UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2013

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter) (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

(Commission File Number)

(Telephone Number) ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P.

Delaware

1-9516

767 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4700 New York, NY 10153

13-3398766

333-118021-01

ICAHN ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS L.P.

(212) 702-4300

Delaware

13-3398767

767 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4700 New York, NY 10153 (212) 702-4300

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Icahn Enterprises L.P. Yes x No o

Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Icahn Enterprises L.P. Yes x No o

Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check One):

Icahn Enterprises L.P.

Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

Large Accelerated Filer o Non-accelerated Filer o

Accelerated Filer x Smaller reporting company o Large Accelerated Filer o Non-accelerated Filer x

Accelerated Filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Icahn Enterprises L.P. Yes o No x

Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. Yes o No x

As of November 1, 2013, there were 113,900,309 of Icahn Enterprises' depositary units outstanding.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. ICAHN ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS L.P.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
	PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements.</u>	<u>1</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	<u>68</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	<u>91</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures.	<u>93</u>
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings.	<u>94</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	<u>94</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits.	100

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this "Report") is a joint report being filed by Icahn Enterprises L.P. and Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. Each registrant hereto is filing on its own behalf all of the information contained in this Report that relates to such registrant. Each registrant hereto is not filing any information that does not relate to such registrant, and therefore makes no representation as to any such information.

Item 1. Financial Statements.

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In millions, except unit amounts)

	September 30, 2013	Ι	December 31, 2012
ASSETS	(Unaudited)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,274	\$	3,071
Cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash	1,430		1,419
Investments	12,275		5,491
Accounts receivable, net	1,871		1,841
Inventories, net	2,093		1,955
Property, plant and equipment, net	6,763		6,523
Goodwill	2,074		2,082
Intangible assets, net	1,133		1,206
Other assets	868		968
Total Assets	\$ 31,781	\$	24,556
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY			
Accounts payable	\$ 1,359	\$	1,383
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	2,281		1,496
Deferred tax liability	1,526		1,335
Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value	704		533
Due to brokers	3,718		_
Post-employment benefit liability	1,391		1,488
Debt	8,155		8,548
Total liabilities	19,134		14,783
Commitments and contingencies (Note 17)			
Equity:			
Limited partners: Depositary units: 112,384,570 and 104,850,813 units issued and outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	5,943		4,913
General partner	(223)		(244)
Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises	5,720		4,669
Equity attributable to non-controlling interests	6,927	-	5,104
Total equity	12,647		9,773
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 31,781	\$	24,556

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In millions, except per unit amounts)

Three Months Ended

Nine Months Ended

3.25

\$

0.30

September 30, September 30, 2013 2012 2013 2012 Revenues: (Unaudited) 4,181 \$ 4,519 13,252 10,625 Net sales \$ Other revenues from operations 213 215 605 611 Net gain (loss) from investment activities 1,201 (81)1,551 276 21 120 Interest and dividend income 63 44 Other income (loss), net 82 (171)130 (162)5,721 4,503 15,658 11,413 Expenses: Cost of goods sold 3,825 3,702 11,605 9,026 Other expenses from operations 113 111 318 325 Selling, general and administrative 368 285 1,050 930 5 Restructuring 5 22 21 2 Impairment 53 7 87 Interest expense 131 138 391 384 4,444 4,294 13,393 10,773 Income before income tax (expense) benefit 1,277 209 2,265 640 Income tax (expense) benefit (110)(274)21 (57)1,220 99 1,991 Net income 661 (1,188)(271) Less: net income attributable to non-controlling interests (748)(15)\$ 472 84 803 390 Net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises \$ \$ Net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to: Limited partners \$ 463 \$ 77 \$ 787 \$ 374 General partner 9 7 16 16 \$ \$ 803 390 472 \$ 84 \$ \$ \$ 0.75 \$ 7.22 \$ 3.70 4.13 Basic income per LP unit Basic weighted average LP units outstanding 112 103 109 101 7.17 Diluted income per LP unit 0.75 3.69 4.10 103 Diluted weighted average LP units outstanding 113 110 106

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

\$

Cash distributions declared per LP unit

1.25

\$

0.10

\$

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (In millions)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2013		2012		2013		2012
				(Unaı	dited)			
Net income	\$	1,220	\$	99	\$	1,991	\$	661
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:								
Post-employment benefits		(3)		(59)		3		(50)
Hedge instruments		6		21		9		35
Translation adjustments and other		48		60		(32)		34
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax		51		22		(20)		19
Comprehensive income		1,271		121		1,971		680
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests		(758)		(22)		(1,181)		(276)
Comprehensive income attributable to Icahn Enterprises	\$	513	\$	99	\$	790	\$	404
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to:								
Limited partners	\$	(255)	\$	91	\$	16	\$	387
General partner		768		8		774		17
	\$	513	\$	99	\$	790	\$	404

Accumulated other comprehensive loss was \$1,002 million and \$982 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (In millions, Unaudited)

Equity Attributable to Icahn Enterprises

	General Partner's (Deficit) Equity	Limited Partners' Equity	Total Partners' Equity	Non-controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ (244)	\$ 4,913	\$ 4,669	\$ 5,104	\$ 9,773
Net income	16	787	803	1,188	1,991
Other comprehensive loss	_	(13)	(13)	(7)	(20)
Partnership distributions	(3)	(169)	(172)	_	(172)
Investment segment contributions	_	_	_	45	45
Proceeds from equity offerings	6	311	317	_	317
Distributions paid to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries	_	_	_	(342)	(342)
Proceeds from subsidiary equity offerings	2	88	90	964	1,054
Other	_	26	26	(25)	1
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$ (223)	\$ 5,943	\$ 5,720	\$ 6,927	\$ 12,647

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In millions)

Nine Months Ended September 30.

		September 30,		
		2013	2012	
Cash flows from operating activities:		(Unaudited)		
Net income	\$	1,991 \$	661	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Net gain from securities transactions		(2,495)	(1,422)	
Purchases of securities		(5,532)	(1,703)	
Proceeds from sales of securities		1,574	6,889	
Purchases to cover securities sold, not yet purchased		(45)	(5,160)	
Proceeds from securities sold, not yet purchased		124	1,000	
Changes in receivables and payables relating to securities transactions		3,739	(2,337)	
Loss on disposition of assets		57	_	
Depreciation and amortization		503	411	
Impairment		7	87	
Deferred taxes		123	(181)	
Other, net		(5)	(33)	
Changes in cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash		4	3,093	
Changes in other operating assets and liabilities		269	63	
Net cash provided by operating activities		314	1,368	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Capital expenditures		(785)	(617)	
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired		` <u>_</u>	(1,348)	
Proceeds from sale of investments		38	170	
Purchases of investments		(65)	(210)	
Other, net		22	29	
Net cash used in investing activities		(790)	(1,976)	
Cash flows from financing activities:	·			
Investment segment distributions		(185)	(17)	
Investment segment contributions		45	_	
Proceeds from equity offerings		317	513	
Partnership distributions		(32)	(31)	
Proceeds from offerings of subsidiary equity		1,308	_	
Distributions to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries		(342)	_	
Proceeds from issuance of senior unsecured notes		493	1,030	
Proceeds from other borrowings		122	172	
Repayments of borrowings		(1,021)	(175)	
Other, net		(14)	(38)	
Net cash provided by financing activities		691	1,454	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		(12)	16	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		203	862	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		3,071	2,278	
	¢		3,140	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	3,274 \$		

Supplemental information:		
Cash payments for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 438	\$ 387
Net cash payments for income taxes	\$ 117	\$ 185
Distribution payable to LP unitholders	\$ 140	\$
Non-cash investment segment contribution	\$ 185	\$ _
Acquisition of non-controlling interest in CVR	\$ _	\$ 135
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	\$ _	\$ (2)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In millions)

	September 30, 2013		D	December 31, 2012	
ASSETS	-	(Unaudited)	-		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	3,274	\$	3,071	
Cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash		1,430		1,419	
Investments		12,275		5,491	
Accounts receivable, net		1,871		1,841	
Inventories, net		2,093		1,955	
Property, plant and equipment, net		6,763		6,523	
Goodwill		2,074		2,082	
Intangible assets, net		1,133		1,206	
Other assets		884		982	
Total Assets	\$	31,797	\$	24,570	
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY					
Accounts payable	\$	1,359	\$	1,383	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		2,281		1,496	
Deferred tax liability		1,526		1,335	
Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value		704		533	
Due to brokers		3,718		_	
Post-employment benefit liability		1,391		1,488	
Debt		8,149		8,540	
Total liabilities	<u></u>	19,128		14,775	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 17)					
Equity:					
Limited partner		6,022		4,984	
General partner		(280)		(293)	
Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings		5,742		4,691	
Equity attributable to non-controlling interests		6,927		5,104	
Total equity		12,669		9,795	
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$	31,797	\$	24,570	

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In millions)

		Three Months Ended September 30,			nths Ended mber 30,
		2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenues:			(Una	udited)	
Net sales	\$	4,181	\$ 4,519	\$ 13,252	\$ 10,625
Other revenues from operations		213	215	605	611
Net gain (loss) from investment activities		1,201	(81)	1,551	276
Interest and dividend income		44	21	120	63
Other income (loss), net		82	(171)	130	(162)
		5,721	4,503	15,658	11,413
Expenses:					
Cost of goods sold		3,825	3,702	11,605	9,026
Other expenses from operations		113	111	318	325
Selling, general and administrative		368	285	1,050	930
Restructuring		5	5	22	21
Impairment		2	53	7	87
Interest expense		131	138	391	384
	'	4,444	4,294	13,393	10,773
Income before income tax (expense) benefit		1,277	209	2,265	640
Income tax (expense) benefit		(57)	(110)	(274)	21
Net income		1,220	99	1,991	661
Less: net income attributable to non-controlling interests		(748)	(15)	(1,188)	(271)
Net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings	\$	472	\$ 84	\$ 803	\$ 390
Net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings allocato:	lble				
Limited partner	\$	467	\$ 77	\$ 795	\$ 377
General partner		5	7	8	13
	\$	472	\$ 84	\$ 803	\$ 390

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (In millions)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2013		2012		2013		2012
				(Unau	dited)			
Net income	\$	1,220	\$	99	\$	1,991	\$	661
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:								
Post-employment benefits		(3)		(59)		3		(50)
Hedge instruments		6		21		9		35
Translation adjustments and other		48		60		(32)		34
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax		51		22		(20)		19
Comprehensive income		1,271		121		1,971		680
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interests		(758)		(22)		(1,181)		(276)
Comprehensive income attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings	\$	513	\$	99	\$	790	\$	404
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings allocable to:								
Limited partner	\$	(267)	\$	92	\$	8	\$	391
General partner		780		7		782		13
	\$	513	\$	99	\$	790	\$	404

Accumulated other comprehensive loss was \$1,002 million and \$982 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (In millions, Unaudited)

Equity Attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings

	General Pa Equity (D		imited er's Equity	Tot	tal Partners' Equity	Non-controlling Interests	Total Equity
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$	(293)	\$ 4,984	\$	4,691	\$ 5,104	\$ 9,795
Net income		8	795		803	1,188	1,991
Other comprehensive loss		_	(13)		(13)	(7)	(20)
Partnership distributions		(2)	(170)		(172)	_	(172)
Investment segment contributions		_	_		_	45	45
Proceeds from equity offerings		6	311		317	_	317
Distributions paid to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries		_	_		_	(342)	(342)
Proceeds from subsidiary equity offerings		1	89		90	964	1,054
Other		_	26		26	(25)	1
Balance, September 30, 2013	\$	(280)	\$ 6,022	\$	5,742	\$ 6,927	\$ 12,669

