100		217		32		5		11		82		_	12		3	8	7		47
Restructuring		_		12		_		_		_		_		_	_	-	_	_	_		1
Impairment		_		1		_		_		_		_		_	_	-	_	_	_		
Interest expense		123		36		12		_		20		3		_	3		1	_	72		27
		223		1,850		1,281		121		161		181		_	 81	1	15	 48	 79		4,04
Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit		396		(5)		93		(15)		60		11		_	 1	2	23	 (1)	 (92)		47
Income tax (expense) benefit		_		(15)		(18)		6		(16)		(4)		_	(1)	-	_	_	(1)		(4
Net income (loss)		396		(20)		75		(9)		44		7		_	 _	1	23	 (1)	 (93)		42
Less: net (income) loss attributable to non- controlling interests		(212)		2		(32)		_		(17)		(2)		_	_	-	_	_	_		(26
Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises	\$	184	\$	(18)	\$	43	\$	(9)	\$	27	\$	5	\$	_	\$ _	\$ 2	23	\$ (1)	\$ (93)	\$	16
Supplemental information:																					
Capital expenditures	\$	_	\$	108	\$	46	\$	15	\$	162	\$	26	\$	_	\$ 4	s -	_	\$ 2	\$ _	\$	36
Depreciation and amortization ⁽¹⁾ Excludes amounts related to the amo	\$		\$	83	\$	58	\$	7	\$	29	\$	15	\$	_	\$ 5	~	5	\$ 2	\$ 	\$ 	20

months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Icahn Enterprises' condensed balance sheets by reporting segment as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 are presented below:

											N	1arch 31,	2016								
	In	vestment	A	utomotive	E	nergy	1	Metals	Ra	ailcar	G	aming	М	lining	Food :kaging	Rea Esta		ome shion	lolding ompany	Co	nsolidated
												(in millic	ons)								
ASSETS																					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	12	\$	309	\$	682	\$	6	\$	346	\$	251	\$	5	\$ 37	\$ 1	1	\$ 5	\$ 212	\$	1,876
Cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash		663		4		_		5		52		11		—	2		2	9	3		751
Investments		9,271		313		5		_		27		42		_	—	-	-	_	368		10,026
Accounts receivable, net		-		1,546		110		27		34		12		3	62		3	42	_		1,839
Inventories, net		_		2,342		259		39		76		_		29	80	-	-	71	_		2,896
Property, plant and equipment, net		-		3,281		2,692		112		2,772		886		135	152	46	7	72	3		10,572
Goodwill and intangible assets, net		_		1,915		332		4		7		91		_	8	4	6	3	_		2,406
Other assets		630		576		103		13		88		221		20	 85	16	1	6	 13		1,916
Total assets	\$	10,576	\$	10,286	\$	4,183	\$	206	\$	3,402	\$	1,514	\$	192	\$ 426	\$ 69	0	\$ 208	\$ 599	\$	32,282
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY																					
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$	924	\$	3,000	\$	1,327	\$	30	\$	297	\$	143	\$	32	\$ 64	\$ 1	4	\$ 33	\$ 388	\$	6,252
Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value		1,052		_		_		_		_		_		—	_	-	-	_	_		1,052
Due to brokers		2,710		_		_		_		_		_		_	_	-	_	_	_		2,710
Post-employment benefit liability		-		1,169		-		2		8		-		_	51	-	-	-	-		1,230
Debt		_		3,282		668		_		2,461		288		50	 267	2	7	 _	 5,487		12,530
Total liabilities		4,686		7,451		1,995		32		2,766		431		82	 382	4	1	 33	 5,875		23,774
Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises		1,820		2,502		1,119		174		423		816		85	29	64	9	175	(5,276)		2,516
Equity attributable to non-controlling interests		4,070		333		1,069				213		267		25	 15		-	 _	 		5,992
Total equity		5,890		2,835		2,188		174		636		1,083		110	 44	64	9	 175	 (5,276)		8,508
Total liabilities and equity	\$	10,576	\$	10,286	\$	4,183	\$	206	\$	3,402	\$	1,514	\$	192	\$ 426	\$ 69	0	\$ 208	\$ 599	\$	32,282

		December 31, 2015																					
	Investm	ent	Aı	Itomotive	E	nergy	N	Aetals	I	Railcar	G	aming	N	lining		Food ckaging		eal ate		Iome ashion	lolding ompany	Co	nsolidated
												(in millio	ons)										
ASSETS																							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	10	\$	201	\$	765	\$	12	\$	623	\$	217	\$	14	\$	37	\$	19	\$	14	\$ 166	\$	2,078
Cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash	1	,199		_		_		4		53		14		_		1		2		6	3		1,282
Investments	14	,553		296		_		_		27		26		_		—		_		_	449		15,351
Accounts receivable, net		_		1,418		96		26		36		9		4		60		2		34	_		1,685
Inventories, net		_		1,656		290		39		97		_		32		77		_		68	_		2,259
Property, plant and equipment, net		_		2,386		2,698		116		2,767		740		134		152	4	67		72	3		9,535
Goodwill and intangible assets, net		_		1,556		911		5		7		74		_		8		48		3	_		2,612
Other assets		378		430		128		13		71		201		19		81	1	63		9	 108		1,601
Total assets	\$ 16	,140	\$	7,943	\$	4,888	\$	215	\$	3,681	\$	1,281	\$	203	\$	416	\$ 7	01	\$	206	\$ 729	\$	36,403
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY																							
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$	488	\$	2,061	\$	1,366	\$	30	\$	299	\$	118	\$	30	\$	62	\$	17	\$	30	\$ (60)	\$	4,441
Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value		794		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_	_		794
Due to brokers	7	,317		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_	_		7,317
Post-employment benefit liability		_		1,163		_		2		8		_		_		51		_		_	_		1,224
Debt		_		3,135	_	667		1		2,671		289	_	50		267		28	_	_	 5,486		12,594
Total liabilities	8	,599		6,359		2,033		33		2,978		407		80		380		45		30	 5,426		26,370
Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises	3	,428		1,270		1,508		182		742		604		95		23	6	56		176	(4,697)		3,987
Equity attributable to non-controlling interests	4	,113		314		1,347		_		(39)		270		28		13				_	 _		6,046
Total equity	7	,541		1,584		2,855		182		703		874		123		36	6	56		176	 (4,697)		10,033
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 16	,140	\$	7,943	\$	4,888	\$	215	\$	3,681	\$	1,281	\$	203	\$	416	\$ 7	01	\$	206	\$ 729	\$	36,403

Icahn Enterprises Holdings

Due to the structure of our business, the consolidated results of operations for Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings are substantially the same. Differences primarily relate to non-cash portions of interest expense as well as due to/due from balances between Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings and are only reflected in the results of operations for the Holding Company. Segment information for Icahn Enterprises Holdings is presented below for significant financial statement line items affected by these differences.

			Three Months Er	ided March 31,			March 31,	December 31,
		2016			2015		2016	2015
	Interest Expense	Net (Loss) Income	Net (Loss) Income Attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings	Interest Expense	Net Income (Loss)	Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings	Total Assets	Total Assets
			(in mill	ions)			(in m	nillions)
Investment	\$ 87	\$ (983)	\$ (450)	\$ 123	\$ 396	\$ 184	\$ 10,576	\$ 16,140
Automotive	39	28	21	36	(20)	(18)	10,286	7,943
Energy	11	(614)	(353)	12	75	43	4,183	4,888
Metals	_	(6)	(6)	_	(9)	(9)	206	215
Railcar	23	50	36	20	44	27	3,402	3,681
Gaming	3	6	3	3	7	5	1,514	1,281
Mining	1	(13)	(10)	_	_	_	192	203
Food Packaging	3	4	3	3	_	_	426	416
Real Estate	1	4	4	1	23	23	690	701
Home Fashion	_	_	_	_	(1)	(1)	208	206
Holding Company	73	(85)	(85)	72	(93)	(93)	623	753
Consolidated	\$ 241	\$ (1,609)	\$ (837)	\$ 270	\$ 422	\$ 161	\$ 32,306	\$ 36,427

Amounts related to the amortization of debt discounts and premiums included in interest expense for the consolidated results of Icahn Enterprises Holdings were \$4 million and \$3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

14. Income Taxes.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, each of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings recorded an income tax expense of \$16 million on pre-tax loss of \$1.6 billion compared to an income tax expense of \$49 million on pre-tax income of \$471 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Our effective income tax rate was (1.0)% and 10.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, the effective tax rate was lower than the statutory federal rate of 35%, primarily due to partnership losses for which there was no tax benefit, as such losses are allocated to the partners, and goodwill impairment not deductible for tax purposes.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the effective tax rate was lower than the statutory federal rate of 35%, primarily due to partnership income not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners.

15. Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss.

Changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of the following:

	mployment s, Net of Tax	Не	edge Instruments, Net of Tax	Adj	Franslation justments and her, Net of Tax	Total
			(in mi	llions)		
Balance, December 31, 2015	\$ (632)	\$	(25)	\$	(800)	\$ (1,457)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax	_		_		48	48
Reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to earnings	6		_		(1)	5
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	 6		—		47	 53
Balance, March 31, 2016	\$ (626)	\$	(25)	\$	(753)	\$ (1,404)

16. Other Income (Loss), Net.

Other income (loss), net consists of the following:

	Th	ree Months Ended	March 31,
		2016	2015
		(in millions)	
Realized and unrealized loss on derivatives, net (Note 6)	\$	(1) \$	(51)
Gain on disposition of assets		10	11
Loss on extinguishment of debt		—	(2)
Equity earnings from non-consolidated affiliates		15	14
Foreign currency translation loss		(3)	(2)
Other		6	3
	\$	27 \$	(27)

17. Commitments and Contingencies.

Automotive

Environmental Matters

Federal-Mogul is a defendant in lawsuits filed, or the recipient of administrative orders issued or demand letters received, in various jurisdictions pursuant to the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA") or other similar national, provincial or state environmental remedial laws. These laws provide that responsible parties may be liable to pay for remediating contamination resulting from hazardous substances that were discharged into the environment by them, by prior owners or occupants of property they currently own or operate, or by others to whom they sent such substances for treatment or other disposition at third party locations. Federal-Mogul has been notified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, other national environmental agencies, and various provincial and state agencies that it may be a potentially responsible party ("PRP") under such laws for the cost of remediating hazardous substances pursuant to CERCLA and other national and state or provincial environmental laws. PRP designation often results in the funding of site investigations and subsequent remedial activities.

Many of the sites that are likely to be the costliest to remediate are often current or former commercial waste disposal facilities to which numerous companies sent wastes. Despite the potential joint and several liability that might be imposed on Federal-Mogul under CERCLA and some of the other laws pertaining to these sites, its share of the total waste sent to these sites has generally been small. Federal-Mogul believes its exposure for liability at these sites is limited.

Federal-Mogul has also identified certain other present and former properties at which it may be responsible for cleaning up or addressing environmental contamination, in some cases as a result of contractual commitments and/or federal or state environmental laws. Federal-Mogul is actively seeking to resolve these actual and potential statutory, regulatory and contractual obligations. Although difficult to quantify based on the complexity of the issues, Federal-Mogul has accrued amounts corresponding to its best estimate of the costs associated with such regulatory and contractual obligations on the basis of available information from site investigations and best professional judgment of consultants.

Total environmental liabilities, determined on an undiscounted basis, were \$14 million and \$14 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, and are included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Federal-Mogul believes that recorded environmental liabilities will be adequate to cover its estimated liability for its exposure in respect to such matters. In the event that such liabilities were to significantly exceed the amounts recorded by Federal-Mogul, our Automotive segment's results of operations could be materially affected. At March 31, 2016, Federal-Mogul estimates reasonably possible material additional losses, above and beyond its best estimate of required remediation costs as recorded, to approximate \$43 million.