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In millions)

Nine Months Ended

		September 30,		
		2013	2012	
Cash flows from operating activities:		(Unaudited)		
Net income	\$	1,991 \$	661	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Net gain from securities transactions		(2,495)	(1,422)	
Purchases of securities		(5,532)	(1,703)	
Proceeds from sales of securities		1,574	6,889	
Purchases to cover securities sold, not yet purchased		(45)	(5,160)	
Proceeds from securities sold, not yet purchased		124	1,000	
Changes in receivables and payables relating to securities transactions		3,739	(2,337)	
Loss on disposition of assets		57	_	
Depreciation and amortization		503	411	
Impairment		7	87	
Deferred taxes		123	(181)	
Other, net		(5)	(33)	
Changes in cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash		4	3,093	
Changes in other operating assets and liabilities		269	63	
Net cash provided by operating activities		314	1,368	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Capital expenditures		(785)	(617)	
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired		_	(1,348)	
Proceeds from sale of investments		38	170	
Purchases of investments		(65)	(210)	
Other, net		22	29	
Net cash used in investing activities		(790)	(1,976)	
Cash flows from financing activities:		<u> </u>		
Investment segment distributions		(185)	(17)	
Investment segment contributions		45	_	
Proceeds from equity offerings		317	513	
Partnership distributions		(32)	(31)	
Proceeds from offering of subsidiary equity		1,308	_	
Distributions to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries		(342)	_	
Proceeds from issuance of senior unsecured notes		493	1,030	
Proceeds from other borrowings		122	172	
Repayments of borrowings		(1,021)	(175)	
Other, net		(14)	(38)	
Net cash provided by financing activities		691	1,454	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		(12)	16	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		203	862	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		3,071	2,278	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	3,274 \$	3,140	
Cuba una cuba equivatento, ena or persoa	Ψ	σ,=, ι ψ	5,110	

Supplemental information:		
Cash payments for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 438	\$ 387
Net cash payments for income taxes	\$ 117	\$ 185
Distribution payable to Icahn Enterprises LP unitholders	\$ 140	\$
Non-cash investment segment contribution	\$ 185	\$ _
Acquisition of non-controlling interest in CVR	\$ _	\$ 135
Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities	\$ 	\$ (2)

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

1. Description of Business and Basis of Presentation.

General

Icahn Enterprises L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises") is a master limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises Holdings") is a limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. References to "we," "our" or "us" herein include both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings and their subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

Icahn Enterprises owns a 99% limited partner interest in Icahn Enterprises Holdings. Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc. ("Icahn Enterprises GP"), which is owned and controlled by Mr. Carl C. Icahn, owns a 1% general partner interest in each of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings as of September 30, 2013. Icahn Enterprises Holdings and its subsidiaries own substantially all of our assets and liabilities and conduct substantially all of our operations. Therefore, the financial results of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings are substantially the same, with differences relating primarily to debt, as discussed further in Note 10, "Debt," and to the allocation of the general partner interest, which is reflected as an aggregate 1.99% general partner interest in the financial statements of Icahn Enterprises. In addition to the above, Mr. Icahn and his affiliates owned 100,436,406, or approximately 89.4%, of Icahn Enterprises' outstanding depositary units as of September 30, 2013.

We are a diversified holding company owning subsidiaries currently engaged in the following continuing operating businesses: Investment, Automotive, Energy, Metals, Railcar, Gaming, Food Packaging, Real Estate and Home Fashion. We also report the results of our Holding Company, which includes the results of certain subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings (unless otherwise noted), and investment activity and expenses associated with the Holding Company. Further information regarding our continuing reportable segments is contained in Note 2, "Operating Units," and Note 13, "Segment Reporting."

We conduct and plan to continue to conduct our activities in such a manner as not to be deemed an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "'40 Act"). Therefore, no more than 40% of our total assets can be invested in investment securities, as such term is defined in the '40 Act. In addition, we do not invest or intend to invest in securities as our primary business. We intend to structure our investments to continue to be taxed as a partnership rather than as a corporation under the applicable publicly traded partnership rules of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the "Code").

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") related to interim financial statements. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The financial information contained herein is unaudited; however, management believes all adjustments have been made that are necessary to present fairly the results for the interim periods. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications from the prior year presentation have been made to conform to the current year presentation.

Purchase Price Allocation

On May 4, 2012, we acquired a controlling interest in CVR Energy, Inc. ("CVR") and have allocated the total purchase price to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the acquisition date, with amounts exceeding fair values recorded as goodwill. The purchase price allocation was finalized during the second quarter of 2013. See Note 8, "Goodwill and Intangible Assets, Net - Energy," for further discussion.

Principles of Consolidation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of (i) Icahn Enterprises and (ii) the wholly and majority owned subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises, in addition to those entities in which we have a controlling interest as a general partner interest or in which we may be the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity ("VIE"). In evaluating whether we have a controlling financial interest in entities that we would consolidate, we consider the following: (1) for voting interest entities, we

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

consolidate these entities in which we own a majority of the voting interests; and (2) for limited partnership entities that are not considered VIEs, we consolidate these entities if we are the general partner of such entities and for which no substantive kick-out rights (the rights underlying the limited partners' ability to dissolve the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partners are collectively referred to as "kick-out" rights) or participating rights exist. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities and due to brokers are deemed to be reasonable estimates of their fair values because of their short-term nature.

See Note 4, "Investments and Related Matters," and Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements," for a detailed discussion of our investments.

The fair value of our long-term debt is based on the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or on the current rates offered to us for debt of the same remaining maturities. The carrying value and estimated fair value of our long-term debt as of September 30, 2013 was approximately \$8.2 billion and \$8.3 billion, respectively. The carrying value and estimated fair value of our long-term debt as of December 31, 2012 was approximately \$8.5 billion and \$8.6 billion, respectively.

Restricted Cash

Our restricted cash balance was approximately \$1.4 billion and \$0.7 billion as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Adoption of New Accounting Standards

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-11, which amends FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 210, *Balance Sheet*. This ASU requires companies to disclose both gross and net information about instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position as well as instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, which further amends FASB ASC Topic 210. This ASU limits the scope of the original guidance. These ASUs are effective retrospectively for interim and annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. We adopted these additional disclosure requirements effective January 1, 2013 which had minimal impact on our disclosures.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, which amends FASB ASC Topic 220, *Comprehensive Income*. This ASU requires an entity to provide information about amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. The guidance is effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We adopted these additional disclosure requirements effective January 1, 2013. See Note15, "Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss," for additional information.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-04, which amends FASB ASC Topic 405, *Liabilities*. This ASU requires the measurement of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date as the sum of (1) the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and (2) any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. This guidance also requires the disclosure of the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013. We anticipate that the adoption of this guidance will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-05, which amends FASB ASC Topic 830, *Foreign Currency Matters*. This ASU resolves the accounting for certain foreign currency matters with respect to the release of cumulative translation adjustment into net income within a foreign entity under certain circumstances. This ASU is effective prospectively for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. This ASU should be applied prospectively to derecognition events occurring after the effective date. Early adoption is permitted provided that if the entity adopts this guidance early, it is applied as of the beginning of the entity's fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

In June 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-08, which amends FASB ASC Topic 946, *Financial Services - Investment Companies*. This ASU clarifies the characteristics of an investment company, and provides comprehensive guidance for assessing whether an entity is an investment company. This ASU is effective for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. Earlier adoption is prohibited. The adoption of this ASU will not have any impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, which amends FASB ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. This ASU requires that unrecognized tax benefits, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward, except in certain cases. This ASU is effective for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. Earlier adoption is permitted. The adoption of this ASU will not have any impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Filing Status of Subsidiaries

Federal-Mogul Corporation ("Federal-Mogul"), CVR, American Railcar Industries, Inc. ("ARI") and Tropicana Entertainment Inc. ("Tropicana") are each a public reporting entity under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and file annual, quarterly and current reports and proxy and information statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Each of these reports is publicly available at www.sec.gov.

2. Operating Units.

Investment

Our Investment segment is comprised of various private investment funds, including Icahn Partners L.P. ("Icahn Partners"), Icahn Partners Master Fund LP, Icahn Partners Master Fund II LP and Icahn Partners Master Fund III LP (collectively, the "Master Funds", and together with Icahn Partners, the "Investment Funds"), through which we invest our proprietary capital. We and certain of Mr. Icahn's wholly owned affiliates are the sole investors in the Investment Funds. Icahn Onshore LP and Icahn Offshore LP (together, the "General Partners") act as the general partner of Icahn Partners and the Master Funds, respectively. The General Partners provide investment advisory and certain administrative and back office services to the Investment Funds but do not provide such services to any other entities, individuals or accounts. Interests in the Investment Funds are not offered to outside investors.

We had interests in the Investment Funds with a fair value of approximately \$3.6 billion and \$2.4 billion as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Mr. Icahn and his affiliates (excluding Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings) had direct investments in the Investment Funds of approximately \$4.5 billion and \$3.5 billion as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Automotive

We conduct our Automotive segment through our majority ownership in Federal-Mogul. Federal-Mogul is a leading global supplier of technology and innovation in vehicle and industrial products for fuel economy, emissions reduction and safety systems. Federal-Mogul serves the world's foremost original equipment manufacturers (each an "OEM") and servicers (each an "OES") of automotive, light, medium and heavy-duty commercial vehicles, off-road, agricultural, marine, rail, aerospace, power generation and industrial equipment, as well as the worldwide aftermarket.

Effective September 1, 2012, Federal-Mogul began operating as two unique end-customer focused business units. The Powertrain ("PT") unit focuses on original equipment powertrain and systems protection products for automotive, heavy-duty and industrial applications. The Vehicle Components Solutions ("VCS") unit sells and distributes a broad portfolio of products in the global vehicle aftermarket and OES market, while also serving OEMs with vehicle products including brake friction, chassis, wipers and other vehicle components. The new organizational model is designed to allow for a strong product line focus benefiting both original equipment and aftermarket customers to enable the global Federal-Mogul teams to be responsive to customers' needs for superior products and to promote greater identification with Federal-Mogul premium brands. The division of the global Federal-Mogul business into two business units is expected to enhance management focus to capitalize on opportunities for organic or acquisition growth, profit improvement, resource utilization and business model optimization in line with the unique requirements of the two different customer bases.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Rights Offering

On July 11, 2013, Federal-Mogul received \$500 million in connection with its previously announced common stock registered rights offering (the "Federal-Mogul Rights Offering"). In connection with the Federal-Mogul Rights Offering, we fully exercised our subscription rights under our basic and over subscription privileges to purchase additional shares of Federal-Mogul common stock, thereby increasing our ownership of Federal-Mogul, for an aggregate additional investment of \$434 million, which is eliminated in our consolidated statement of cash flows.

As of September 30, 2013, we owned approximately 80.7% of the total outstanding common stock of Federal-Mogul.

Accounts Receivable, net

Federal-Mogul's subsidiaries in Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Japan and the United States are party to accounts receivable factoring and securitization facilities. Gross accounts receivable transferred under these facilities were \$274 million and \$217 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Of those gross amounts, \$263 million and \$216 million, respectively, qualify as sales as defined in FASB ASC Topic 860, *Transfers and Servicing*. The remaining transferred receivables were pledged as collateral and accounted for as secured borrowings and recorded in the consolidated balance sheets within accounts receivable, net and debt. Under the terms of these facilities, Federal-Mogul is not obligated to draw cash immediately upon the transfer of accounts receivable. As of both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Federal-Mogul had no outstanding transferred receivables for which cash had not yet been drawn. Proceeds from the transfers of accounts receivable qualifying as sales were \$379 million and \$335 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and approximately \$1.1 billion for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, expenses associated with transfers of receivables were \$2 million and \$1 million, respectively, and \$5 million for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Such expenses were recorded in the consolidated statements of operations within other income (loss), net. Where Federal-Mogul receives a fee to service and monitor these transferred receivables, such fees are sufficient to offset the costs and as such, a servicing asset or liability is not incurred as a result of such activities.

Certain of the facilities contain terms that require Federal-Mogul to share in the credit risk of the sold receivables. The maximum exposures of Federal-Mogul associated with certain of these facilities' terms were \$18 million and \$19 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Based on Federal-Mogul's analysis of the creditworthiness of its customers with respect to which such receivables were sold and outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Federal-Mogul estimated the loss to be immaterial.

Restructuring

Federal-Mogul recorded aggregate net restructuring charges of \$4 million and \$20 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Federal-Mogul recorded aggregate net restructuring charges of \$5 million and \$20 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Restructuring information related to specific restructuring plans are discussed below.

In June 2012, Federal-Mogul announced a restructuring plan ("Restructuring 2012") to reduce or eliminate capacity at several high-cost VCS facilities and transfer production to lower-cost locations. Restructuring 2012 is anticipated to be completed within two years. In connection with Restructuring 2012, Federal-Mogul recorded \$1 million and \$2 million in restructuring charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, which pertain to employee costs and facility costs. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, Federal-Mogul recorded \$1 million and \$8 million, respectively, in charges in connection with Restructuring 2012, all of which pertain to employee costs. Additionally, Federal-Mogul recognized \$11 million in net restructuring expenses outside of Restructuring 2012 during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, all of which pertained to employee costs.

In February 2013, Federal-Mogul's Board of Directors approved the evaluation of restructuring opportunities in order to improve operating performance. Federal-Mogul obtained its Board of Directors' approval to commence a restructuring plan ("Restructuring 2013"). Restructuring 2013 is intended to take place between 2013 and 2015 with an expected total cost of \$79 million, of which \$62 million and \$17 million pertains to employee costs and facility costs, respectively. In connection with Restructuring 2013, Federal-Mogul recorded \$3 million and \$19 million in charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, all of which pertain to employee costs.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Energy

We conduct our Energy segment through our majority ownership in CVR. We acquired a controlling interest in CVR on May 4, 2012.

CVR is a diversified holding company primarily engaged in the petroleum refining and nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing industries through its holdings in CVR Refining, LP ("CVR Refining") and CVR Partners, LP ("CVR Partners"), respectively. CVR Refining is an independent petroleum refiner and marketer of high value transportation fuels. CVR Partners produces nitrogen fertilizers in the form of ammonia and urea ammonium nitrate ("UAN"). As of September 30, 2013, following various equity offerings as discussed below, CVR owned the general partner and approximately 71% of the common units of CVR Refining (including 100% of CVR Refining GP, LLC, its general partner) and approximately 53% of the common units of CVR Partners (including 100% of CVR GP, LLC, its general partner).

As of September 30, 2013, we owned approximately 82.0% of the total outstanding common stock of CVR. In addition, as of September 30, 2013, as a result of purchasing common units of CVR Refining as discussed below, we directly owned approximately 4.0% of the total outstanding common units of CVR Refining.

Equity Offerings

On January 23, 2013, CVR Refining completed its initial public offering ("CVR Refining IPO") of its common units representing limited partner interests, resulting in gross proceeds of \$600 million, before giving effect to underwriting discounts and other offering expenses. Included in these proceeds is \$100 million paid by us for the purchase of common units of CVR Refining in connection with the CVR Refining IPO. Additionally, on January 30, 2013, additional common units of CVR Refining were issued pursuant to the underwriters' exercise of their overallotment option, resulting in gross proceeds of \$90 million, before giving effect to underwriting discounts and other offering costs.

On May 20, 2013, CVR Refining completed an underwritten offering of its common units representing limited partner interests, and on June 10, 2013 issued additional common units pursuant to the underwriters' exercise of their overallotment option, resulting in gross proceeds of \$406 million before giving effect to underwriting discounts and offering expenses. In addition, we purchased approximately \$62 million of common units of CVR Refining in a privately negotiated transaction with CVR. CVR Refining did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of common units of CVR Refining to us.

On May 28, 2013, Coffeyville Resources, LLC ("CRLLC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of CVR, completed a secondary offering of common units of CVR Partners. Additionally, the underwriters were granted an option to purchase additional units at the public offering price, which expired unexercised at the end of the option period. The gross proceeds to CRLLC from this secondary offering were \$302 million, before giving effect to underwriting discounts and other offering expenses. CVR Partners did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of common units by CRLLC.

Petroleum Business

CVR Refining's petroleum business includes a 115,000 barrels per day ("bpd") complex full coking medium-sour crude oil refinery in Coffeyville, Kansas and a 70,000 bpd medium complexity crude oil unit refinery in Wynnewood, Oklahoma. The combined production capacity represents approximately 22% of the region's refining capacity. The Coffeyville refinery is situated on approximately 440 acres in southeast Kansas, approximately 100 miles from Cushing, Oklahoma, a major crude oil trading and storage hub. The Wynnewood refinery is situated on approximately 400 acres located approximately 65 miles south of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma and approximately 130 miles from Cushing, Oklahoma.

In addition to the refineries, CVR's petroleum business owns and operates the following: (1) a crude oil gathering system with a gathering capacity of approximately 50,000 bpd serving Kansas, Oklahoma, Missouri, Nebraska and Texas, (2) a rack marketing division supplying product through tanker trucks directly to customers located in close geographic proximity to Coffeyville, Kansas and Wynnewood, Oklahoma and at throughput terminals on Magellan and NuStar Energy, LP's ("NuStar") refined products distribution systems, (3) a 145,000 bpd pipeline system (supported by approximately 350 miles of CVR's owned and leased pipeline) that transports crude oil to its Coffeyville refinery from its Broome Station tank farm and associated crude oil storage tanks with a capacity of 1.2 million barrels, (4) crude oil storage tanks with a capacity of 0.5 million barrels in Wynnewood, Oklahoma, (5) an additional 3.3 million barrels of leased storage capacity located in Cushing, Oklahoma and other locations, (6) 1.0 million barrels of company owned crude oil storage in Cushing, Oklahoma and (7) approximately 4.5 million barrels of combined refinery related storage capacity.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Nitrogen Fertilizer Business

CVR Partners' nitrogen fertilizer business consists of a nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing facility that utilizes a petroleum coke, or pet coke, gasification process to produce nitrogen fertilizer. The facility includes a 1,225 ton-per-day ammonia unit, a 3,000 ton-per-day UAN unit and a gasifier complex having a capacity of 84 million standard cubic feet per day of hydrogen. The gasifier is a dual-train facility, with each gasifier able to function independently of the other, thereby providing redundancy and improving reliability.

Metals

We conduct our Metals segment through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, PSC Metals, Inc. ("PSC Metals"). PSC Metals collects industrial and obsolete scrap metal, processes it into reusable forms and supplies the recycled metals to its customers, including electric-arc furnace mills, integrated steel mills, foundries, secondary smelters and metals brokers. PSC Metals' ferrous products include busheling, plate and structural, shredded, sheared and bundled scrap metal and other purchased scrap metal such as turnings (steel machining fragments), cast furnace iron and broken furnace iron. PSC Metals processes the scrap into a size, density and purity required by customers to meet their production needs. PSC Metals also processes non-ferrous metals, including aluminum, copper, brass, stainless steel and nickel-bearing metals. Non-ferrous products are a significant raw material in the production of aluminum and copper alloys used in manufacturing. PSC Metals also operates a steel products business that includes the supply of secondary plate and structural grade pipe that is sold into niche markets for counterweights, piling and foundations, construction materials and infrastructure end-markets.

Railcar

We conduct our Railcar segment through our majority ownership in ARI and our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, AEP Leasing LLC ("AEP Leasing"). ARI manufactures railcars, which are offered for sale or lease, custom designed railcar parts and other industrial products, primarily aluminum and special alloy steel castings. These products are sold to various types of companies including leasing companies, railroads, industrial companies and other non-rail companies. ARI leases railcars that it manufactures to certain markets. ARI provides railcar services consisting of railcar repair services, engineering and field services and fleet management services. More specifically, such services include maintenance planning, project management, tracking and tracing, regulatory compliance, mileage audit, rolling stock taxes, and online service access.

On August 17, 2012, AEP Leasing was formed for the purpose of leasing railcars. AEP Leasing's business is managed by American Railcar Leasing LLC ("ARL"), an entity controlled by Mr. Icahn that also manages ARI's leasing business. AEP Leasing began purchasing railcars from ARI in the third quarter of 2012 with terms and pricing not less favorable to ARI than the terms and pricing available to unaffiliated third parties. Transactions between AEP Leasing and ARI have been eliminated in consolidation.

As further discussed in Note 18, "Subsequent Events - Railcar," on October 2, 2013, we acquired a 75% membership interest in the newly capitalized ARL ("New ARL") for the purpose of leasing railcars.

As of September 30, 2013, we owned approximately 55.6% of the total outstanding common stock of ARI.

Gaming

We conduct our Gaming segment through our majority ownership in Tropicana. Tropicana currently owns and operates a diversified, multi-jurisdictional collection of casino gaming properties. The eight casino facilities it operates feature approximately 370,000 square feet of gaming space with 7,000 slot machines, 210 table games and 6,000 hotel rooms with three casino facilities located in Nevada and one in each of Indiana, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Jersey and Aruba.

As previously disclosed, on August 16, 2013, Tropicana St. Louis LLC (the "Buyer"), a Delaware limited liability company and a wholly owned subsidiary of Tropicana, entered into an Equity Interest Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc. ("Pinnacle"), Casino Magic, LLC ("Casino Magic" and together with Pinnacle, the "Sellers"), Casino One Corporation (the "Target"), PNK (ES), LLC ("ES"), PNK (ST. LOUIS RE), LLC ("RE") and PNK (STLH), LLC ("STLH"). Casino Magic is the beneficial and record owner of all of the issued and outstanding stock of the Target (the "Target Stock"). Pinnacle is the beneficial and record owner of all of the issued and outstanding membership interests of ES, RE and STLH (and together with the Target Stock, the "Equity Interests"). The Purchase Agreement provides that, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth therein, the Buyer has agreed to purchase all of the Equity Interests in exchange for \$260 million in cash, subject to adjustment (the "Transactions"). If the Transactions are consummated, the

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Buyer would acquire the Lumiére Place Casino, Hotel Lumiére, the Four Seasons Hotel St. Louis and related excess land parcels in St. Louis, Missouri.

The Purchase Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants by the Buyer and the Sellers, including an agreement by each of the parties to use commercially reasonable efforts to consummate the Transactions. Completion of the Transactions is subject to various conditions, including, among others, regulatory approvals from the Missouri Gaming Commission and the U.S. Federal Trade Commission. Tropicana can make no assurances that the conditions will be satisfied and that the sale will be consummated in a timely manner or at all.

As of September 30, 2013, we owned approximately 67.9% of the total outstanding common stock of Tropicana.