Asset Retirement Obligations

Federal-Mogul has identified sites with contractual obligations and several sites that are closed or expected to be closed and sold. In connection with these sites, Federal-Mogul has accrued \$16 million and \$16 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, for asset retirement obligations ("ARO"), primarily related to anticipated costs of removing hazardous building materials at its facilities, and has considered impairment issues that may result from capitalization of these ARO amounts.

Federal-Mogul has conditional asset retirement obligations ("CARO"), primarily related to removal costs of hazardous materials in buildings, for which it believes reasonable cost estimates cannot be made at this time because it does not believe it has a reasonable basis to assign probabilities to a range of potential settlement dates for these retirement obligations. Accordingly, Federal-Mogul is currently unable to determine amounts to accrue for CARO at such sites.

Other Matters

On April 25, 2014, a group of plaintiffs brought an action against Federal-Mogul Products, Inc. ("F-M Products"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Federal-Mogul, alleging injuries and damages associated with the discharge of chlorinated hydrocarbons by the former owner of a facility located in Kentucky. Since 1998, when F-M Products acquired the facility, it has been cooperating with the applicable regulatory agencies on remediating the prior discharges pursuant to an order entered into by the facility's former owner. Federal-Mogul is unable to estimate any reasonably possible range of loss for reasons including that the plaintiffs did not claim any amount of damages in their complaint. F-M Products intends to vigorously defend this litigation.

Energy

Unconditional Purchase Obligations

CVR leases various equipment, including railcars, and real properties under long-term operating leases expiring at various dates. For each of the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 lease expense was \$2 million. The lease agreements have various remaining terms. Some agreements are renewable, at CVR's option, for additional periods. It is expected, in the ordinary course of business, that leases will be renewed or replaced as they expire. Additionally, in the normal course of business, CVR has long-term commitments to purchase oxygen, nitrogen, electricity, storage capacity and pipeline transportation services.

Crude Oil Supply Agreement

On August 31, 2012, Coffeyville Resources Refining & Marketing, LLC ("CRRM"), and Vitol Inc. ("Vitol") entered into an Amended and Restated Crude Oil Supply Agreement (the "Vitol Agreement"). Under the Vitol Agreement, Vitol supplies the petroleum business with crude oil and intermediation logistics, which helps to reduce the Refining Partnership's inventory position and mitigate crude oil pricing risk. The Vitol Agreement will automatically renew for successive one-year terms (each such term, a "Renewal Term") unless either party provides the other with notice of nonrenewal at least 180 days prior to the expiration of any Renewal Term. The Vitol Agreement currently extends through December 31, 2016.

Litigation

From time to time, CVR is involved in various lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, including matters such as those described below under "Environmental, Health and Safety Matters." Liabilities related to such litigation are recognized when the related costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. These provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. It is possible that management's estimates of the outcomes will change due to uncertainties inherent in litigation and settlement negotiations. Except as described below for our Energy segment, there were no new proceedings or material developments in proceedings that we previously reported in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. In the opinion of CVR's management, the ultimate resolution of any other litigation matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. There can be no assurance that management's beliefs or opinions with respect to liability for potential litigation matters are accurate.

Environmental, Health and Safety Matters ("EHS")

The petroleum and nitrogen fertilizer businesses are subject to various stringent federal, state, and local EHS rules and regulations. Liabilities related to EHS matters are recognized when the related costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Estimates of these costs are based upon currently available facts, existing technology, site-specific costs, and currently enacted laws and regulations. In reporting EHS liabilities, no offset is made for potential recoveries.

CRRM, CRNF, Coffeyville Resources Crude Transportation, LLC ("CRCT"), Wynnewood Refining Company, LLC ("WRC") and Coffeyville Resources Terminal, LLC ("CRT") own and/or operate manufacturing and ancillary operations at various locations directly related to petroleum refining and distribution and nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing. Therefore, CRRM, CRNF, CRCT, WRC and CRT have exposure to potential EHS liabilities related to past and present EHS conditions at these locations. Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), and related state laws, certain persons may be liable for the release or threatened release of hazardous substances. These persons can include the current owner or operator of property where a release or threatened release occurred, any persons who owned or operated the property when the release occurred, and any persons who disposed of, or arranged for the transportation or disposal of, hazardous substances at a contaminated property. Liability under CERCLA is strict, and under certain circumstances, joint and several, so that any responsible party may be held liable for the entire cost of investigating and remediating the release of hazardous substances. Similarly, the Oil Pollution Act generally subjects owners and operators of facilities to strict, joint and several liability for all containment and clean-up costs, natural resource damages, and potential governmental oversight costs arising from oil spills into the waters of the United States, which has been broadly interpreted to include most water bodies including intermittent streams.

CRRM, CRNF, CRCT, WRC and CRT are subject to extensive and frequently changing federal, state and local environmental and health and safety laws and regulations governing the emission and release of hazardous substances into the environment, the treatment and discharge of waste water, and the storage, handling, use and transportation of petroleum and nitrogen products, and the characteristics and composition of gasoline and diesel fuels. The ultimate impact of complying with evolving laws and regulations is not always clearly known or determinable due in part to the fact that our operations may change over time and certain implementing regulations for laws, such as the federal Clean Air Act, have not yet been finalized, are under governmental or judicial review or are being revised. These laws and regulations could result in increased capital, operating and compliance costs.

As previously reported in our 2015 Form 10-K, the petroleum and nitrogen fertilizer businesses are party to, or otherwise subject to administrative orders and consent decrees with federal, state and local environmental authorities, as applicable, addressing corrective actions under RCRA, the Clean Air Act and the Clean Water Act. The petroleum business also is subject to (i) the Mobile Source Air Toxic II ("MSAT II") rule which requires reductions of benzene in gasoline; (ii) the Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS"), which requires refiners to either blend "renewable fuels" in with their transportation fuels or purchase renewable fuel credits, known as RINs, in lieu of blending; and (iii) "Tier 3" gasoline sulfur standards. Except as otherwise described below, there have been no new developments or material changes to the environmental accruals or expected capital expenditures related to compliance with the foregoing environmental matters from those provided in our 2015 Form 10-K. CRRM, CRNF, CRCT, WRC and CRT each believe it is in substantial compliance with existing EHS rules and regulations. There can be no assurance that the EHS matters described or referenced herein or other EHS matters which may develop in the future will not have a material adverse effect on our Energy segment's business, financial condition, or results of operations.



As of both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, our Energy segment had environmental accruals of \$4 million. CVR's management periodically reviews and, as appropriate, revises its environmental accruals. Based on current information and regulatory requirements, CVR's management believes that the accruals established for environmental expenditures are adequate.

Environmental expenditures are capitalized when such expenditures are expected to result in future economic benefits. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, capital expenditures were \$4 million and \$11 million, respectively, and were incurred for environmental compliance and efficiency of the operations.

The cost of RINs for three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$43 million and \$37 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the petroleum business' biofuel blending obligation was \$24 million and \$10 million, respectively, which was recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Metals

Environmental Matters

Certain of PSC Metals' facilities are environmentally impaired in part as a result of operating practices at the sites prior to their acquisition by PSC Metals and as a result of PSC Metals' operations. PSC Metals has established procedures to periodically evaluate these sites, giving consideration to the nature and extent of the contamination. PSC Metals has provided for the remediation of these sites based upon management's judgment and prior experience. PSC Metals has estimated the liability to remediate these sites to be \$28 million and \$29 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Management believes, based on past experience, that the vast majority of these environmental liabilities and costs will be assessed and paid over an extended period of time. PSC Metals believes that it will be able to fund such costs in the ordinary course of business.

Estimates of PSC Metals' liability for remediation of a particular site and the method and ultimate cost of remediation require a number of assumptions that are inherently difficult to make, and the ultimate outcome may be materially different from current estimates. Moreover, because PSC Metals has disposed of waste materials at numerous third-party disposal facilities, it is possible that PSC Metals will be identified as a PRP at additional sites. The impact of such future events cannot be estimated at the current time.

PSC Metals has been designated as a PRP under U.S. federal and state superfund laws with respect to certain sites with which PSC Metals may have had a direct or indirect involvement. It is alleged that PSC Metals and its subsidiaries or their predecessors transported waste to the sites, disposed of waste at the sites or operated the sites in question. In addition, PSC Metals recently learned that its Knoxville location was the subject of investigations by the State of Tennessee under the federal Superfund law. These investigations were performed by the State of Tennessee pursuant to a contract with the EPA. PSC Metals is exploring a potential settlement of the matter. Currently, PSC Metals cannot assess the impact of any cost or liability associated with these investigations. With respect to all other matters in which PSC Metals has been designated as a PRP under U.S. federal and state superfund laws, PSC Metals has reviewed the nature and extent of the allegations, the number, connection and financial ability of other named and unnamed PRPs and the nature and estimated cost of the likely remedy. Based on reviewing the nature and extent of the allegations, PSC Metals has estimated its liability to remediate these sites to be immaterial at each of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. If it is determined that PSC Metals has liability to remediate those sites and that more expensive remediation approaches are required in the future, PSC Metals could incur additional obligations, which could be material.

In November and December of 2011, PSC Metals received three notices of violation ("NOV") from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources ("MDNR") for hazardous waste and water violations related to its Festus, Missouri location. PSC Metals has entered into a settlement with MDNR that resolves these NOVs. Currently, PSC Metals believes that it has established adequate reserves for the cost of this settlement. In addition, PSC Metals believes that it has a claim for indemnification against the prior owner of the facility associated with the above-referenced notices of violation. MDNR and PSC Metals, as part of the resolution of MDNR's NOVs, have undertaken sampling for lead at residences near PSC Metals' Festus yard. Approximately 67 residences were sampled and tested, and of those, approximately 15 tested above residential standards for lead contamination. PSC Metals has entered into a settlement agreement with MDNR which resolves MNDR's claims and requires limited soil remediation at the 15 residences. PSC Metals is in the process of completing the remediation required by the settlement agreement. Currently, PSC Metals believes that it has adequately reserved for the cost of remediation associated with its Festus yard and the residential areas near the yard. However, PSC Metals cannot assess its liability with

certainty at this time. Additionally, PSC Metals believes that liability for off-site contamination was retained by the prior owner of the Festus yard and accordingly, it would have a claim for indemnification against the prior owner.

In late February 2016, the PSC Metals shredder in Beaver Falls, Pennsylvania unknowingly processed scrap obtained from a third party containing radioactive material. Processing and transfer of that material resulted in the contamination of equipment there, and two other PSC Metals facilities. PSC Metals notified the appropriate governmental authorities and is working with those agencies and qualified specialist companies to properly remediate the contaminated facilities and dispose of the contaminated material. PSC Metals believes that the cost of the remediation effort and any business interruption costs are covered by insurance and its financial exposure would be immaterial.

Railcar

On October 24, 2014, ARI filed a complaint in United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against Gyansys, Inc. ("Gyansys"). The complaint asserts a claim against Gyansys for breaching its contract with ARI to implement an enterprise resource planning system. ARI seeks to recover monetary damages in an amount still to be determined, but which ARI alleged exceeds \$25 million. Gyansys filed a response to the suit denying its responsibility. It also counterclaimed against ARI for a breach of contract and wrongful termination, seeking damages in excess of \$10 million and equitable relief. At this time, ARI does not have sufficient information to reasonably form an estimate of the potential outcome (gain or loss) of this litigation. On September 12, 2015, the court denied ARI's motion to dismiss the wrongful termination counterclaim. A trial date has been tentatively scheduled for September 16, 2016. However, ARI believes that Gyansys' counterclaims lack merit and will continue to vigorously defend against these counterclaims.