Food Packaging

We conduct our Food Packaging segment through our majority ownership in Viskase Companies, Inc. ("Viskase"). Viskase is a worldwide leader in the production and sale of cellulosic, fibrous and plastic casings for the processed meat and poultry industry. Viskase currently operates eight manufacturing facilities and ten distribution centers throughout North America, Europe, South America and Asia and derives approximately 71% of its total net sales from customers located outside the United States.

As of September 30, 2013, we owned approximately 70.8% of the total outstanding common stock of Viskase.

Real Estate

Our Real Estate segment consists of rental real estate, property development and resort activities.

As of September 30, 2013, we owned 29 commercial rental real estate properties. Our property development operations are run primarily through Bayswater Development LLC, a real estate investment, management and development subsidiary that focuses primarily on the construction and sale of single-family and multi-family homes, lots in subdivisions and planned communities and raw land for residential development. Our New Seabury development property in Cape Cod, Massachusetts and our Grand Harbor and Oak Harbor development property in Vero Beach, Florida include land for future residential development of approximately 292 and 870 units of residential housing, respectively. Both developments operate golf and resort operations as well. In addition, our Real Estate segment owns an unfinished development property which is located on approximately 23 acres in Las Vegas, Nevada.

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$57 million and \$73 million, respectively, of the net investment in financing leases and net real estate leased to others which is included in property, plant and equipment, net, were pledged to collateralize the payment of nonrecourse mortgages payable.

Home Fashion

We conduct our Home Fashion segment through our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, WestPoint Home LLC ("WPH"), a manufacturer and distributor of home fashion consumer products. WPH is engaged in the business of designing, marketing, manufacturing, sourcing, distributing and selling home fashion consumer products. WPH markets a broad range of manufactured and sourced bed and bath products, including sheets, pillowcases, bedspreads, quilts, comforters and duvet covers, bath and beach towels, bath accessories, bed skirts, bed pillows, flocked blankets, woven blankets and throws, and mattress pads. WPH recognizes revenue primarily through the sale of home fashion products to a variety of retail and institutional customers. In addition, WPH receives a small portion of its revenues through the licensing of its trademarks.

3. Related Party Transactions.

Our amended and restated agreement of limited partnership expressly permits us to enter into transactions with our general partner or any of its affiliates, including, without limitation, buying or selling properties from or to our general partner and any of its affiliates and borrowing and lending money from or to our general partner and any of its affiliates, subject to limitations contained in our partnership agreement and the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act. The indentures governing our indebtedness contain certain covenants applicable to transactions with affiliates.

Investment

Mr. Icahn, along with his affiliates (excluding Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings), makes investments in the Investment Funds. During the second quarter of 2013, an affiliate of Mr. Icahn invested \$45 million in the Investment Funds. As further discussed in Note 6, "Financial Instruments - Investment Segment and Holding Company," the Investment

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Funds are parties to swap agreements with respect to shares of the S&P 500 ETF Trust ("SPDR"). On August 19, 2013, certain of the Investment Funds assigned an aggregate 7.7 million SPDR shares to Koala Holdings LP and its subsidiary (collectively, "Koala"), an affiliate of Mr. Icahn's. In addition, certain of the Investment Funds distributed \$185 million to Koala. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the total fair market value of investments in the Investment Funds made by Mr. Icahn and his affiliates (excluding Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings) was approximately \$4.5 billion and \$3.5 billion, respectively, representing approximately 56% and 60%, respectively, of the Investment Funds' asset under management.

Effective April 1, 2011, based on an expense-sharing arrangement, certain expenses borne by Icahn Capital are reimbursed by the Investment Funds, generally when such expenses are paid. Such expenses relate to the operation, administration and investment activities of Icahn Capital for the benefit of the Investment Funds (including salaries, benefits and rent) and are allocated pro rata in accordance with each investor's capital accounts in the Investment Funds. For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, \$40 million and \$6 million, respectively, were allocated to the Investment Funds based on this expense-sharing arrangement. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, \$79 million and \$17 million, respectively, were allocated to the Investment Funds based on this expense-sharing arrangement.

Railcar

Agreements with ACF Industries LLC

In January 2013, ARI entered into a purchasing and engineering services agreement and license with ACF Industries LLC ("ACF"), an affiliate of Mr. Icahn. The agreement was unanimously approved by the independent directors of ARI's and Icahn Enterprises' audit committee on the basis that the terms of the agreement were not materially less favorable to ARI than those that could have been obtained in a comparable transaction with an unrelated person. Under this agreement, ARI provides purchasing support and engineering services to ACF in connection with ACF's manufacture and sale of certain tank railcars at its facility in Milton, Pennsylvania. Additionally, ARI has granted ACF a nonexclusive, non-assignable license to certain of ARI's intellectual property, including certain designs, specifications, processes and manufacturing know-how required to manufacture and sell such tank railcars during the term of the agreement. Subject to certain early termination events, the agreement will terminate on December 31, 2014.

In consideration for the services and license provided by ARI to ACF in conjunction with the agreement, ACF pays ARI a royalty and, if any, a share of the net profits ("ACF Profits") earned on each railcar manufactured and sold by ACF under the agreement, in an aggregate amount equal to 30 percent of such ACF Profits, as calculated under the agreement. ACF Profits are net of certain of ACF's start-up and shutdown expenses and certain maintenance capital. If no ACF Profits are realized on a railcar manufactured and sold by ACF pursuant to the agreement, ARI will still be entitled to the royalty for such railcar and will not share in any losses incurred by ACF in connection therewith. In addition, any railcar components supplied by ARI to ACF for the manufacture of these railcars shall be provided at fair market value.

Under the agreement, ACF has the exclusive right to manufacture and sell subject tank railcars for any new orders scheduled for delivery to customers on or before January 31, 2014. ARI has the exclusive right to any sales opportunities for such tank railcars for any new orders scheduled for delivery after that date and through December 31, 2014. ARI also has the right to assign any sales opportunity to ACF, and ACF has the right, but not the obligation, to accept such sales opportunity. Any sales opportunity accepted by ACF will not be reflected in ARI's orders or backlog.

Revenues under this agreement were \$3 million and \$7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and were recorded for sales of railcar components to ACF and for royalties and profits on railcars sold by ACF.

In April 2013, AEP Leasing entered into an agreement ("ACF Agreement") with ACF whereby AEP Leasing will purchase 1,050 railcars from ACF in 2013 and 2014 for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$150 million. Additionally, AEP Leasing has an option that can be exercised any time prior to September 1, 2014 to purchase an additional 500 railcars for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$70 million. The ACF Agreement was unanimously approved by Icahn Enterprises' audit committee consisting of independent directors, who were advised by independent counsel and an independent financial advisor on the basis that the terms were not less favorable than those terms that could have been obtained in a comparable transaction with an unaffiliated third party. Under this agreement, purchases of railcars by AEP Leasing from ACF were \$20 million and \$25 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Agreements with American Railcar Leasing LLC

In April 2011, ARI entered into a fleet services agreement ("Railcar Services Agreement") with ARL, a company controlled by Mr. Icahn, for a term of three years, which will automatically renew for additional one-year periods unless either

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

party provides at least 60 days written prior notice of termination. Pursuant to the Railcar Services Agreement, ARI provides railcar repair, engineering, administrative and other services, on an as needed basis, for ARL's lease fleet at mutually agreed-upon prices. Railcar services revenues, included in other revenues from operations in our consolidated statements of operations, recorded by ARI under this agreement were \$4 million and \$6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$13 million and \$17 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Railcar Services Agreement was unanimously approved by the independent directors of ARI's audit committee on the basis that the terms were no less favorable than those terms that could have been obtained in a comparable transaction with an unaffiliated third party.

ARI has from time to time manufactured and sold railcars to ARL under long-term agreements as well as on a purchase order basis. In the third quarter of 2012, all unfilled purchase orders previously placed by ARL were assigned to AEP Leasing. Revenues for railcars sold to ARL were \$34 million and \$45 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The terms and pricing on sales to related parties are not less favorable to ARI than the terms and pricing on sales to unaffiliated third parties. Any related party sales of railcars under an agreement or purchase order have been and will be subject to the approval or review by the independent directors of Icahn Enterprises' and ARI's audit committee.

On February 29, 2012, ARI entered into a railcar management agreement (the "ARI Railcar Management Agreement") with ARL, pursuant to which ARI engaged ARL to sell or lease ARI's railcars in certain markets, subject to the terms and conditions of the ARI Railcar Management Agreement. The ARI Railcar Management Agreement was effective as of January 1, 2011, will continue through December 31, 2015 and may be renewed upon written agreement by both parties. In December 2012, a subsidiary of ARI entered into a similar agreement with ARL that terminates in August 2018.

On August 30, 2012, AEP Leasing entered into a railcar management agreement with ARL (the "AEP Railcar Management Agreement"), pursuant to which AEP Leasing engaged ARL to sell or lease AEP Leasing's railcars in certain markets, subject to the terms and conditions of the AEP Railcar Management Agreement. The AEP Railcar Management Agreement was effective as of August 30, 2012, will continue through December 31, 2022 and may be renewed upon written agreement by both parties.

The ARI Railcar Management Agreement and the AEP Railcar Management Agreement (collectively the "Railcar Management Agreements") also provide that ARL will manage ARI's and AEP Leasing's leased railcars, including arranging for services, such as repairs or maintenance, as deemed necessary. Subject to the terms and conditions of the agreement, ARL receives, in respect of leased railcars, a fee consisting of a lease origination fee and a management fee based on the lease revenues, and, in respect of railcars sold by ARL, sales commissions. The ARI Railcar Management Agreement was unanimously approved by ARI's special committee and Icahn Enterprises' audit committee, which were advised by independent counsel and an independent financial advisor. The AEP Railcar Management Agreement was unanimously approved by Icahn Enterprises' audit committee, which was advised by independent counsel and an independent financial advisor. Each of the Railcar Management Agreements was approved by the applicable special or audit committees on the basis that the terms of the Railcar Management Agreements were no less favorable than those terms that could have been obtained in a comparable transaction with an unaffiliated third party. Combined fees incurred by ARI and AEP Leasing in connection with the Railcar Management Agreements were immaterial for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

As further discussed in Note 18, "Subsequent Events - Railcar," on October 2, 2013, we acquired a 75% membership interest in the New ARL for the purpose of leasing railcars.

Insight Portfolio Group LLC (formerly known as Icahn Sourcing, LLC)

Icahn Sourcing, LLC ("Icahn Sourcing") was an entity formed by Mr. Icahn in order to maximize the potential buying power of a group of entities with which Mr. Icahn has a relationship in negotiating with a wide range of suppliers of goods, services and tangible and intangible property at negotiated rates. Icahn Enterprises was a member of the buying group in 2012. Prior to December 31, 2012, Icahn Enterprises did not pay Icahn Sourcing any fees or other amounts with respect to the buying group arrangement.

In December 2012, Icahn Sourcing advised Icahn Enterprises that, effective January 1, 2013, it would restructure its ownership and change its name to Insight Portfolio Group LLC ("Insight Portfolio Group"). In connection with the restructuring, Icahn Enterprises Holdings acquired a minority equity interest in Insight Portfolio Group and agreed to pay a portion of Insight Portfolio Group's operating expenses in 2013. In addition to the minority equity interest held by Icahn Enterprises Holdings, certain subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises Holdings, including Federal-Mogul, CVR, Tropicana, ARI, Viskase, PSC Metals and WPH, also acquired minority equity interests in Insight Portfolio Group and agreed to pay a portion

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

of Insight Portfolio Group's operating expenses in 2013. A number of other entities with which Mr. Icahn has a relationship also acquired equity interests in Insight Portfolio Group and agreed to pay certain of Insight Portfolio Group's operating expenses in 2013.