Other Matters

Mr. Icahn, through certain affiliates, owns 100% of Icahn Enterprises GP and approximately 89.1% of Icahn Enterprises' outstanding depositary units as of March 31, 2016. Applicable pension and tax laws make each member of a "controlled group" of entities, generally defined as entities in which there is at least an 80% common ownership interest, jointly and severally liable for certain pension plan obligations of any member of the controlled group. These pension obligations include ongoing contributions to fund the plan, as well as liability for any unfunded liabilities that may exist at the time the plan is terminated. In addition, the failure to pay these pension obligations when due may result in the creation of liens in favor of the pension plan or the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") against the assets of each member of the controlled group.

As a result of the more than 80% ownership interest in us by Mr. Icahn's affiliates, we and our subsidiaries are subject to the pension liabilities of entities in which Mr. Icahn has a direct or indirect ownership interest of at least 80%. As a result of our ownership of more than 80% in our subsidiaries, we and our subsidiaries are subject to the pension liabilities of all entities in which Mr. Icahn has a direct or indirect ownership interest of at least 80%. ACF and Federal-Mogul, are the sponsors of several pension plans. All the minimum funding requirements of the Code and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended by the Pension Protection Act of 2006, for these plans have been met as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. If the plans were voluntarily terminated, they would be underfunded by approximately \$584 million and \$589 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. These results are based on the most recent information provided by the plans' actuaries. These liabilities could increase or decrease, depending on a number of factors, including future changes in benefits, investment returns, and the assumptions used to calculate the liability. As members of the controlled group, we would be liable for any failure of ACF and Federal-Mogul to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon a termination of the pension plan obligations that are, or may become, underfunded and we would be liable for any failure of such entities to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon termination of such plans.

The current underfunded status of the pension plans of ACF and Federal-Mogul requires them to notify the PBGC of certain "reportable events," such as if we cease to be a member of the ACF and Federal-Mogul controlled group, or if we make certain extraordinary dividends or stock redemptions. The obligation to report could cause us to seek to delay or reconsider the occurrence of such reportable events.

Starfire Holding Corporation ("Starfire") which is 99.4% owned by Mr. Icahn, has undertaken to indemnify us and our subsidiaries from losses resulting from any imposition of certain pension funding or termination liabilities that may be imposed on us and our subsidiaries or our assets as a result of being a member of the Icahn controlled group. The Starfire indemnity (which does not extend to pension liabilities of our subsidiaries that would be imposed on us as a result of our interest in these subsidiaries and not as a result of Mr. Icahn and his affiliates holding more than an 80% ownership interest in us, and as such



would not extend to the unfunded pension termination liability for Federal-Mogul) provides, among other things, that so long as such contingent liabilities exist and could be imposed on us, Starfire will not make any distributions to its stockholders that would reduce its net worth to below \$250 million. Nonetheless, Starfire may not be able to fund its indemnification obligations to us.

18. Subsequent Events.

Icahn Enterprises

Distribution

On April 29, 2016, the Board of Directors of the general partner of Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.50 per depositary unit. The quarterly distribution is payable in either cash or additional depositary units, at the election of each depositary unit holder and will be paid on or about June 16, 2016 to depositary unit holders of record at the close of business on May 12, 2016. Depositary unit holders have until June 6, 2016 to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units; if a holder does not make an election, it will automatically be deemed to have elected to receive the dividend in cash. Depositary unit holders who elect to receive additional depositary units will receive units valued at the volume weighted average trading price of the units on NASDAQ during the 5 consecutive trading days ending June 13, 2016. No fractional depositary units will be issued pursuant to the dividend payment. Icahn Enterprises will make a cash payment in lieu of issuing fractional depositary units to any holders electing to receive depositary units. Any holders that would only be eligible to receive a fraction of a depositary unit based on the above calculation will receive a cash payment.

Energy

As further discussed in Note 2, "Operating Units - Energy" on April 1, 2016, CVR Partners completed its previously announced merger with East Dubuque and East Dubuque GP.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion is intended to assist you in understanding our present business and the results of operations together with our present financial condition. This section should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2016 (this "Report").

Overview

Introduction

Icahn Enterprises L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises") is a master limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises Holdings") is a limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. References to "we," "our" or "us" herein include both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings and their subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

Icahn Enterprises owns a 99% limited partner interest in Icahn Enterprises Holdings. Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc. ("Icahn Enterprises GP"), which is owned and controlled by Mr. Carl C. Icahn, owns a 1% general partner interest in each of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings as of March 31, 2016. Icahn Enterprises Holdings and its subsidiaries own substantially all of our assets and liabilities and conduct substantially all of our operations. Therefore, the financial results of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings are substantially the same, with differences relating primarily to debt and to the allocation of the general partner interest, which is reflected as an aggregate 1.99% general partner interest in the financial statements of Icahn Enterprises. In addition to the above, Mr. Icahn and his affiliates owned approximately 89.1% of Icahn Enterprises' outstanding depositary units as of March 31, 2016.

We are a diversified holding company owning subsidiaries currently engaged in the following continuing operating businesses: Investment, Automotive, Energy, Metals, Railcar, Gaming, Mining, Food Packaging, Real Estate and Home Fashion. We also report the results of our Holding Company, which includes the results of certain subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings (unless otherwise noted), and investment activity and expenses associated with the Holding Company.

Results of Operations

Consolidated Financial Results

The following table summarizes total revenues, net income (loss) and net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings for each of our reporting segments and the Holding Company for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

	Rev	enues		Net (Loss	s) Inco	ome	N	et (Loss) Income A Enter Icahn Enterp	prises	s/
	 Three Months I	Ended	l March 31,	 Three Months E	Inded	March 31,		Three Months I	Ended	March 31,
	 2016		2015	 2016		2015		2016		2015
				(in mil	lions)					
Investment	\$ (908)	\$	619	\$ (983)	\$	396	\$	(450)	\$	184
Automotive	2,426		1,845	28		(20)		21		(18)
Energy	905		1,374	(614)		75		(353)		43
Metals	58		106	(6)		(9)		(6)		(9)
Railcar	259		221	50		44		36		27
Gaming	218		192	6		7		3		5
Mining	7		_	(13)		—		(10)		_
Food Packaging	81		82	4		_		3		_
Real Estate	19		38	4		23		4		23
Home Fashion	51		47	_		(1)				(1)
Holding Company	11		(13)	(85)		(93)		(85)		(93)
	\$ 3,127	\$	4,511	\$ (1,609)	\$	422	\$	(837)	\$	161

Overview

Our operating businesses are managed on a decentralized basis. Due to the structure of our business, we discuss the results of operations below by individual reporting segments. See Note 2, "Operating Units," to the condensed consolidated financial statements for a description of each of our operating segments and Note 13, "Segment Reporting," for a reconciliation of each of our reporting segment's results of operations to our consolidated results.

Investment

Our Investment segment is comprised of various private investment funds, including Icahn Partners L.P. ("Icahn Partners") and Icahn Partners Master Fund LP ("Master Fund") (collectively, the "Investment Funds"), through which we invest our proprietary capital. We and certain of Mr. Icahn's wholly owned affiliates are the sole investors in the Investment Funds. Icahn Onshore LP and Icahn Offshore LP (together, the "General Partners") act as the general partner of Icahn Partners and the Master Fund, respectively. The General Partners provide investment advisory and certain administrative and back office services to the Investment Funds but do not provide such services to any other entities, individuals or accounts. Interests in the Investment Funds are not offered to outside investors.

Mr. Icahn, along with his affiliates (excluding Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings), makes investments in the Investment Funds. As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the total fair market value of investments in the Investment Funds made by Mr. Icahn and his affiliates was approximately \$4.1 billion and \$4.1 billion, respectively, representing approximately 69% and 55%, respectively, of the Investment Funds' assets under management.

Our Interests in the Investment Funds

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had investments with a fair market value of approximately \$1.8 billion and \$3.4 billion, respectively, in the Investment Funds.

Our share of the Investment Funds' net income (loss) through our interests in the Investment Funds was \$(450) million and \$184 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Returns

The following table sets forth performance information for the Investment Funds for the comparative periods presented. These returns represent a weighted-average composite of the average returns, net of expenses for the Investment Funds.

R	Returns		
Three Month	s Ended March 31,		
2016	2015		
-12.8	4.3%		

Performance Attribution

The following table sets forth the performance attribution for the Investment Funds for the comparative periods presented.

	Performance At	Performance Attribution		
	Three Months Ende	ed March 31,		
	2016	2015		
Long positions	-2.7 %	6.0 %		
Short positions	-8.4 %	-2.6 %		
Other	-1.7 %	0.9 %		
	-12.8 %	4.3 %		

The Investment Funds' aggregate return was -12.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2016. During the first quarter of 2016, the Investment Funds' performance was driven by losses in their short exposure, including broad market hedges, coupled with losses in long equity positions, primarily in a few of their largest core holdings.

The Investment Funds' aggregate return was 4.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2015. During the first quarter of 2015, the Investment Funds' performance was driven by gains in long equity positions, primarily in a few of their largest core holdings, partially offset by losses in their short exposure, including broad market hedges.

From inception in November 2004 through March 31, 2016, the Investment Funds' return was approximately 136%, representing an annualized rate of return of approximately 8%.

Automotive

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2016		2015
	(in m	illions)	
Net sales	\$ 2,321	\$	1,835
Cost of goods sold	1,912		1,584
Gross margin	\$ 409	\$	251

We conduct our Automotive segment through our majority ownership in Federal-Mogul Holdings Corporation ("Federal-Mogul"), our wholly owned subsidiary, IEH Auto Parts Holding LLC ("IEH Auto"), which acquired substantially all of the auto parts assets in the United States of Uni-Select, Inc. through an acquisition that was consummated during the second quarter of 2015, and effective February 3, 2016, through our wholly owned subsidiary Pep Boys - Manny, Moe & Jack ("Pep Boys"). See Note 2, "Operating Units - Automotive," to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion regarding the Pep Boys acquisition. Intercompany transactions among Federal-Mogul, Pep Boys and IEH Auto have been eliminated in consolidation.

On February 28, 2016, Icahn Enterprises issued a proposal to the board of directors of Federal-Mogul to purchase the remaining shares of Federal-Mogul common stock not owned by us in a merger transaction. pursuant to which Federal-Mogul shareholders would receive \$7.00 per share in cash for their shares of Federal-Mogul common stock. See Note 2, "Operating Units - Automotive," for further discussion regarding this proposed transaction.

Federal-Mogul is a leading global supplier of a broad range of components, accessories and systems to the automotive, small engine, heavy-duty, marine, railroad, agricultural, off-road, aerospace and energy, industrial and transport markets, including customers in both the original equipment manufacturers and servicers ("OE") market and the replacement market ("aftermarket"). Federal-Mogul's customers include the world's largest automotive OEs and major distributors and retailers in the independent aftermarket, including Pep Boys and IEH Auto.

Federal-Mogul operates with two end-customer focused businesses. The Powertrain business focuses on original equipment products for automotive, heavy duty and industrial applications. The Motorparts business sells and distributes a broad portfolio of products in the global aftermarket, while also serving original equipment manufacturers with products including braking, chassis, wipers, and other vehicle components.

Federal-Mogul's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q contain a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. Federal-Mogul's filings with the SEC are available on the SEC's website at *www.sec.gov*.