4. Investments and Related Matters.

Investment

Investments, and securities sold, not yet purchased, consist of equities, bonds, bank debt and other corporate obligations, and derivatives, all of which are reported at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets. See Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements - Investment," for details of the investments for our Investment segment.

Our Investment segment assesses the applicability of equity method accounting with respect to its investments based on a combination of qualitative and quantitative factors, including overall stock ownership of the Investment Funds combined with those of our affiliates along with board of directors representation.

Our Investment segment applied the fair value option to certain of its investments that would have otherwise been subject to the equity method of accounting. As of September 30, 2013, the fair value of these investments was less than \$1 million. During the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, our Investment segment recorded gains of \$78 million and \$193 million, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, our Investment segment recorded gains of \$140 million and \$360 million, respectively. Such amounts are included in net gain (loss) from investment activities in our consolidated statements of operations. Included in these investment gains and losses are the Investment Funds' gains and losses in The Hain Celestial Group, Inc. ("Hain") and Metro-Golden-Mayer Inc. ("MGM"). As of September 30, 2013, the Investment Funds no longer held any shares of Hain or MGM. The General Partners have applied the fair value option to their previous investments in Hain and MGM.

We believe that these investments to which we applied the fair value option are not material, individually or in the aggregate, to our consolidated financial statements.

Other Segments

The carrying value of investments held by our Automotive, Energy, Gaming, Railcar and Home Fashion segments and our Holding Company consist of the following:

	Septem	ber 30, 2013	Dece	mber 31, 2012
		(in mil	lions)	
Equity method investments	\$	301	\$	299
Other investments		149		108
	\$	450	\$	407

Our Holding Company applies the fair value option to its investments that would otherwise be subject to the equity method of accounting. We record unrealized gains and losses for the change in fair value of such investments as a component of net gain (loss) from investment activities in the consolidated statements of operations. We believe that these investments, individually, and in the aggregate, are not material to our consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

5. Fair Value Measurements.

U.S. GAAP requires enhanced disclosures about investments and non-recurring non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities that are measured and reported at fair value and has established a hierarchal disclosure framework that prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring investments or non-financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of investment and the characteristics specific to the investment. Investments with readily available active quoted prices, or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices, generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value.

Investments and non-financial assets and/or liabilities measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

- Level 1 Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date. The types of investments included in Level 1 include listed equities and listed derivatives. We do not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where we hold a large position.
- Level 2 Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies. Investments that are generally included in this category include corporate bonds and loans, less liquid and restricted equity securities and certain over-the-counter derivatives. The inputs and assumptions of our Level 2 investments are derived from market observable sources including reported trades, broker/dealer quotes and other pertinent data.
- Level 3 Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and non-financial asset and/or liability and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the investment or non-financial asset and/or liability. The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation. Fair value is determined using comparable market transactions and other valuation methodologies, adjusted as appropriate for liquidity, credit, market and/or other risk factors.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the investment. Significant transfers, if any, between the levels within the fair value hierarchy are recognized at the beginning of the reporting period when changes in circumstances require such transfers

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Investment

The following table summarizes the valuation of the Investment Funds' investments and derivative contracts by the above fair value hierarchy levels as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

			 Septembe	er 30), 2013	 			December	r 31	, 2012		
		Level 1	Level 2		Level 3	Total		Level 1	Level 2		Level 3		Total
Assets						(in m	illion	ns)					
Investments:													
Equity securities:													
Basic materials	\$	46	\$ 31	\$	_	\$ 77	\$	144	\$ 9	\$	_	\$	153
Communications		1,567	20		_	1,587		560	16		_		576
Consumer, non-cyclical		2,101	_		_	2,101		1,340	_		_		1,340
Consumer, cyclical		395	_		_	395		261	_		_		261
Diversified		27	_		_	27		_	_		_		_
Energy		2,244	148		_	2,392		1,052	55		_		1,107
Financial		282	_		_	282		244	_		_		244
Funds		_	_		_	_		_	308		_		308
Technology		4,460	_		_	4,460		325	_		_		325
Utilities		_	_		_	_		208	_		_		208
		11,122	 199		_	 11,321		4,134	388	_	_		4,522
Corporate debt:													
Consumer, cyclical		_	_		288	288		_	_		288		288
Financial		_	11		_	11		_	50		_		50
Sovereign debt		_	5		_	5		_	5		_		5
Utilities		_	28		_	28		_	31		_		31
		_	44		288	332			86		288		374
Mortgage-backed securities:													
Financial		_	172		_	172		_	188		_		188
		11,122	 415		288	 11,825		4,134	 662	_	288		5,084
Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽¹⁾		_	 26		_	26		_	 _		_	-	_
	\$	11,122	\$ 441	\$	288	\$ 11,851	\$	4,134	\$ 662	\$	288	\$	5,084
Liabilities				-									
Securities sold, not yet purchased at fair value:	d,												
Equity securities:													
Consumer, cyclical	\$	704	\$ _	\$	_	\$ 704	\$	473	\$ _	\$	_	\$	473
Funds		_	_		_	_		_	60		_		60
		704				704		473	60				533
Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽²⁾		_	 499		_	499		_	84		_		84
	\$	704	\$ 499	\$		\$ 1,203	\$	473	\$ 144	\$		\$	617

 ⁽¹⁾ Included in other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.
 (2) Included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

The changes in investments measured at fair value for which our Investment segment has used Level 3 input to determine fair value are as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2013		2012			
	(in m	illions)				
Balance at January 1	\$ 288	\$	289			
Gross realized and unrealized gains (losses)	4		8			
Gross proceeds	(4)		(4)			
Balance at September 30	\$ 288	\$	293			

Unrealized gains of \$4 million are included in earnings related to Level 3 investments still held at September 30, 2013 by our Investment segment. Total realized and unrealized gains and losses recorded for Level 3 investments, if any, are reported in net gain from investment activities in our consolidated statements of operations.

The Investment Funds held one Level 3 corporate debt investment at September 30, 2013. Fair value was determined through yield analysis of comparable loans to which we applied a risk premium that we determined to be appropriate, which resulted in a lower valuation for our Level 3 investment. Increasing the risk premium by 1% would result in a 2% decrease in the fair value of the loan. Decreasing the risk premium by 1% would have no effect on the fair value of the loan.

Other Segments and Holding Company

The following table summarizes the valuation of our Automotive and Energy segments and our Holding Company investments, derivative contracts and other liabilities by the above fair value hierarchy levels as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

			S	eptembe	r 30,	2013						Decembe	r 31,	2012		
	Le	vel 1	L	evel 2	L	evel 3	ŗ	Total	L	evel 1	I	evel 2	I	evel 3	7	Total
Assets								(in mi	llions)							
Marketable equity and debt securities	\$	1	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1	\$	1	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1
Trading securities		_		_		114		114		_		_		60		60
Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽¹⁾		_		110		_		110		_		1		21		22
	\$	1	\$	110	\$	114	\$	225	\$	1	\$	1	\$	81	\$	83
Liabilities																
Other liabilities	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1	\$	_	\$	1
Derivative contracts, at fair value ⁽²⁾		_		269		_		269		_		89		_		89
	\$	_	\$	269	\$	_	\$	269	\$	_	\$	90	\$		\$	90

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are classified within other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.

⁽²⁾ Amounts are classified within accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

The changes in trading securities measured at fair value for which our Holding Company have used Level 3 input to determine fair value are as follows:

	ine Months Ended eptember 30, 2013
	(in millions)
Balance at January 1	\$ 81
Purchase	46
Gross unrealized losses	(13)
Balance at September 30	\$ 114

A certain security and a related derivative held by the Holding Company was transferred from Level 2 to Level 3 during the fourth quarter of 2012 because there was a lack of observable market data due to a decrease in market activity for this security. This security was valued based on trading EBITDA multiples and enterprise value to resource ratios of market comparables.

Unrealized losses of \$13 million are included in earnings related to Level 3 investments still held at September 30, 2013 by our Holding Company. Total realized and unrealized gains and losses recorded for Level 3 investments, if any, are reported in net gain (loss) from investment activities in our consolidated statements of operations.

Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are set forth in the table below:

				Septer	nber 30,			
		20	013			2	012	
Category	Fair V Level 3	alue of 3 Asset		lecognized npairment		Value of el 3 Asset		ecognized npairment
				(in m	illions)			
Property, plant and equipment	\$	25	\$	7	\$	77	\$	39
Intangible assets		_		_		56		48

We determined the fair value of property, plant and equipment by applying probability weighted, expected present value techniques to the estimated future cash flows using assumptions a market participant would utilize and through the use of valuation specialists. The fair values of intangible assets, primarily related to certain trademarks and brand names, are based upon the prospective stream of hypothetical after-tax royalty cost savings discounted at rates that reflect the rates of return appropriate for these intangible assets.

6. Financial Instruments.

Certain derivative contracts with a single counterparty executed by the Investment Funds, our Automotive or Energy segments, or by our Holding Company are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis where a legal right of offset exists under an enforceable netting agreement. Values for the derivative financial instruments, principally swaps, forwards, over-the-counter options and other conditional and exchange contracts, are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis. As a result, the net exposure to counterparties is reported in either other assets or accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Investment Segment and Holding Company

The Investment Funds currently maintain cash deposits and cash equivalents with financial institutions. Certain account balances may not be covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, while other accounts may exceed federally insured limits. The Investment Funds have prime broker arrangements in place with multiple prime brokers as well as a custodian bank. The Investment Funds also have relationships with several financial institutions with which they trade derivative and other financial instruments.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

In the normal course of business, the Investment Funds and the Holding Company may trade various financial instruments and enter into certain investment activities, which may give rise to off-balance-sheet risks, with the objective of capital appreciation or as economic hedges against other securities or the market as a whole. The Investment Funds' and the Holding Company's investments may include futures, options, swaps and securities sold, not yet purchased. These financial instruments represent future commitments to purchase or sell other financial instruments or to exchange an amount of cash based on the change in an underlying instrument at specific terms at specified future dates. Risks arise with these financial instruments from potential counterparty non-performance and from changes in the market values of underlying instruments.

Securities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value represent obligations to deliver the specified security, thereby creating a liability to repurchase the security in the market at prevailing prices. Accordingly, these transactions result in off-balance-sheet risk, as the satisfaction of the obligations may exceed the amount recognized in our consolidated balance sheets. Our investments in securities and amounts due from brokers are partially restricted until we satisfy the obligation to deliver the securities sold, not yet purchased.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company may enter into derivative contracts, including swap contracts, futures contracts and option contracts. The Investment Funds may also enter into foreign currency derivative contracts with the objective of capital appreciation or to economically hedge against foreign currency exchange rate risks on all or a portion of their non-U.S. dollar denominated investments.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company have entered into various types of swap contracts with other counterparties. These agreements provide that they are entitled to receive or are obligated to pay in cash an amount equal to the increase or decrease, respectively, in the value of the underlying shares, debt and other instruments that are the subject of the contracts, during the period from inception of the applicable agreement to its expiration. In addition, pursuant to the terms of such agreements, they are entitled to receive or obligated to pay other amounts, including interest, dividends and other distributions made in respect of the underlying shares, debt and other instruments during the specified time frame. They are also required to pay to the counterparty a floating interest rate equal to the product of the notional amount multiplied by an agreed-upon rate, and they receive interest on any cash collateral that they post to the counterparty at the federal funds or LIBOR rate in effect for such period.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company may trade futures contracts. A futures contract is a firm commitment to buy or sell a specified quantity of a standardized amount of a deliverable grade commodity, security, currency or cash at a specified price and specified future date unless the contract is closed before the delivery date. Payments (or variation margin) are made or received by the Investment Funds and the Holding Company each day, depending on the daily fluctuations in the value of the contract, and the whole value change is recorded as an unrealized gain or loss by the Investment Funds and the Holding Company. When the contract is closed, the Investment Funds and the Holding Company record a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company may utilize forward contracts to seek to protect their assets denominated in foreign currencies and precious metals holdings from losses due to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and spot rates. The Investment Funds' and the Holding Company's exposure to credit risk associated with non-performance of such forward contracts is limited to the unrealized gains or losses inherent in such contracts, which are recognized in other assets and accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

The Investment Funds may also enter into foreign currency contracts for purposes other than hedging denominated securities. When entering into a foreign currency forward contract, the Investment Funds agree to receive or deliver a fixed quantity of foreign currency for an agreed-upon price on an agreed-upon future date unless the contract is closed before such date. The Investment Funds record unrealized gains or losses on the contracts as measured by the difference between the forward foreign exchange rates at the dates of entry into such contracts and the forward rates at the reporting date.

The Investment Funds are parties to swap agreements ("Swaps") with respect to shares of SPDR. On August 19, 2013, certain of the Investment Funds assigned their rights and obligations under certain of the Swaps to IEH Investments I LLC ("IEH Investments"), a wholly owned subsidiary of ours, and Koala, an affiliate of Mr. Icahn's. Certain of the Investment Funds assigned an aggregate 9.7 million SPDR shares to IEH Investments and an aggregate 7.7 million SPDR shares to Koala. In addition, the Investment Funds distributed an aggregate \$234 million to IEH Investments and an aggregate \$185 million to Koala, amounts equal to the underlying obligations under the assigned Swaps.

The Investment Funds may also purchase and write option contracts. As a writer of option contracts, the Investment Funds receive a premium at the outset and then bear the market risk of unfavorable changes in the price of the underlying financial

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

instrument. As a result of writing option contracts, the Investment Funds are obligated to purchase or sell, at the holder's option, the underlying financial instrument. Accordingly, these transactions result in off-balance-sheet risk, as the Investment Funds' satisfaction of the obligations may exceed the amount recognized in our consolidated balance sheets. At September 30, 2013, the maximum payout amounts relating to certain put options written by the Investment Funds were approximately \$448 million. At December 31, 2012, the maximum payout amounts relating to certain put options written by the Investment Funds approximated \$7.9 billion, of which approximately \$6.8 billion related to covered put options on existing short positions on a certain stock index. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were unrealized gains of less than \$1 million and \$180 million, respectively.

Certain terms of the Investment Funds' contracts with derivative counterparties, which are standard and customary to such contracts, contain certain triggering events that would give the counterparties the right to terminate the derivative instruments. In such events, the counterparties to the derivative instruments could request immediate payment on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all of the Investment Funds' derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a liability position at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$499 million and \$84 million, respectively.

At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Investment Funds had \$413 million and \$148 million, respectively, posted as collateral for derivative positions, including those derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features; these amounts are included in cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash in our consolidated balance sheets.

U.S. GAAP requires the disclosure of information about obligations under certain guarantee arrangements. Such guarantee arrangements requiring disclosure include contracts that contingently require the guarantor to make payments to the guaranteed party based on another entity's failure to perform under an agreement as well as indirect guarantees of the indebtedness of others.

Each Investment Fund's assets may be held in one or more accounts maintained for the Investment Fund by its prime broker or at other brokers or custodian banks, which may be located in various jurisdictions. The prime broker and custodian banks are subject to various laws and regulations in the relevant jurisdictions in the event of their insolvency. Accordingly, the practical effect of these laws and their application to the Investment Funds' assets may be subject to substantial variations, limitations and uncertainties. The insolvency of any of the prime brokers, custodian banks or clearing corporations may result in the loss of all or a substantial portion of the Investment Funds' assets or in a significant delay in the Investment Funds' having access to those assets.

Credit concentrations may arise from investment activities and may be impacted by changes in economic, industry or political factors. The Investment Funds and the Holding Company routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, resulting in credit concentration with respect to this industry. In the ordinary course of business, the Investment Funds and the Holding Company may also be subject to a concentration of credit risk to a particular counterparty.

The Investment Funds and the Holding Company seek to mitigate these risks by actively monitoring exposures, collateral requirements and the creditworthiness of our counterparties.

Automotive

Interest Rate Risk

During 2008, Federal-Mogul entered into a series of five-year interest rate swap agreements with a total notional value of \$1,190 million to hedge the variability of interest payments associated with its variable-rate term loans. During the first quarter of 2013, the majority of these interest swap agreements expired. As of September 30, 2013, the remaining five-year interest swap agreements have a total notional value of \$40 million. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, unrealized net losses of less than \$1 million and \$10 million, respectively, were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss as a result of these hedges. As of September 30, 2013, losses of less than \$1 million are expected to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to the consolidated statement of operations within the next three months.

These interest rate swaps reduce Federal-Mogul's overall interest rate risk. However, due to the remaining outstanding borrowings on Federal-Mogul's debt facilities and other borrowing facilities that continue to have variable interest rates, management believes that interest rate risk to Federal-Mogul could be material if there are significant adverse changes in interest rates. To the extent that interest rates change by 25 basis points, Federal-Mogul's annual interest expense would show a

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

corresponding change of approximately \$7 million and \$2 million for the years ending December 31, 2014 and 2015, respectively, representing the term of Federal-Mogul's variable-rate term loans.

Commodity Price Risk

Federal-Mogul's production processes are dependent upon the supply of certain raw materials that are exposed to price fluctuations on the open market. The primary purpose of Federal-Mogul's commodity price forward contract activity is to manage the volatility associated with forecasted purchases. Federal-Mogul monitors its commodity price risk exposures regularly to maximize the overall effectiveness of its commodity forward contracts. Principal raw materials hedged include natural gas, copper, nickel, tin, zinc, high-grade aluminum and aluminum alloy. Forward contracts are used to mitigate commodity price risk associated with raw materials, generally related to purchases forecast for up to 15 months in the future.

Federal-Mogul had commodity price hedge contracts outstanding with combined notional values of \$55 million and \$45 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, substantially all of which mature within one year in each of the respective periods and substantially all of which were designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes. Unrealized net (losses) gains of \$(1) million and \$1 million were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Foreign Currency Risk

Federal-Mogul manufactures and sells its products in North America, South America, Asia, Europe and Africa. As a result, Federal-Mogul's financial results can be significantly affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets in which Federal-Mogul manufactures and sells its products. Federal-Mogul's operating results are primarily exposed to changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and European currencies.

Federal-Mogul generally tries to use natural hedges within its foreign currency activities, including the matching of revenues and costs, to minimize foreign currency risk. Where natural hedges are not in place, Federal-Mogul considers managing certain aspects of its foreign currency activities and larger transactions through the use of foreign currency options or forward contracts. Principal currencies hedged have historically included the euro, British pound and Polish zloty. Federal-Mogul had notional values of \$52 million and \$160 million of foreign currency hedge contracts outstanding at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, of which \$14 million and \$11 million, respectively, were designated as cash flow hedging instruments for accounting purposes. Net unrealized gains of less than \$1 million were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, for the contracts designated as hedging instruments. The foreign currency contracts not designated as hedging instruments were entered into by Federal-Mogul in order to offset fluctuations in consolidated earnings caused by changes in currency rates used to translate earnings at foreign subsidiaries into U.S. dollars over 2013. These contracts are not designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes and are marked to market through the income statement. Losses of \$2 million and \$1 million related to these contracts were recorded in other income (loss), net for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject Federal-Mogul to concentrations of credit risk, consist primarily of accounts receivable and cash investments. Federal-Mogul's customer base includes virtually every significant global light and commercial vehicle manufacturer and a large number of distributors, installers and retailers of automotive aftermarket parts. Federal-Mogul's credit evaluation process and the geographical dispersion of sales transactions help to mitigate credit risk concentration. No individual customer accounted for more than 6% of Federal-Mogul's direct sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Federal-Mogul had one VCS customer that accounted for 14% of its net accounts receivable balance as of September 30, 2013. Federal-Mogul requires placement of cash in financial institutions evaluated as highly creditworthy.

Energy

CVR is subject to price fluctuations caused by supply conditions, weather, economic conditions, interest rate fluctuations and other factors. To manage price risk on crude oil and other inventories and to fix margins on certain future production, CVR from time to time enters into various commodity derivative transactions.

CVR has adopted accounting standards that impose extensive record-keeping requirements in order to designate a derivative financial instrument as a hedge. CVR holds derivative instruments, such as exchange-traded crude oil futures and certain over-the-counter forward swap agreements, which it believes provide an economic hedge on future transactions, but

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

such instruments are not designated as hedges for GAAP purposes. Gains or losses related to the change in fair value and periodic settlements of these derivative instruments are included in other income (loss), net in the consolidated statements of operations.

CVR maintains a margin account to facilitate other commodity derivative activities. A portion of this account may include funds available for withdrawal. These funds are included in cash and cash equivalents within the consolidated balance sheets. The maintenance margin balance is included within other assets in consolidated balance sheets. Depending upon the position of the open commodity derivatives as of the reporting date, the amounts are classified either as an asset or liability within the consolidated balance sheets. From time to time, CVR may be required to deposit additional funds into this margin account. The fair value of the open commodity positions as of each of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was a net loss of less than \$1 million which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, CVR recognized a net realized and unrealized gain of less than \$1 million and a net loss of \$2 million, respectively, which is included in other income (loss), net in the consolidated statements of operations. For the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, CVR recognized a net realized and unrealized loss of \$7 million and \$4 million, respectively, which is included in other income (loss), net in the consolidated statements of operations.

Commodity Swap

In September 2011, CVR Refining entered into several commodity swap contracts with effective periods beginning in January 2012. The physical volumes are not exchanged and these contracts are net settled with cash. The contract fair value of the commodity swaps is reflected on the consolidated balance sheets with changes in fair value currently recognized in the consolidated statement of operations. Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets (Level 2) are considered to determine the fair values for the purpose of marking to market the hedging instruments at each period end. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, CVR had open commodity hedging instruments consisting of 20.6 million and 23.3 million barrels, respectively, of crack spreads primarily to fix the margin on a portion of its future gasoline and distillate production. The fair value of the outstanding contracts at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was a net unrealized gain and unrealized loss of \$110 million and \$67 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, CVR recognized net realized and unrealized gains of \$72 million and \$175 million, respectively, which is included in other income (loss), net in the consolidated statements of operations. For the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, CVR recognized net realized and unrealized loss of \$162 million and \$168 million, respectively, which is included in other income (loss), net in the consolidated statements of operations.