Pep Boys has 805 locations in the automotive aftermarket industry located throughout the United States and Puerto Rico. Pep Boys stores are organized into a hub and spoke network consisting of Supercenters and Service & Tire Centers. Supercenters average approximately 20,000 square feet and combine a parts and accessories store with professional service centers that perform a full range of automotive maintenance and repair services. Most of the Pep Boys Supercenters also have a commercial sales program that provides prompt delivery of parts, tires and equipment to automotive repair shops and dealers. Service & Tire Centers, which average approximately 6,000 square feet, provide automotive maintenance and repair services in neighborhood locations that are conveniently located where our customers live or work.

IEH Auto has 32 distribution centers and satellite locations and 274 corporate-owned jobber stores in the United States and supports a network of more than 2,000 independent wholesalers. Through its locations, IEH Auto sells predominantly to commercial aftermarket customers in the do-it-for-me ("DIFM") market as well as retail and do-it-yourself ("DIY") customers.

Pep Boys and IEH Auto are being operated together in order to grow their sales to DIFM distributors and DIFM service professionals, to grow their automotive service business, and to maintain their DIY customer bases by offering the newest and broadest product assortment in the automotive aftermarket.

Federal-Mogul is operated independently from Pep Boys and IEH Auto.

Major Influences Impacting Results of Operations

Our Automotive segment is affected by the following trends and market conditions:

Global vehicle production levels

Global vehicle production increased by 0.7% in the first quarter of 2016. European vehicle production rose 1.6% and North American vehicle production increased 4%, with positive growth in Canada and the United States. Vehicle production in the Asia-Pacific region increased just over 1% during the first quarter of 2016, reaching another record high. Among the major regions, only South America posted a decline during the first quarter of 2016, which was down 30.8%.

Global vehicle sales levels

Global vehicle sales increased by 2.3% in first quarter of 2016. European vehicle sales rose 3.2% and North American vehicles sales increased 4.5%, with positive growth in Canada, Mexico and the United States. Vehicle sales in the Asia-Pacific region increased 3%, reaching another record high. Among the major regions, only South America posted a decline during the first quarter of 2016, which was down 19.7%.

Part replacement trends

The strength of our Automotive segment's aftermarket business is influenced by several key drivers. These include the vehicle population (or "parc"), average vehicle age, fuel prices and vehicle distance traveled. The vehicle parc is estimated to have expanded in most major markets, including the United States, Japan, China, and Germany. Average vehicle ages also increased, despite growth in new vehicle sales, in most regions.

Recent decline in oil prices

The volatility in recent oil prices, which are generally at historic lows, results in lower fuel prices for consumers. However, on an aggregate level, the losses from these lower prices have been larger and quicker than expected as energy companies cut back on investment and lay off workers, while the gains are smaller and slower to materialize, as the majority of this extra discretionary income has been applied to debt reduction, precautionary saving, and offset by slower income growth. But for some households, lower fuel prices do provide more discretionary income for a variety of purchases, including new vehicle sales, which increases demand for production parts from OEMs. That additional income can also support vehicle repairs which tends to encourage more driving miles, which in turn accelerates wear on vehicle components, thereby accelerating the need for replacements.

Foreign currencies

Our Automotive segment's operations are subject to fluctuations in foreign exchanges rates given the nature of their global operations and there has been significant volatility in foreign currency rates.



Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015

Net sales for our Automotive segment for the three months ended March 31, 2016 increased by \$486 million (26%) as compared to the comparable prior year period. As discussed above, we acquired Pep Boys on February 3, 2016 and IEH Auto during the second quarter of 2015, resulting in an aggregate \$424 million in additional consolidated net sales within our Automotive segment during the first quarter of 2016. Federal-Mogul's net sales, before eliminations, increased \$62 million over the comparable periods. Federal-Mogul's net sales were negatively impacted by the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against several global currencies which resulted in an unfavorable foreign currency impact of \$52 million. Excluding the impact of foreign currency, Federal-Mogul's sales increased by \$114 million, including \$50 million from acquisitions. Including the impact of sales from acquisitions, the Powertrain business' sales volumes in North America, Europe, the Middle East and Africa ("EMEA") and the Rest of the World ("ROW) grew by 2.1%, 1.0% and 1.1%, respectively, and the Motorparts business' sales in North America, EMEA and ROW grew (decreased) by 8.1%, (0.4)% and 0.6%, respectively.

Cost of goods sold for the three months ended March 31, 2016 increased by \$328 million (21%) as compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase in cost of goods sold was primarily due to the inclusion of the acquisitions of IEH Auto during the second quarter of 2015 and Pep Boys in February 2016.

Gross margin on net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2016 increased by \$158 million (63%) as compared to the comparable prior year period. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was 18% and 14% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The increase in gross margin as a percentage of net sales over the respective periods was due to the inclusion of the acquisitions of IEH Auto during the second quarter of 2015 and Pep Boys in February 2016.

In addition to net sales, our Automotive segment had \$80 million of services revenue and \$76 million of related expenses (included in other revenues from operations and other expenses from operations, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations) during the three months ended March 31, 2016 due to our acquisition of Pep Boys during the first quarter of 2016. Prior to the acquisition of Pep Boys, our Automotive segment did not have any services revenues or expenses.

Energy

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	 2016		2015
	(in mi	llions)	
Net Sales:			
Petroleum	\$ 834	\$	1,304
Fertilizer	73		93
Eliminations	(1)		(8)
	\$ 906	\$	1,389
Cost of Goods Sold:			
Petroleum	\$ 880	\$	1,185
Fertilizer	49		60
Eliminations	(2)		(8)
	\$ 927	\$	1,237
Gross Margin:		-	
Petroleum	\$ (46)	\$	119
Fertilizer	24		33
Eliminations	1		—
	\$ (21)	\$	152

The following table provides a reconciliation of our Energy segment's petroleum business' gross margin to refining margin and refining margin adjusted for FIFO impacts for the periods indicated:

	r	Three Months Ended March 31,		
		2016		2015
		(in millions, exce	pt barrels	metrics)
Net sales	\$	834	\$	1,304
Cost of goods sold		880		1,185
Gross margin		(46)		119
Add back:				
Direct operating expenses and turnaround expenses		118		87
Depreciation and amortization		40		42
Refining margin		112		248
FIFO impacts, unfavorable		9		25
Refining margin adjusted for FIFO impacts	\$	121	\$	273
	0		¢	(= (
Gross margin per barrel	\$	(2.74)	\$	6.56
Refining margin per barrel		6.67		13.68
Refining margin per barrel adjusted for FIFO impacts		7.19		15.03
Total crude oil throughput (barrels per day)		184,155		201,664

CVR Energy, Inc.'s ("CVR") Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q contain a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. CVR's filings with the SEC are available on the SEC's website at *www.sec.gov*.

As of March 31, 2016, we owned 82% of the total outstanding common stock of CVR. In addition, as of March 31, 2016, we owned approximately 4.0% of the total outstanding common stock of CVR Refining, LP ("CVR Refining").

CVR is a diversified holding company primarily engaged in the petroleum refining and nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing industries through its holdings in CVR Refining and CVR Partners, LP ("CVR Partners"), respectively. CVR Refining is an independent petroleum refiner and marketer of high value transportation fuels. CVR Partners produces and markets nitrogen fertilizers in the form of urea ammonium nitrate ("UAN") and ammonia.

As further discussed in Note 2, "Operating Units - Energy," on April 1, 2016, CVR Partners completed its previously announced merger with East Dubuque (formerly known as Rentech Nitrogen Partners, LP.) and East Dubuque GP (formerly known as Rentech Nitrogen GP, LLC). Pursuant to the mergers, CVR Partners issued approximately 40.2 million common units to East Dubuque common unitholders and paid \$99 million in cash consideration.

Major Influences on Results of Operations

Our Energy segment's earnings and cash flows from its petroleum operations are primarily affected by the relationship between refined product prices and the prices for crude oil and other feedstocks. In the nitrogen fertilizer business, earnings and cash flows from operations are primarily affected by the relationship among nitrogen fertilizer product prices, on-stream factors and direct operating expenses.

The earnings and cash flows of the petroleum business are primarily affected by the relationship between refined product prices and the prices for crude oil and other feedstocks that are processed and blended into refined products. The cost to acquire crude oil and other feedstocks and the price for which refined products are ultimately sold depend on factors beyond its control, including the supply of and demand for crude oil, as well as gasoline and other refined products which, in turn, depend on, among other factors, changes in domestic and foreign economies, weather conditions, domestic and foreign political affairs, production levels, the availability of imports, the marketing of competitive fuels and the extent of government regulation. Because the petroleum business applies first-in, first-out ("FIFO") accounting to value its inventory, crude oil price movements may impact net income in the short term because of changes in the value of its unhedged on-hand inventory. The effect of changes in crude oil prices on our results of operations is influenced by the rate at which the prices of refined products adjust to reflect these changes.

The prices of crude oil and other feedstocks and refined product prices are also affected by other factors, such as product pipeline capacity, local market conditions and the operating levels of competing refineries. Crude oil costs and the prices of refined products have historically been subject to wide fluctuations. Widespread expansion or upgrades of competitors' facilities, price volatility, international political and economic developments and other factors are likely to continue to play an important role in refining industry economics. These factors can impact, among other things, the level of inventories in the market, resulting in price volatility and a reduction in product margins. Moreover, the refining industry typically experiences seasonal fluctuations in demand for refined products, such as increases in the demand for gasoline during the summer driving season and for home heating oil during the winter, primarily in the Northeast. In addition to current market conditions, there are long-term factors that may impact the demand for refined products. These factors include mandated renewable fuels standards, proposed climate change laws and regulations, and increased mileage standards for vehicles. The petroleum business is also subject to the Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS") of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), which requires it to either blend "renewable fuels" in with its transportation fuels or purchase renewable fuel credits, known as renewable identification numbers ("RINs"), in lieu of blending.

On December 14, 2015, the EPA published in the Federal Register a final rule establishing the renewable fuel volume mandates for 2014, 2015 and 2016, and the biomass-based diesel mandate for 2017. The volumes included in the EPA's final rule increase each year, but are lower, with the exception of the volumes for biomass-based diesel, than the volumes required by the Clean Air Act. The EPA used its waiver authority to lower the volumes, but its decision to do so has been challenged in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. In addition, in the final rule establishing the renewable volume obligations for 2014-2016 and biomass based diesel for 2017, the EPA articulated a policy to incentivize additional investments in renewable fuel blending and distribution infrastructure by increasing the price of RINs.

The cost of RINs for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$43 million and \$37 million, respectively. The price of RINs has been extremely volatile and has increased over the last year. The future cost of RINs for the petroleum business is difficult to estimate. Additionally, the cost of RINs is dependent upon a variety of factors, which include EPA regulations, the availability of RINs for purchase, the price at which RINs can be purchased, transportation fuel production levels, the mix of the petroleum business' petroleum products, as well as the fuel blending performed at its refineries and downstream terminals, all of which can vary significantly from period to period. Based upon recent market prices of RINs and current estimates related to the other variable factors, the petroleum business currently estimates that the total cost of RINs will be approximately \$160 million to \$190 million for the year ending December 31, 2016.