Interest Rate Swap

On June 30, 2011 and July 1, 2011, Coffeyville Resources Nitrogen Fertilizers, LLC ("CRNF") entered into two floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements for the purpose of hedging the interest rate risk associated with a portion of its \$125 million floating rate term debt, which matures in April 2016. The aggregate notional amount covered under these agreements totals \$63 million (split evenly between the two agreement dates) and commenced on August 12, 2011 and expires on February 12, 2016. Under the terms of the interest rate swap agreement entered into on June 30, 2011, CRNF will receive a floating rate based on three-month LIBOR and pay a fixed rate of 1.94%. Under the terms of the interest rate swap agreement entered into on July 1, 2011, CRNF will receive a floating rate based on three-month LIBOR and pay a fixed rate of 1.975%. Both swap agreements are settled every 90 days. The effect of these swap agreements is to lock in a fixed rate of interest of approximately 1.96% plus the applicable margin paid to lenders over three-month LIBOR as governed by the CRNF credit agreement. As of both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the effective rate was approximately 4.6%. The agreements were designated as cash flow hedges at inception and accordingly, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the swap is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and will be reclassified into interest expense when the interest rate swap transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss will be recognized immediately in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations. The realized loss on the interest rate swap reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss into interest expense was less than \$1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Consolidated Derivative Information

At September 30, 2013, the volume of our derivative activities based on their notional exposure, categorized by primary underlying risk, are as follows:

	Long Notion	al Exposure	Short No	otional Exposure
Primary underlying risk:		(in m	illions)	_
Equity swaps	\$	1	\$	8,516
Foreign currency forwards		52		1,644
Interest rate swap contracts		_		103
Commodity contracts		58		580

The following table presents the consolidated fair values of our derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments:

		Asset De	rivat	tives ⁽¹⁾		Liability D	eriv	ratives ⁽²⁾
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Septemb	er 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012
				(in n	nillion	ns)		
Equity contracts	\$	26	\$	21	\$	757	\$	35
Foreign exchange contracts		_		_		8		59
Commodity contracts		112		8		2		74
Sub-total		138		29		767		168
Netting across contract types ⁽³⁾		(2)		(7)		(2)		(7)
Total ⁽³⁾	\$	136	\$	22	\$	765	\$	161

⁽¹⁾ Net asset derivatives are located within other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.

The following table presents the effects of our derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments on the statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income ⁽¹⁾												
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging		Three Months En	ded	September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,							
Instruments		2013		2012		2013		2012					
				(in m	illions)								
Equity contracts	\$	(254)	\$	(680)	\$	(1,077)	\$	(1,120)					
Foreign exchange contracts		(82)		(44)		(52)		(19)					
Commodity contracts		68		(170)		169		(172)					
	\$	(268)	\$	(894)	\$	(960)	\$	(1,311)					

⁽¹⁾ Gains (losses) recognized on derivatives are classified in net gain from investment activities in our consolidated statements of operations for our Investment segment and are included in other income (loss), net for all other segments.

⁽²⁾ Net liability derivatives are located within accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

⁽³⁾ Excludes netting of cash collateral received and posted. The total collateral posted at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$413 million and \$148 million, respectively, across all counterparties.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

The following table presents the consolidated fair values of our derivative instruments that are designated as cash flow hedging instruments:

Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow		Asset Der	rivat	rives ⁽¹⁾	Liability Derivatives ⁽²⁾					
Hedging Instruments	Sej	otember 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		
				(in mi	llion	as)				
Interest rate swap contracts	\$	_	\$	_	\$	2	\$	13		
Commodity contracts		1		2		2		1		
Sub-total		1		2		4		14		
Netting across contract types		(1)		(2)		(1)		(2)		
Total	\$	_	\$		\$	3	\$	12		

 $[\]ensuremath{^{(1)}}$ Located within other assets in our consolidated balance sheets.

The following tables present the effect of our derivative instruments that are designated as cash flow hedging instruments on our consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Recognizeo Derivative	(Loss) Gain l in OCI on s (Effective tion)	Reclassified	of (Loss) Gain from AOCI into fective Portion)	Location of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)
	(in mi	illions)	(in	millions)	
Interest rate swap contracts	\$	_	\$	(1)	Interest expense
Commodity contracts		3		(2)	Cost of goods sold
Foreign currency contracts		_		_	
	\$	3	\$	(3)	

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Amount of (Loss) Recognized in OC Derivatives (Effe Portion)	CI on	Amount of (I Reclassified fro Income (Effect	m AOCI into	Location of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)
	(in millions)		(in milli	ons)	
Interest rate swap contracts	\$	(1)	\$	(10)	Interest expense
Commodity contracts		4		(3)	Cost of goods sold
Foreign currency contracts		_		1	Cost of goods sold
	\$	3	\$	(12)	

⁽²⁾ Located within accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Reco	nt of (Loss) Gain gnized in OCI on vatives (Effective Portion)	Reclassifie	t of (Loss) Gain d from AOCI into Effective Portion)	Location of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)		
		(in millions)	(i	n millions)			
Interest rate swap contracts	\$	1	\$	(9)	Interest expense		
Commodity contracts		(5)		(3)	Cost of goods sold		
Foreign currency contracts		_		_			
	\$	(4)	\$	(12)			

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Recognizeo Derivative	(Loss) Gain I in OCI on s (Effective tion)	Reclassified f	f (Loss) Gain rom AOCI into ective Portion)	Location of (Loss) Gain Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)		
	(in mi	llions)	(in millions)				
Interest rate swap contracts	\$	(4)	\$	(29)	Interest expense		
Commodity contracts		7		(9)	Cost of goods sold		
Foreign currency contracts		(2)		1	Cost of goods sold		
	\$	1	\$	(37)			

7. Inventories, Net.

Inventories, net consists of the following:

	Septer	mber 30, 2013	December 31, 2012		
		(in m	illions)		
Raw materials	\$	517	\$	495	
Work in process		306		248	
Finished goods		1,270		1,212	
	\$	2,093	\$	1,955	

8. Goodwill and Intangible Assets, Net.

Goodwill consists of the following:

			tember 30, 2013			December 31, 2012							
	Gro	J J		Accumulated Impairment	Net Carrying Value		Gross Carrying Amount			Accumulated Impairment		Net Carrying Value	
						(in mil	lions)				_	
Automotive	\$	1,360	\$	(226)	\$	1,134	\$	1,368	\$	(226)	\$	1,142	
Energy		930		_		930		930		_		930	
Railcar		7		_		7		7		_		7	
Food Packaging		3		_		3		3		_		3	
	\$	2,300	\$	(226)	\$	2,074	\$	2,308	\$	(226)	\$	2,082	

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Intangible assets, net consists of the following:

		Sept	ember 30, 2013				De	ecember 31, 2012	
	Carrying mount		.ccumulated .mortization	Net Carrying Value		Gross Carrying Amount		Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
				(in mi	illions)			
Definite-lived intangible assets:									
Customer relationships	\$ 915	\$	(277)	\$ 638	\$	921	\$	(238)	\$ 683
Developed technology	120		(65)	55		121		(57)	64
In-place leases	121		(51)	70		121		(43)	78
Gasification technology license	60		(3)	57		60		(2)	58
Other	47		(18)	29		47		(15)	32
	\$ 1,263	\$	(414)	\$ 849	\$	1,270	\$	(355)	\$ 915
Indefinite-lived intangible assets:									
Trademarks and brand names				\$ 255					\$ 262
Gaming licenses				29					29
				284					291
Intangible assets, net				\$ 1,133					\$ 1,206

Amortization expense associated with definite-lived intangible assets for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$21 million and \$22 million, respectively. Amortization expense associated with definite-lived intangible assets for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$62 million and \$55 million, respectively. We utilize the straight-line method of amortization, recognized over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Automotive

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we increased our Automotive segment's goodwill by \$8 million and decreased definite-lived intangible assets by \$3 million to adjust for the purchase price allocation relating to its spark plug business acquisition from BorgWarner, Inc. in June 2012. Additionally, in connection with the various dispositions of our Automotive segment's businesses as discussed in Note 16, "Other Income (Loss), Net,", we decreased goodwill by \$16 million, In addition, in connection with these dispositions, we also decreased definite-lived intangible assets by \$2 million and trademarks and brand names by \$6 million.

We are currently performing the annual goodwill impairment test for our Automotive segment which will be finalized during the fourth quarter of 2013. Any goodwill impairment charge that results from this annual impairment test for our Automotive segment will be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Energy

Purchase price allocation

On May 4, 2012, we acquired a controlling interest in CVR. We finalized the purchase price allocation during the second quarter of 2013. As a result of the acquisition, we recorded goodwill of \$930 million, of which \$574 million and \$356 million was allocated to our Energy segment's petroleum and fertilizer reporting units, respectively. The goodwill arising from the acquisition was largely due to certain CVR factors, including CVR's location attributes, trained and assembled workforce, and a deferred tax liability offset adjustment, which arises from the nature of the stock transaction. Specifically related to locational attributes, CVR is an inland refiner that buys the majority of its crude oil at prices linked to the West Texas Intermediate benchmark and then sells gasoline at prices based on global benchmarks like the North Sea Brent crude. This reduced feedstock cost has benefited the gross margins of mid-continent refiners such as CVR. Oil production in the mid-continent, combined with availabilities from Canada, was expected to increase faster than the inland crude could be piped out of the region, causing an oversupply of crude in Cushing, Oklahoma. None of the goodwill recognized is deductible for income tax purposes.

As a result of finalizing the purchase price allocation during the second quarter of 2013, we increased the allocation of goodwill for our petroleum reporting unit by \$102 million and decreased the allocation of goodwill related to our fertilizer

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

reporting unit by \$102 million. These changes are reflected in the balance of goodwill allocated to each of our Energy reporting units as discussed above. In addition, we decreased the equity attributable to non-controlling interests by \$25 million and increased equity attributable to us by \$25 million, which is included in other in our consolidated statement of changes in equity.

In connection with our acquisition of a controlling interest in CVR, we recorded definite-lived intangible assets aggregating \$410 million, of which \$340 million related to customer relationships with a useful life of 20 years, \$60 million related to a gasification technology license with a useful life of 25 years and \$10 million related to permitting assets with a useful life of 25 years. The gasification technology license and customer relationships definite-lived intangibles were allocated solely to our Energy segment's fertilizer reporting unit and the permitting assets definite-lived intangible assets were allocated solely to our Energy segment's petroleum reporting unit.

The fair value of the customer relationships acquired of \$340 million was valued using the multi-period excess earnings method ("MPEEM"), a form of the income approach. The MPEEM valuation methodology seeks to isolate the cash-flow stream attributable to a specific intangible asset being valued from the asset grouping's overall cash-flow stream. Under the MPEEM, a capital charge (i.e., an economic rental charge) against the total cash-flow stream is made for the use of the contributory assets that contribute to the cash flow generating ability of the specific intangible asset under analysis, which leaves an excess-earnings (or residual) stream applicable to the intangible asset being valued. Significant assumptions utilized in the MPEEM method included an assumed long-term revenue growth rate of 3%, an annual attrition rate of 5.0%, and a discount rate of 10.5%. The attrition rate applied in the MPEEM is the product of an analysis of five years of sales data by customer (from 2007 to 2011, which was chosen as an appropriate historical period to analyze given the reliability of the underlying sales by customer data and the fact that it demonstrated attrition in both positive and negative economic cycles), where the revenue-based attrition rate ranged from approximately 5% to 7.5%. The selection of 5% was based on the observed attrition rate in 2011, which was deemed to be more representative of future attrition than that observed during the financial crisis (i.e., 7.7% in 2009). The discount rate is based on our Energy segment's fertilizer business unit's required rate of return on equity, which represents a risk premium of 1.5% above the estimated overall weighted cost of capital for the fertilizer reporting unit to reflect the inherent risks and uncertainties of customer relationships. Our Energy segment's fertilizer business unit relies on recurring relationships with significant customers to generate a material portion of its total revenues and expects existing customers to generate significant growth in the future. Our Energy segment's top ten customers accounted for approximately 60% of revenues in 2011, and in in every year each customer, but for one, generated revenue from 2007 to 2011. Our Energy segment's management believes these customers to be recurring relationships. Based on our analysis of the nature and extent of the customer relationships that our Energy segment's fertilizer business has had with its significant customers, including observed historical attrition and the historical length of such relationships, which for the top ten customers dates back to when the fertilizer business was formed in its current capacity in 2004, we estimated that the customer base would reasonably continue to produce cash flows for a period of 20 years.