If sufficient RINs are unavailable for purchase at times when the petroleum business seeks to purchase RINs, if the petroleum business has to pay a significantly higher price for RINs or if the petroleum business is otherwise unable to meet the EPA's RFS mandates, its business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel is a measurement calculated as the difference between net sales and cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization, direct operating expenses and fair value inventory adjustments) and refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel adjusted for FIFO impact is a measurement calculated as the difference between net sales and cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization, direct operating expenses and fair value inventory adjustments) adjusted for FIFO impacts. Refining margin and refining margin adjusted for FIFO impact are non-GAAP measures that we believe are important to investors in evaluating our Energy segment refineries' performance as a general indication of the amount above our Energy segment's cost of goods sold (taking into account the impact of utilization of FIFO) they are able to sell refined products. We believe that refining margin and refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel is important to enable investors to better understand and evaluate our Energy segment's ongoing operating results and allow for greater transparency in the review of our overall financial, operational and economic performance. In addition, we believe that presenting refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel adjusted for FIFO impact is useful to investors because this measure more accurately reflects the current operating environment.

In order to derive the refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel, our Energy segment utilizes the total dollar figures for refining margin, as derived above, and divides that by the applicable number of crude oil throughput barrels for the period. Our Energy segment's calculation of refining margin and refining margin adjusted for FIFO impact may differ from calculations of other companies in the industry, thereby limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. Under our Energy segment's FIFO accounting method, changes in crude oil prices can cause fluctuations in the inventory valuation of our Energy segment's crude oil, work in process and finished goods, thereby resulting in favorable FIFO impacts when crude oil prices increase and unfavorable FIFO impacts when crude oil prices decrease.

In order to assess the operating performance of the nitrogen fertilizer business, CVR calculates the product pricing at gate as an input to determine its operating margin. Product pricing at gate represents net sales less freight revenue divided by product sales volume in tons. CVR believes product pricing at gate is a meaningful measure because it sells products at its plant gate and terminal locations' gates ("sold gate") and delivered to the customer's designated delivery site ("sold delivered"). The

relative percentage of sold gate versus sold delivered can change period to period. The product pricing at gate provides a measure that is consistently comparable period to period.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015

Net sales for the petroleum business, before eliminations, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased to \$834 million from approximately \$1.3 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2015. The decrease in net sales for the petroleum business was primarily due to significantly lower sales prices for the petroleum business' transportation fuels and by-products. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, CVR's petroleum business sold approximately 10.8 million and 7.4 million barrels of gasoline and distillate, respectively, with an average sales price per gallon for gasoline and distillate of \$1.04 and \$1.05, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, CVR's petroleum business sold approximately 10.7 million and 8.2 million barrels of gasoline and distillate, respectively, with an average sales price per gallon for gasoline and 81.05, respectively. With an average sales price per gallon for gasoline and \$1.48 and \$1.69, respectively.

Net sales for the fertilizer business, before eliminations, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased to \$73 million from \$93 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. The decrease in net sales for the fertilizer business was primarily due to a lower sales prices of UAN and ammonia. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, CVR sold 267,049 and 24,397 tons of UAN and ammonia, respectively, with a sales price per ton of \$234 and \$373, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, CVR sold 274,540 and 12,821 tons of UAN and ammonia, respectively, with a sales price per ton of \$288 and \$562, respectively. On-stream factors (total number of hours operated divided by total hours in the reporting period) for the gasification, ammonia and UAN units were 97.7%, 97.2% and 91.4%, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Cost of goods sold for the petroleum business for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$880 million and approximately \$1.2 billion, respectively. Cost of goods sold for the petroleum business includes cost of crude oil, other feedstocks and blendstocks, purchased products for resale, RINs, transportation distribution costs, costs associated with the actual operations of CVR's refineries (such costs are collectively referred to as "direct operating expenses") such as energy and utility costs, property taxes, catalyst and chemical costs, repairs and maintenance and labor and environmental compliance costs. In addition, cost of goods sold includes depreciation and amortization.

The decrease in cost of sales for the petroleum business was primarily due to a decrease in cost of consumed crude oil and products purchased for resale. The average cost per barrel of crude oil consumed for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was \$31.72 compared to \$47.51 for the comparable period in 2015, a decrease of approximately 33%. The impact of FIFO accounting also impacted cost of product sold during the comparable periods. Under the FIFO accounting method, changes in crude oil prices can cause fluctuations in the inventory valuation of crude oil, work in process and finished goods, thereby resulting in a favorable FIFO inventory impact when crude oil prices increase and an unfavorable FIFO inventory impact when crude oil prices had an unfavorable FIFO inventory impact of \$9 million compared to an unfavorable FIFO inventory impact of \$25 million for the corresponding prior year period.

Refining margin per barrel of crude oil throughput decreased to \$6.67 for the three months ended March 31, 2016 from \$13.68 for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Refining margin adjusted for FIFO impact decreased to \$7.19 per crude oil throughput barrel for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$15.03 per crude oil throughput barrel for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Gross margin per barrel was a loss of \$2.74 for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to gross margin per barrel of \$6.56 in the corresponding prior year period. The decrease in refining margin and gross margin per barrel was primarily due to a weaker spread between crude oil and transportation fuels.

The fertilizer business' cost of goods sold for the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased to \$49 million from \$60 million, respectively. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in purchased ammonia and lower pet coke costs. Cost of goods sold for the fertilizer business is primarily comprised of pet coke expense, freight expense, distribution expense, purchased ammonia costs, direct operating expenses and depreciation and amortization.

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	 2016		2015
	 (in mi	llions)	
t sales	\$ 58	\$	106
ost of goods sold	64		116
Bross margin	\$ (6)	\$	(10)

Summarized ferrous tons and non-ferrous pounds sold for the three and three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2016	2015	
	(in 00	0s)	
Ferrous tons sold	173	206	
Non-ferrous pounds sold	23,920	33,394	

We conduct our Metals segment through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, PSC Metals, Inc. ("PSC Metals").

The scrap metals business is highly cyclical and is substantially dependent upon the overall economic conditions in the U.S. and other global markets. Ferrous and non-ferrous scrap have been historically vulnerable to significant declines in consumption and product pricing during prolonged periods of economic downturn or stagnation.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015

Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased by \$48 million (45%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The decrease was due to lower shipment volumes and selling prices for all product types for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the corresponding prior year period.

Ferrous shipments decreased by 33,000 gross tons (16%) while the average price decreased by \$111 per gross ton (39%) during the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the comparable prior year period. The shipment decrease was attributable to reduced demand from domestic steel mills and to the slow flow of raw materials into the recycling yards. Low priced iron ore and reduced demand from steel mills during the current quarter continued to hold market prices below the comparable prior year level.

Non-ferrous shipment volumes decreased by 9.5 million pounds (28%) and average selling prices for non-ferrous decreased \$0.11 per pound (15%) during the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the comparable prior year period reflecting lower market pricing and its unfavorable impact on raw material availability.

Cost of goods sold for the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased by \$52 million (45%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower shipment volumes, lower material costs and lower processing costs. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was a loss of 10% and 9% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The material margin component of gross margin, as a percentage of net sales, improved during the first three months of 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015, reflecting a continued focus on disciplined buying in the face of strong competition for shredder feedstock and lower pricing for non-ferrous auto shredder residue. In addition, while processing costs were lower in the three months ended March 31, 2016 than in the comparable prior year period, those costs increased as a percentage of net sales due to decreased revenues. PSC Metals continued to expend considerable effort to bring costs in line with volumes and market pricing. PSC Metals closed nine feeder yards after March 31, 2015 in order to better align its cost structure to the current market environment.

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	 2016		2015
	 (in mi	llions)	
Net Sales/Other Revenues From Operations:			
Manufacturing	\$ 147	\$	306
Railcar Leasing	122		107
Railcar Services	20		17
Eliminations	 (33)		(210)
	\$ 256	\$	220
Cost of Goods Sold/Other Expenses From Operations:			
Manufacturing	\$ 122	\$	233
Railcar Leasing	54		44
Railcar Services	15		13
Eliminations	(35)		(160)
	\$ 156	\$	130
Gross Margin:			
Manufacturing	\$ 25	\$	73
Railcar Leasing	68		63
Railcar Services	5		4
Eliminations	2		(50)
	\$ 100	\$	90

Our Railcar segment includes the results of American Railcar Industries, Inc. ("ARI") and American Railcar Leasing, LLC ("ARL"). On February 29, 2016, we entered into a contribution agreement with an affiliate of Mr. Icahn to acquire the remaining 25% economic interest in ARL not already owned by us. See Note 3, "Related Party Transactions - Railcar," for further discussion regarding this transaction. Manufacturing net sales and cost of goods sold above include intra-segment net sales and related cost of goods sold for railcars sold by our Railcar segment to its railcar leasing business. Elimination amounts primarily represent eliminations of intra-segment net sales and related cost of goods sold and gross margin for our Railcar segment.

ARI's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q contain a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. ARI's filings with the SEC are available on the SEC's website at *www.sec.gov*.

Railcar shipments for the three months ended March 31, 2016 were 1,330 railcars, including 200 railcars to leasing customers, as compared to 2,668 railcars for the comparable prior year period, including 1,777 railcars to leasing customers.

As of March 31, 2016, our Railcar segment had a backlog of 5,958 railcars, including 1,359 railcars for lease customers. In response to changes in customer demand, our Railcar segment continues to adjust production rates at its railcar manufacturing facilities.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015

Total manufacturing revenues, before elimination of estimated railcar sales to our Railcar segment's leasing business, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased by \$159 million (52%) over the comparable prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower volume of shipments and a higher mix of hopper railcars, which generally sell at lower prices than tank railcars due to less material and labor content combined with a decrease in revenue from certain material cost changes that ARI generally passes through to its customers.

Gross margin from manufacturing operations, before eliminations relating to railcar sales to our Railcar segment's leasing business, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased to \$25 million from \$73 million as compared to the prior year period. Gross margin from manufacturing operations as a percentage of manufacturing revenues decreased to 17% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 from 24% as compared to the corresponding prior year period. The decrease in gross margin percentage over the respective period was primarily due to a higher mix of hopper railcar shipments, which generally sell at

lower prices than tank railcars due to less material and labor content, in addition to more competitive pricing of both railcar types.

Railcar leasing revenues increased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the corresponding prior year period due to an increase in number of railcars leased to customers and an increase in the average lease rate. The lease fleet grew to 45,272 railcars at March 31, 2016 from 41,601 railcars at March 31, 2015.

Other Segments

Gaming

We conduct our Gaming segment through our majority ownership in Tropicana and our wholly owned subsidiary, Trump Entertainment Resorts, Inc. ("Trump") which currently owns and operates Trump Taj Mahal Casino Resort ("Trump Taj Mahal"). As discussed further in Note 2, "Operating Units - Gaming," we obtained control and consolidated the results of Trump upon its emergence from bankruptcy on February 26, 2016. Trump owns and operates Trump Taj Mahal which is located in Atlantic City, New Jersey. Trump Taj Mahal adds approximately 160,000 square feet of gaming space with 2,500 slot machines, 130 table games and 2,000 hotel rooms to our existing gaming operations.

Tropicana's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q contain a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. Tropicana's filings with the SEC are available on the SEC's website at *www.sec.gov.*

Gaming revenues and expenses are classified in other revenues from operations and other expenses from operations, respectively, in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Casino revenues are one of our gaming segment's main performance indicators and account for a significant portion of its net revenues. In addition, casino revenues can vary because of table games hold percentage and differences in the odds for different table games. High end play may lead to greater fluctuations in table games hold percentage and, as a result, greater revenue fluctuation between reporting periods may occur.

The increase in casino revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the comparable prior year period was primarily due to an increase in consolidated gaming volumes of 10.1%, primarily due to the inclusion of results from Trump upon its emergence from bankruptcy on February 26, 2016, coupled with higher gaming volumes at Tropicana Casino and Resort, Atlantic City ("Tropicana AC"). Our Gaming segment's consolidated slot hold percentage was 9.6% and 9.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our Gaming segment's consolidated table game hold percentage was 18.6% and 17.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Net revenues from Tropicana AC comprise approximately 34% and 35% of our Gaming segment's net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Based on market data, the Atlantic City market experienced year-over-year increases in casino win (including internet gaming win) of 3.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2016. Tropicana AC casino revenues increased in the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the corresponding prior year period as a result of increased customer volumes, primarily from unusually mild weather in the region during the first three months of 2016 as compared to the corresponding prior year period. In addition, revenues were impacted by a capital renovation project that was completed in the middle of 2015, including hotel room and casino floor renovations, the opening of a new fitness center and boardwalk facade renovations. Tropicana AC's slot revenues increased 7.5%, driven by a 3.5% increase in slot handle during the first quarter of 2016 as compared to the first quarter of 2015. Tropicana AC's table game revenues increased 12.7% in the first quarter of 2016, due to a 10.5% increase in table game drop, combined with an increase in table game hold, to 16.5% in the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to 16.2% in the same period of 2015. In addition, internet gaming revenues also increased 13.3% during the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the corresponding prior year period.

Revenues from rooms increased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the corresponding prior year period, primarily due to the inclusion of results from the Trump casino upon its emergence from bankruptcy on February 26, 2016. The average daily room rate and occupancy across all of our Gaming segment's properties were \$81 and 66%, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to \$79 and 67%, respectively, for the comparable prior year period.

Mining

We conducting our Mining segment through Ferrous Resources Limited ("Ferrous Resources"), which we consolidated during the second quarter of 2015.

For our Mining segment, the key performance driver has historically been from demand for raw materials from Chinese steelmakers. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, our Mining segment has been concentrating on sales in its domestic



market, Brazil, where the best margins are being captured. Although international iron ore prices have slightly improved to an average of \$60 per metric ton during the first quarter of 2016, our Mining segment expects 2016 to be a challenging year for the steel industry as it contends with slowing growth, overcapacity and increased competition.

Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was \$10 million. Cost of goods sold for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was 14 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, our Mining segment focused its sales efforts exclusively within its domestic market, Brazil. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, 868,815 metric tons of iron ore were sold, of which 603,399 metric tons were sold to a single customer, a multinational diversified trading corporation, and 265,416 metric tons were sold to a Brazilian multinational diversified metals and mining corporation and one of the largest logistics operators in Brazil. The average sales price was \$12 per metric ton. Our Mining segment continues to evaluate the best alternatives to sell its products in light of the challenging markets for iron ore.

Food Packaging

We conduct our Food Packaging segment through our majority ownership in Viskase Companies, Inc. ("Viskase"). Viskase currently operates nine manufacturing facilities and six distribution centers throughout North America, Europe, South America and Asia and derives approximately 69% of its total net sales from customers located outside the United States during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased by \$8 million (9%) as compared to the corresponding prior year period, with the decrease due to \$4 million due to unfavorable foreign currency translation, \$3 million due to lower sales volume and \$1 million due to unfavorable price and product mix.

Cost of goods sold for the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased by \$5 million as compared to the corresponding prior year period. Gross margin as a percent of net sales was 21% and 22% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decline in the gross margin as a percent of net sales over the comparable period was due to foreign currency translation and unfavorable price and product mix.

Real Estate

Real Estate revenues and expenses include results from resort operations, sales of residential units and rental income and expenses, including income from financing leases. Sales of residential units are included in net sales in our condensed consolidated financial statements. Results from resort and rental operations, including financing lease income, are included in other revenues from operations in our condensed consolidated financial statements. Revenue from our real estate operations for each of the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 were substantially derived from our resort and rental operations.

Home Fashion

We conduct our Home Fashion segment through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, WestPoint Home LLC ("WPH"). The business of WPH is significantly influenced by the overall economic environment, including consumer spending, at the retail level, for home textile products. Many of the larger retailers are customers of WPH. WPH will continue to realign its manufacturing operations and streamline its merchandising, sales and customer service divisions to improve its cost structure and better serve its customers. Given the uncertainty and volatility in macroeconomic conditions, we cannot predict if WPH's financial performance will continue to improve.

Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2016 increased by \$3 million (6%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily due to an increase in sales volume. Cost of goods sold for the three months ended March 31, 2016 increased by \$2 million (5%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily due to higher sales volume. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was 16% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to 15% for the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily due to higher sales volume. The increase was primarily due to higher sales volume. The increase was primarily due to higher sales volume of net sales was 16% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to 15% for the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily due to higher margins on more profitable programs and customers.

Holding Company

The Holding Company had a net gain from investment activities of \$9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to a net loss from investment activities of \$14 million in the comparable prior year period.

Other Consolidated Results of Operations

Other Income (Loss), Net

Our consolidated other income (loss), net for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$27 million and \$(27) million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, our Energy segment recorded (loss) gains on certain derivative contracts of \$(1) million and \$51 million, respectively. See Note 6, "Financial Instruments," to the condensed

consolidated financial statements for further discussion. In addition, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, equity earnings from nonconsolidated affiliates were \$15 million and \$14 million, respectively, primarily from investments within our Automotive segment

Selling, General and Administrative

Our consolidated selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") for the three months ended March 31, 2016 increased by \$41 million (9%) as compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase from our Automotive segment of \$133 million due to the inclusion of the acquisitions of Pep Boys in February 2016 and IEH Auto in the second quarter of 2015 and an increase of \$15 million from our Gaming segment primarily due to the inclusion of Trump casino upon its emergence from bankruptcy on February 26, 2016, offset in part by an decrease of \$112 million from our Investment segment due to a reversal of compensation expense related to a certain fund performance,

Restructuring

Our consolidated restructuring costs were \$15 million and \$12 million for three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, which were attributable to our Automotive segment. These restructuring charges, primarily consisting of employee costs and headcount reductions, pertain to all restructuring programs that Federal-Mogul has initiated in order to improve its operating performance.

Federal-Mogul's restructuring expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016 are aimed at optimizing its cost structure. Federal-Mogul expects to complete these programs in 2017 and incur additional restructuring and other charges of approximately \$1 million. For programs previously initiated in prior periods, Federal-Mogul expects to complete these programs in 2018 and incur additional restructuring and other charges of approximately \$5 million.

Interest Expense

Our consolidated interest expense during the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased by \$29 million (11%) as compared the corresponding prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower interest expense from our Investment segment attributable to a decrease in due to broker balances over the respective periods, offset in part by higher interest expense from our Automotive segment due to higher interest rate on borrowings under revolving credit facilities over the respective periods as well the inclusion of interest from the acquisitions of Pep Boys in February 2016 and IEH Auto in the second quarter of 2015, and higher interest from our Railcar segment due to higher debt balances over the respective periods.

Income Tax Expense

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, each of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings recorded an income tax expense of \$16 million on pre-tax loss of \$1.6 billion compared to an income tax expense of \$49 million on pre-tax income of \$471 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Our effective income tax rate was (1.0)% and 10.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, the effective tax rate was lower than the statutory federal rate of 35%, primarily due to partnership losses for which there was no tax benefit, as such losses are allocated to the partners, and goodwill impairment not deductible for tax purposes.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the effective tax rate was lower than the statutory federal rate of 35%, primarily due to partnership income not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Holding Company

As of March 31, 2016, the Holding Company had investments in the Investment Funds with a total fair market value of approximately \$1.8 billion. As of March 31, 2016, our Holding Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$212 million and total debt of approximately \$5.5 billion.

We are a holding company. Our cash flow and our ability to meet our debt service obligations and make distributions with respect to depositary units likely will depend on the cash flow resulting from divestitures, equity and debt financings, interest income, returns on our interests in the Investment Funds and the payment of funds to us by our subsidiaries in the form of loans, dividends and distributions. We may pursue various means to raise cash from our subsidiaries. To date, such means include receipt of dividends from subsidiaries, obtaining loans or other financings based on the asset values of subsidiaries or selling debt or equity securities of subsidiaries through capital market transactions. To the degree any distributions and transfers are impaired or prohibited, our ability to make payments on our debt or distributions on our depositary units could be limited. The operating results of our subsidiaries may not be sufficient for them to make distributions to us. In addition, our subsidiaries are not obligated to make funds available to us, and distributions and intercompany transfers from our subsidiaries to us may be restricted by applicable law or covenants contained in debt agreements and other agreements.

As of March 31, 2016, based on covenants in the indenture governing our senior notes, we are not permitted to incur additional indebtedness. See Note 10, "Debt," to the condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information concerning credit facilities for us and our subsidiaries.

Distributions on Depositary Units

On February 23, 2016, Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.50 per depositary unit in which each depositary unit holder had the option to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units. As a result, on April 12, 2016, Icahn Enterprises distributed an aggregate 2,824,186 depositary units to unit holders electing to receive depositary units in connection with this distribution.

On April 29, 2016, the Board of Directors of the general partner of Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.50 per depositary unit, which will be paid on or about June 16, 2016 to depositary unit holders of record at the close of business on May 12, 2016. Depositary unit holders have until June 6, 2016 to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units; if a holder does not make an election, it will automatically be deemed to have elected to receive the dividend in cash. Depositary unit holders who elect to receive additional depositary units will receive units valued at the volume weighted average trading price of the units on NASDAQ during the 5 consecutive trading days ending June 13, 2016. No fractional depositary units will be issued pursuant to the dividend payment. Icahn Enterprises will make a cash payment in lieu of issuing fractional depositary units to any holders electing to receive depositary units. Any holders that would only be eligible to receive a fraction of a depositary unit based on the above calculation will receive a cash payment.

Borrowings

Debt consists of the following:

	Icahn Enterprises		
	March 31, 2016 December 31		
	(in r	nillions)	
5.875% senior unsecured notes due 2022 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings	\$ 1,338	\$ 1,338	
6.00% senior unsecured notes due 2020 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings	1,706	1,706	
4.875% senior unsecured notes due 2019 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings	1,270	1,270	
3.50% senior unsecured notes due 2017 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings	1,173	1,172	
Debt facilities - Automotive	3,262	3,121	
Debt facilities - Energy	620	619	
Debt and credit facilities - Railcar	2,461	2,671	
Credit facilities - Gaming	288	289	
Credit facilities - Food Packaging	267	267	
Other	145	141	
	\$ 12,530	\$ 12,594	

Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for information concerning terms, restrictions and covenants pertaining to our debt. See Note 10, "Debt," to the condensed consolidated financial statements in this Report for information with respect to updates on our debt at March 31, 2016 compared to December 31, 2015. As of March 31, 2016, we are in compliance with all debt covenants.

Contractual Commitments and Contingencies

There have been no material changes to our contractual commitments and contingencies as compared to those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have off-balance sheet risk related to investment activities associated with certain financial instruments, including futures, options, credit default swaps and securities sold, not yet purchased. For additional information regarding these arrangements, see Note 6, "Financial Instruments," to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Cash Flows

The following table summarizes cash flow information for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and cash and cash equivalents as of March 31, 2016 for Icahn Enterprises' operating segments and the Holding Company:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2016					March 31, 2016	
	Net Cash Provided By (Used In)						
		Operating Activities		vesting ctivities	Financing Activities	Cash and Cash Equivalents	
				(in mil	lions)		
Investment	\$	562	\$	—	\$ 490	\$ 12	
Automotive		54		(37)	115	309	
Energy		22		(52)	(17)	682	
Metals		(6)		(1)	—	6	
Railcar		112		(37)	(223)	346	
Gaming		(10)		12	3	251	
Mining		(4)		(2)	(3)	5	
Food Packaging		4		(3)	(1)	37	
Real Estate		3		1	(1)	11	
Home Fashion		(9)		_	_	5	
Holding Company		(118)		(1,059)	1	212	
	\$	610	\$	(1,178)	\$ 364	\$ 1,876	

The consolidated cash flows of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings are substantially the same. The immaterial differences between Icahn Enterprises' and Icahn Enterprises Holdings' condensed consolidated statements of cash flows primarily relate to non-cash charges for interest expense which is included in net cash provided by operating activities. Therefore, we discuss only the consolidated cash flows of Icahn Enterprises below.

Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities during the three months ended March 31, 2016 was primarily attributable to our Investment segment due to net cash provided by investment transactions. Additionally, our Railcar segment has net cash provided by operating activities primarily due to earnings and changes in working capital. Our Automotive segment also had net cash provided by operating activities due to earnings offset in part by decreases in working capital. The Holding Company had net cash used in operating activities primarily due to interest payments on our senior unsecured notes.

Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2016 was primarily due to our acquisition of Pep Boys by the Holding Company. Additionally, our Railcar segment had capital expenditures of \$39 million, of which \$35 million was for the manufacture or purchase of railcars for its leasing operations, and our Automotive and Energy segments had capital expenditures of \$99 million and \$48 million, respectively.

Financing Activities

Cash provided from financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2016 was primarily due to our Investment segment which received contributions from affiliates of Mr. Icahn of \$490 million. This was offset in part by repayments of debt by our Railcar segment and net repayments of debt by our Automotive segment. Refer to Note 10, "Debt," for discussion on our debt activity during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Discussion of Segment Liquidity and Capital Resources

There have been no material changes to our capital expenditures during the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the estimated capital expenditures for 2016 as reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Investment

As of March 31, 2016, the Investment Funds' net notional exposure was -149%. The Investment Funds' long exposure was 164% (156% long equity and 8% long credit) and the Investment Funds' short exposure was 313% (277% short equity, 36% short credit and other). The notional exposure represents the ratio of the notional exposure of the Investment Funds' invested capital to the net asset value of the Investment Funds at March 31, 2016.

Of our long exposure of 164%, the fair value of our long positions (with certain adjustments) represented 158% of our long exposure. The notional value of our other long positions, which primarily included single name equity forward contracts and credit contracts, represented 6% of our long exposure.

Of our short exposure of 313%, the fair value of our short positions represented 18% of our short exposure. The notional value of our other short positions, which primarily included short credit default swap contracts and short broad market index swap derivative contracts, represented 295% of our short exposure.

With respect to both our long positions that are not notionalized (158% long exposure) and our short positions that are not notionalized (18% short), each 1% change in exposure as a result of purchases or sales (assuming no change in value) would have a 1% impact on our cash and cash equivalents (as a percentage of net asset value). Changes in exposure as a result of purchases and sales as well as adverse changes in market value would also have an effect on funds available to us pursuant to prime brokerage lines of credit.

With respect to the notional value of our other short positions (295% short exposure), our liquidity would decrease by the balance sheet unrealized loss if we were to close the positions at quarter end prices. This would be offset by a release of restricted cash balances collateralizing these positions as well as an increase in funds available to us pursuant to certain prime brokerage lines of credit. If we were to increase our short exposure by adding to these short positions, we would be required to provide cash collateral equal to a small percentage of the initial notional value at counterparties that require cash as collateral and then post additional collateral equal to 100% of the mark to market on adverse changes in fair value. For our counterparties who do not require cash collateral, funds available from lines of credit would decrease.

The Investment Funds historically have had access to significant amounts of cash available from prime brokerage lines of credit, subject to customary terms and market conditions.

Automotive

During the first quarter of 2016, Federal-Mogul increased its borrow capacity under its revolving credit facility by \$50 million to \$600 million. As of March 31, 2016, the outstanding balance on Federal-Mogul's revolving credit facility was \$390 million. As of March 31, 2016, the borrowing availability under Federal-Mogul's revolving credit facility was \$170 million. Federal-Mogul had \$40 million of letters of credit outstanding as of March 31, 2016 pertaining to Federal-Mogul's term loan credit facility. To the extent letters of credit associated with the Federal-Mogul's revolving credit facility are issued, there will be a corresponding decrease in borrowings available under this facility.

Federal-Mogul's subsidiaries in Brazil, France, Germany, Italy and the United States are party to accounts receivable factoring and securitization facilities. Gross accounts receivable transferred under these facilities were \$491 million and \$408 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Of those gross amounts, \$479 million and \$401 million, respectively, qualify as sales as defined in FASB ASC Topic 860, *Transfers and Servicing*. The remaining transferred receivables were pledged as collateral and accounted for as secured borrowings and recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheets within accounts receivable, net and debt. Under the terms of these facilities, Federal-Mogul is not obligated to draw cash immediately upon the transfer of accounts receivable. As of both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, Federal-Mogul had withdrawn cash related to such transferred receivables of \$1 million. Proceeds from the transfers of accounts receivable qualifying as sales were \$413 million and \$390 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

As of March 31, 2016, the availability on the IEH Auto ABL Credit Facility was \$42 million.

Pep Boys has a revolving credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and other parties thereto providing for borrowings of up to \$300 million and having a maturity of July 2016. The interest rate on this facility is LIBOR plus a margin of 2.00% to 2.50% for LIBOR rate borrowings or Prime plus 1.00% to 1.50% for Prime rate borrowings. The margin is based upon the then current availability under the facility. As of March 31, 2016, Pep Boys had \$60 million outstanding under the facility and \$41 million of availability was utilized to support outstanding letters of credit. Taking into account the borrowing base requirements (including reduction for amounts outstanding under the trade payable program), as of March 31, 2016, there was \$81 million of availability remaining under the facility.

Energy

As of March 31, 2016, CRLLC had availability under the Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility of \$245 million and had letters of credit outstanding of \$28 million. There were no borrowings outstanding under the ABL Credit Facility as of March 31, 2016. Availability under the Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility was limited by borrowing base conditions as of March 31, 2016. In addition, as of March 31, 2016, CVR Partners had \$25 million availability under its revolving credit facility, with an uncommitted incremental facility of up to \$50 million. On April 1, 2016, CVR Partners repaid all amounts outstanding under the term loan with respect to this credit facility and the credit facility was terminated.

East Dubuque's debt arrangements that remained in place until the closing date of the mergers included \$320 million of Second Lien Notes due 2021 (the "Second Lien Notes"). East Dubuque is required under the change of control provision within the indenture governing the Second Lien Notes to offer to purchase, within 90 days of the mergers, all outstanding Second Lien Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to the date of purchase. On April 1, 2016, in connection with the closing of the mergers, CVR Partners entered into a new \$320 million senior term loan facility with American Entertainment Properties Corp, a wholly owned subsidiary of Icahn Enterprises, as the lender, which (i) may be used by CVR to provide funds to East Dubuque to make a change of control offer and, and if applicable, a "clean-up" redemption in accordance with the indenture governing the Second Lien Notes or (ii) may be used by CVR Partners or East Dubuque to make a tender offer for the Second Lien Notes and, in each case, pay fees and expenses thereto.

On January 24, 2013, the board of directors of CVR adopted a quarterly cash dividend policy. CVR began paying regular quarterly dividends in the second quarter of 2013. Dividends are subject to change at the discretion of CVR's board of directors and may change from quarter to quarter. In addition, the current policy of the respective board of directors of CVR Refining and CVR Partners is to distribute all of the respective available cash of CVR Refining and CVR Partners each quarter. CVR Refining and CVR Partners do not have a legal obligation to pay distributions and there is no guarantee that they will pay any distributions on the units in any quarter.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, we received \$36 million in dividends from CVR. Subsequent to March 31, 2016, CVR declared a quarterly dividend, which will result in an additional \$36 million in dividends paid to us in the second quarter of 2016.

Railcar

In December 2015, ARI completed a financing of its railcar lease fleet with availability of up to \$200 million under a credit agreement (the "ARI 2015 Credit Agreement"). The ARI 2015 Credit Agreement contains an incremental borrowing provision under which ARI, as debtor and subject to the conditions set forth in the ARI 2015 Credit Agreement, has the right but not the obligation to increase the amount of the facility in an aggregate amount of up to \$100 million (the amounts extended under the 2015 Credit Agreement, inclusive of any amounts extended under the incremental facility, the Revolving Loans), to a maximum principal amount of \$300 million. In February 2016, ARI repaid amounts outstanding under the Revolving Loan in full and as of the date of this Report has borrowing availability of \$200 million under this credit facility.

On July 28, 2015, ARI's board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$250 million of its outstanding common stock ("ARI Stock Repurchase Program"). The ARI Stock Repurchase Program will end upon the earlier of the date on which it is terminated by ARI's board of directors or when all authorized repurchases are completed. The timing and amount of stock repurchases, if any, will be determined based upon ARI's evaluation of market conditions and other factors. The ARI Stock Repurchase Program may be suspended, modified or discontinued at any time and ARI has no obligation to repurchase any amount of its common stock under the ARI Stock Repurchase Program. Under the ARI Stock Repurchase Program, ARI repurchased shares of its common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2016 at a cost of \$11 million. As of the date of this Report, there remains \$182 million available for further share repurchases under the ARI Stock Repurchase Program.

Gaming

On July 31, 2015 Tropicana's board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million of its outstanding common stock with no set expiration date ("Tropicana Stock Repurchase Program"). The Tropicana Stock Repurchase Program will end upon the earlier of the date on which the plan is terminated by Tropicana's board of directors or when all authorized repurchases are completed. The timing and amount of stock repurchases, if any, will be determined based upon Tropicana's evaluation of market conditions and other factors. The Tropicana Stock Repurchase Program may be suspended, modified or discontinued at any time and Tropicana has no obligation to repurchase any amount of its common stock under the Tropicana Stock Repurchase Program. Under the Tropicana Stock Repurchase Program, Tropicana repurchased shares of its common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2016 at a cost of \$4 million. As of the date of this Report, there remains \$46 million available for further share repurchases under the Tropicana Stock Repurchase Program.



Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Other than the goodwill impairment for our Energy segment as discussed below, there have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates during the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Energy

Due to worsening sales trends for our Energy segment's Petroleum reporting unit, we performed an interim goodwill impairment analysis during the first quarter of 2016. Based on this analysis, our Energy segment recognized a goodwill impairment charge of \$574 million, which represented the full amount of the remaining goodwill allocated to the Petroleum reporting unit. Due to the complexity in the "Step 2" goodwill impairment test performed during the first quarter of 2016, we expect to finalize the assessment of our Energy segment's goodwill impairment during the second quarter of 2016 and any resulting difference in the amount of the impairment will be adjusted at that time.

Our Energy segment's reporting unit fair values are based upon consideration of various valuation methodologies, one of which is projecting future cash flows discounted at rates commensurate with the risks involved ("Discounted Cash Flow" or "DCF"). Assumptions used in a DCF require the exercise of significant judgment, including judgment about appropriate discount rates and terminal values, growth rates, and the amount and timing of expected future cash flows. The forecasted cash flows are based on current plans and for years beyond that plan, the estimates are based on assumed growth rates. We believe that our assumptions are consistent with the plans and estimates used to manage the underlying businesses. The discount rates, which are intended to reflect the risks inherent in future cash flow projections, used in a DCF are based on estimates of the weighted-average cost of capital ("WACC") of a market participant. Such estimates are derived from our analysis of peer companies and consider the industry weighted average return on debt and equity from a market participant perspective.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

Refer to Part I, Note 1, "Description of Business and Basis of Presentation," of this Report for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements applicable to us.

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements included in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" which are not historical in nature are intended to be, and are hereby identified as, "forward-looking statements" for purposes of the safe harbor provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or by Public Law 104-67.

Forward-looking statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and are based on assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from trends, plans, or expectations set forth in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties may include the risks and uncertainties described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 and those set forth in this Report.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Information about our quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk for each of our segments discussed below did not differ materially from those disclosed in Part II, Item 7A of our 2015 Form 10-K.

Investment

Our predominant exposure to market risk is related to our Investment segment and the sensitivities to movements in the fair value of the Investment Funds' investments.

The fair value of the financial assets and liabilities of the Investment Funds primarily fluctuates in response to changes in the value of securities. The net effect of these fair value changes impacts the net gains from investment activities in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. The Investment Funds' risk is regularly evaluated and is managed on a position basis as well as on a portfolio basis. Senior members of our investment team meet on a regular basis to assess and review certain risks, including concentration risk, correlation risk and credit risk for significant positions. Certain risk metrics and other analytical tools are used in the normal course of business by the General Partners.

Market Risk

The Investment Funds hold investments that are reported at fair value as of the reporting date, which include securities owned, securities sold, not yet purchased and derivatives as reported on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Based on their respective balances as of March 31, 2016, we estimate that in the event of a 10% adverse change in the fair value of these investments, the fair values of securities owned, securities sold, not yet purchased and derivatives would decrease by approximately \$927 million, \$105 million and \$2.2 billion, respectively. However, as of March 31, 2016, we estimate that the impact to our share of the net gain (loss) from investment activities reported in our condensed consolidated statement of operations would be less than the change in fair value since we have an investment of approximately 31% in the Investment Funds, and the non-controlling interests in income would correspondingly offset approximately 69% of the change in fair value.

Credit Risk

We and certain of our consolidated Investment Funds are subject to certain inherent risks through our investments.

Our entities typically invest excess cash in large money market funds. The money market funds primarily invest in government securities and other short-term, highly liquid instruments with a low risk of loss. The Investment Funds also maintain free credit balances with their prime brokers and in interest bearing accounts at major banking institutions. We seek to diversify our cash investments across several accounts and institutions and we monitor performance and counterparty risk.

The Investment Funds and, to a lesser extent, other entities hold derivative instruments that are subject to credit risk in the event that the counterparties are unable to meet the terms of such agreements. When the Investment Funds make such investments or enter into other arrangements where they might suffer a significant loss through the default or insolvency of a counterparty, the General Partners monitor the credit quality of such counterparty and seek to do business with creditworthy counterparties. Counterparty risk is monitored by obtaining and reviewing public information filed by the counterparties and others.

Automotive

See Note 6, "Financial Instruments-Automotive," to the condensed consolidated financial statements for discussion regarding our Automotive segment's interest rate risk, commodity price risk and foreign currency risk.

The translated values of revenue and expense from our Automotive segment's international operations are subject to fluctuations due to changes in currency exchange rates. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, our Automotive segment derived 38% of its sales in the United States and 62% internationally. Of these international sales, 56% were denominated in the euro, with no other single currency representing more than 10% of international sales. To minimize foreign currency risk, our Automotive segment generally maintains natural hedges within its non-U.S. activities, including the matching of operational revenues and costs. Where natural hedges are not in place, our Automotive segment manages certain aspects of its foreign currency activities and larger transactions through the use of foreign currency options or forward contracts.

Energy

The risk inherent in our Energy segment's market risk sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss from adverse changes in commodity prices and interest rates. Our Energy segment is exposed to market pricing for all of the products sold in the future both at its petroleum business and the nitrogen fertilizer business, as all of the products manufactured in both businesses are commodities.

Our Energy segment's earnings and cash flows and estimates of future cash flows are sensitive to changes in energy prices. The prices of crude oil and refined products have fluctuated substantially in recent years. These prices depend on many factors, including the overall demand for crude oil and refined products, which in turn depends, among other factors, general economic conditions, the level of foreign and domestic production of crude oil and refined products, the availability of imports of crude oil and refined products, the marketing of alternative and competing fuels, the extent of government regulations and global market dynamics. The prices our Energy segment receives for refined products are also affected by factors such as local market conditions and the level of operations of other refineries in our markets. The prices at which our Energy segment can sell gasoline and other refined products are strongly influenced by the price of crude oil. Generally, an increase or decrease in the price of crude oil results in a corresponding increase or decrease in the price of gasoline and other refined products. The timing of the relative movement of the prices, however, can impact profit margins, which could significantly affect our Energy segment's earnings and cash flows.

Commodity Price Risk

At March 31, 2016, CVR Refining had open commodity hedging instruments consisting of 1.0 million barrels of crack spreads primarily to fix the margin on a portion of our future gasoline and distillate production. A change of \$1.00 per barrel in the fair value of the crack spread swaps would result in an increase or decrease in the related fair values of commodity hedging instruments of \$1 million.

Interest Rate Risk

CVR Partners had two floating to fixed interest rate swap agreements for the purpose of hedging the interest rate risk associated with a portion of its \$125 million floating rate term debt. The interest rate swaps agreements expired February 12, 2016, and subsequently, CVR Partners had exposure to interest rate risk on 100% of its \$125 million floating rate debt under its credit facility. A 1.0% increase over the Eurodollar floor spread of 3.5%, as specified in the credit agreement, would increase interest cost to CVR Partners by approximately \$1 million on an annualized basis, thus decreasing net income by the same amount. On April 1, 2016, CVR Partners repaid all amounts outstanding under the term loan with respect to this credit facility and the credit facility was terminated.

Railcar

Our Railcar segment is exposed to price risks associated with the purchase of raw materials, especially steel and heavy castings. The cost of steel, heavy castings and all other materials used in the production of railcars represents a significant amount of the direct manufacturing costs per railcar. Given the significant volatility in the price of raw materials, this exposure can affect the costs of production. Our Railcar segment believes that the risk to its margins and profitability has been somewhat reduced by the variable pricing provisions generally included in its railcar sales contracts. These provisions adjust the purchase prices of the railcars to reflect fluctuations in the cost of certain raw materials and components and, as a result, our Railcar segment is generally able to pass on to its customers most increases in raw material and component costs with respect to the railcars it plans to produce and deliver to them. Our Railcar segment believes that it currently has good supplier relationships and does not currently anticipate that material constraints will limit its production capacity. Such constraints may exist if railcar production were to increase beyond current levels, or other economic changes were to occur that affect the availability of certain raw materials.

Our Railcar segment's financial results could be affected by changes in interest rates due to the impact those changes have on its variable rate debt obligation as of March 31, 2016. A one percentage point increase in the rate of the average variable rate debt as of March 31, 2016 would have a \$10 million impact on our Railcar segment's annualized interest expense.

Gaming

Interest Rate Risk

Tropicana's primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk associated with its Tropicana New Term Loan Facility that bears interest based on floating rates. Based on Tropicana's borrowings as of March 31, 2016, assuming a 1% increase over the 4% floor specified in its Tropicana New Term Loan Facility, Tropicana's annual interest cost would change by approximately \$3 million.

Mining

Commodity Price Risk

Ferrous Resources' revenues are based on the sale of iron ore fines. Our Mining segment's financial results can vary significantly as a result of fluctuations in the market prices of iron ore. International prices for this commodity have fluctuated historically and are affected by numerous factors beyond Ferrous Resources' control. The price index that is utilized in our Mining segment's iron ore sales contracts is the Platts 62 percent Fe fines spot rate pricing, which can fluctuate widely due to numerous factors, such as global economic growth or contraction, change in demand for steel or changes in availability of supply. Our Mining segment estimates that a hypothetical 10% adverse movement in the international price of iron ore for the three months ended March 31, 2016 would have decreased net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises for our Mining segment by \$1 million.

Foreign Currency Risk

Ferrous Resources' principal objective is to produce iron ore which is typically priced in U.S. dollars. The majority of its funding and cash balances are also denominated in U.S. dollars. A proportion of Ferrous Resources' costs are incurred in Brazilian reals while significant capital expenditures are expected to be incurred in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, our Mining

segment's financial results may be impacted by exchange rate fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and the Brazilian real. Our Mining segment estimates that a hypothetical 10% adverse movement of the Brazilian real against the U.S. dollar during the three months ended March 31, 2016 would have decreased net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises for our Mining segment by \$2 million.

Holding Company

Equity Price Risk

The carrying values of investments subject to equity price risks are based on quoted market prices or management's estimates of fair value as of the balance sheet dates. Market prices are subject to fluctuation and, consequently, the amount realized in the subsequent sale of an investment may significantly differ from the reported market value. Fluctuation in the market price of a security may result from perceived changes in the underlying economic characteristics of the investee, the relative price of alternative investments and general market conditions. Furthermore, amounts realized in the sale of a particular security may be affected by the relative quantity of the security being sold.

Based on sensitivity analysis for our equity price risks as of March 31, 2016, the effects of a 10% adverse change in the fair value of these investments would result in loss of approximately \$37 million.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

As of March 31, 2016, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of Icahn Enterprises' and Icahn Enterprises Holdings' and subsidiaries' disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to the Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in such reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Note 17, "Commitments and Contingencies" to the condensed consolidated financial statements located in Part I, Item I of this Report, which is incorporated by reference into this Part II, Item 1, for a description of the litigation, legal and administrative proceedings and environmental matters.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There were no material changes to our risk factors during the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
Exhibit 101	The following financial information from Icahn Enterprises' and Icahn Enterprises Holdings' Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2016, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) includes: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Icahn Enterprises L.P.

- Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., its By: general partner
- By: /s/SungHwan Cho

SungHwan Cho, Chief Financial Officer and Director

Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., its By: general partner

By: /s/Peter Reck Peter Reck, Chief Accounting Officer

Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., its general partner

By: /s/SungHwan Cho SungHwan Cho, Chief Financial Officer and Director

Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., its

- By: general partner
- By: /s/Peter Reck

By:

Peter Reck, Chief Accounting Officer

Date: May 6, 2016

Date: May 6, 2016

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

I, Keith Cozza, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this joint quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. for the period ended March 31, 2016;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrants as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrants' other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrants and we have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrants' disclosure controls and procedures and presented in the report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrants' internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrants' most recent fiscal quarter (the registrants' fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrants' internal control over financial reporting.

5. The registrants' other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrants' auditors and the audit committee of the registrants' board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrants' ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrants' internal control over financial reporting.

/s/Keith Cozza

Keith Cozza

President and Chief Executive Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

Date: May 6, 2016

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

I, SungHwan Cho, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this joint quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. for the period ended March 31, 2016;

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrants as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

4. The registrants' other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrants and we have:

a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrants' disclosure controls and procedures and presented in the report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrants' internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrants' most recent fiscal quarter (the registrants' fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrants' internal control over financial reporting.

5. The registrants' other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrants' auditors and the audit committee of the registrants' board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrants' ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrants' internal control over financial reporting.

/s/SungHwan Cho

SungHwan Cho

Chief Financial Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

Date: May 6, 2016

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rules 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

In connection with the joint quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., for the period ended March 31, 2016, the undersigned certify that, to the best of his knowledge, based upon a review of the Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. joint quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2016:

(1) The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(2) The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrants.

/s/Keith Cozza

Keith Cozza

President and Chief Executive Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

Date: May 6, 2016

/s/SungHwan Cho

SungHwan Cho

Chief Financial Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

Date: May 6, 2016