The fair value of gasification technology license of \$60 million was determined using the relief from royalty method, a form of both the market and income approach. Under the relief from royalty method, the value of the intangible asset is determined based on the present value of the royalties that a company is relieved from paying as a result of owning such assets. Thus, because our Energy segment's fertilizer business holds a paid-up, royalty-free license to use, we estimated the benefit of the relief from the royalty expense that would need to be incurred in the absence of a royalty-free license. Significant assumptions used in the relief from royalty method included a market royalty rate of 1.5% and a discount rate of 9%. The market royalty rate was determined based on analysis of prevailing royalty rates paid for the use of similar technologies in the marketplace, which ranged from 1.0% to 9.0%, with a median of 5.0% and a lower quartile of 2.0%. The discount rate is based on our Energy segment's fertilizer business unit's estimated overall weighted average cost of capital.

The fair value of permitting assets of \$10 million, which is included in other in the table above, was determined using the discounted cash flow method, a form of income approach. The permitting assets pertain to our Energy segment's petroleum business' water usage rights. Because the permitting assets allow our Energy segment's petroleum business to save costs related to water usage, there is value to such rights. Significant assumptions in the discounted cash flow method included an annual cost savings growth rate of 2% and a discount rate of 11.5%. The growth rate of the projected savings was determined based on the estimated long-term growth of our Energy segment's petroleum business. The discount rate is based on our Energy segment's petroleum business unit's required rate of return on equity.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Annual goodwill impairment analysis

We perform our annual goodwill impairment analysis as of April 30th for our Energy segment, or more frequently if impairment indicators exist, in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 350-20-35, *Goodwill - Subsequent Measurement* and FASB ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurement*. The first step of the impairment analysis involves comparing the fair values of these assets to the respective carrying values to determine the potential for goodwill impairment. The second step of the impairment test, if necessary, involves quantifying the level of goodwill impairment. These fair values are based upon consideration of various valuation methodologies, including projected future cash flows discounted at rates of return commensurate with the risks involved and pricing multiples of current and future earnings observed for comparable public companies.

All of our Energy reporting units with goodwill passed "Step 1" of the April 30, 2013 goodwill impairment analysis. Petroleum and Fertilizer, representing our Energy segment reporting units, had fair values in excess of carrying values of 37% and 18%, respectively. Based on the results of our "Step 1" goodwill impairment analysis for our Energy segment, we concluded that no impairment existed and therefore "Step 2" of the goodwill impairment analysis was not necessary. As of September 30, 2013, our Petroleum and Fertilizer reporting units had goodwill of \$574 million and \$356 million, respectively.

Railcar

We perform the annual goodwill impairment test as of March 1 of each year for our Railcar segment. For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, our Railcar segment's manufacturing reporting unit is the only reporting unit with allocated goodwill. We assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount. If, however, we had determined that it was more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit was less than its carrying amount, then we would perform the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test. In evaluating whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, we considered various qualitative and quantitative factors, including macroeconomic conditions, railcar industry trends and the fact that our railcar manufacturing reporting unit has historical positive operating cash flows that we anticipate will continue. After assessing these factors, we determined that it was more likely than not the fair value of our railcar manufacturing reporting unit was greater than its carrying amount, and therefore no further testing was necessary.

9. Property, Plant and Equipment, Net.

Property, plant and equipment, net consists of the following:

	Useful Life	Septe	mber 30, 2013	D	ecember 31, 2012
	(in years)		(in m	illions)	
Land		\$	462	\$	465
Buildings and improvements	4 - 40		2,093		2,064
Machinery, equipment and furniture	1 - 30		4,917		4,519
Assets leased to others	15 - 39		979		743
Construction in progress			575		649
			9,026		8,440
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization			(2,263)		(1,917)
Property, plant and equipment, net		\$	6,763	\$	6,523

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property, plant and equipment for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$144 million and \$134 million, respectively. Depreciation and amortization expense related to property, plant and equipment for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$417 million and \$334 million, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

10. Debt.

Debt consists of the following:

	Icahn Enterprises				Icahn Enterp	rises	Holdings	
	-	ember 30, 2013	De	ecember 31, 2012	Se	ptember 30, 2013	De	ecember 31, 2012
		(in m	illions)			(in m	illions)	
6% senior unsecured notes due 2020 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings	\$	493	\$	_	\$	493	\$	_
8% senior unsecured notes due 2018 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings		2,474		2,476		2,471		2,471
7.75% senior unsecured notes due 2016 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings		1,050		1,050		1,047		1,047
Senior unsecured variable rate convertible notes due 2013 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings		_		556		_		556
Debt facilities - Automotive		2,739		2,738		2,739		2,738
Debt facilities - Energy		500		749		500		749
Credit facilities - Energy		125		125		125		125
Senior unsecured notes and secured term loan facility - Railcar		196		275		196		275
Credit facilities - Gaming		170		171		170		171
Senior secured notes and revolving credit facility - Food Packaging		214		214		214		214
Mortgages payable - Real Estate		50		70		50		70
Other		144		124		144		124
	\$	8,155	\$	8,548	\$	8,149	\$	8,540

Senior Unsecured Notes - Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings

6% Senior Unsecured Notes Due 2020

On August 1, 2013, we and Icahn Enterprises Finance Corp. ("Icahn Enterprises Finance") (collectively, the "Issuers"), issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of 6% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "2020 Notes") pursuant to the purchase agreement, dated July 29, 2013, by and among the Issuers, Icahn Enterprises Holdings, as guarantor (the "Guarantor"), and Jefferies & Company, Inc., as initial purchaser. The gross proceeds from the sale of the Initial Notes were \$493 million. Interest on the 2020 Notes is payable on August 1 and February 1 of each year, commencing February 1, 2014.

The 2020 Notes were issued under and are governed by an indenture, dated August 1, 2013 (the "2013 Indenture"), among the Issuers, the Guarantor and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee. The 2013 Indenture contains customary events of defaults and covenants relating to, among other things, the incurrence of debt, affiliate transactions, liens and restricted payments. On or after February 1, 2017, the Issuers may redeem all of the 2020 Notes at a price equal to 104.5% of the principal amount of the 2020 Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with such option redemption prices decreasing to 103.0% on and after August 1, 2017, 101.5% on or after August 1, 2018 and 100% on and after August 1, 2019. Before August 1, 2016, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of 2020 Notes with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings at a price equal to 106.0% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, provided that at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2020 Notes, as the case may be, originally issued remains outstanding immediately after such redemption. In addition, the 2020 Notes are redeemable prior to February 1, 2017 by paying a "make whole" premium. If the Issuers experience a change of control, the Issuers must offer to purchase for cash all or any part of each holder's notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The 2020 Notes and the related guarantee are the senior unsecured obligations of the Issuers and the Guarantor and rank equally with all of the Issuers' and the Guarantor's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and rank senior to all of

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

the Issuers' and the Guarantor's existing and future subordinated indebtedness. The 2020 Notes and the related guarantee are effectively subordinated to the Issuers' and the Guarantor's existing and future secured indebtedness to the extent of the collateral securing such indebtedness. The 2020 Notes and the related guarantee are also effectively subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities of the Issuers' subsidiaries other than the Guarantor.

In connection with the issuance of the 2020 Notes, the Issuers and the Guarantor entered into a registration rights agreement dated August 1, 2013. On September 26, 2013, we filed an initial registration statement on Form S-4 with respect to the 2020 Notes for the sole purpose of exchanging the unregistered 2020 Notes for registered Exchange Notes. The exchange offer registration statement on Form S-4 with respect to the 2020 Notes has not been declared effective by the SEC as of the date of this Report.

8% Senior Unsecured Notes Due 2018 and 7.75% Senior Unsecured Notes Due 2016

On January 15, 2010, the Issuers issued \$850 million aggregate principal amount of 7.75% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2016 (the "2016 Notes") and \$1,150 million aggregate principal amount of 8% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2018 (the "2018 Notes" and, together with the 2016 Notes, the "Initial Notes") pursuant to the purchase agreement, dated January 12, 2010, by and among the Issuers, the Guarantor, and Jefferies & Company, Inc., as initial purchaser. The gross proceeds from the sale of the Initial Notes were \$1,987 million, a portion of which was used to retire certain notes during 2010. Interest on the Initial Notes is payable on January 15 and July 15 of each year, commencing July 15, 2010.

On November 12, 2010, the Issuers issued an additional \$200 million aggregate principal amount of the 2016 Notes and \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes (such notes are collectively referred to as the "2010 Additional Notes"), pursuant to the purchase agreement, dated November 8, 2010, by and among the Issuers, Icahn Enterprises Holdings, as guarantor, and Jefferies & Company, Inc., as initial purchaser. The gross proceeds from the sale of the 2010 Additional Notes were \$512 million. On January 17, 2012, February 6, 2012 and July 12, 2012, the Issuers issued an additional \$1,000 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes (such notes are collectively referred to as the "2012 Additional Notes"), pursuant to their respective purchase agreements, by and among the Issuers, Icahn Enterprises Holdings, as guarantor, and Jefferies & Company, Inc., as initial purchaser. The 2010 Additional Notes and 2012 Additional Notes constitute the same series of securities as the Initial Notes for purposes of the indenture governing the notes and vote together on all matters with such series. The 2010 Additional Notes and the 2012 Additional Notes have substantially identical terms as the Initial Notes.

The Initial Notes, the 2010 Additional Notes and the 2012 Additional Notes (referred to collectively as the "2010-2012 Notes") were issued under and are governed by an indenture, dated January 15, 2010 (the "2010 Indenture"), among the Issuers, the Guarantor and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee. The 2010 Indenture contains customary events of defaults and covenants relating to, among other things, the incurrence of debt, affiliate transactions, liens and restricted payments. On or after January 15, 2013, the Issuers were able to redeem all of the 2016 Notes at a price equal to 103.875% of the principal amount of the 2016 Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with such optional redemption prices decreasing to 101.938% on and after January 15, 2014 and 100% on and after January 15, 2015. On or after January 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem all of the 2018 Notes at a price equal to 104.000% of the principal amount of the 2018 Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with such option redemption prices decreasing to 102.000% on and after January 15, 2015 and 100% on and after January 15, 2016. Before January 15, 2013, the Issuers were able to redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of each of the 2016 Notes and 2018 Notes with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings at a price equal to 107.750% and 108.000%, respectively, of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, provided that at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2016 Notes or 2018 Notes, as the case may be, originally issued remains outstanding immediately after such redemption. If the Issuers experience a change of control, the Issuers must offer to purchase for cash all or any part of each holder's notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

The 2010-2012 Notes and the related guarantees are the senior unsecured obligations of the Issuers and the Guarantor and rank equally with all of the Issuers' and the Guarantor's existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness and rank senior to all of the Issuers' and the Guarantor's existing and future subordinated indebtedness. The 2010-2012 Notes and the related guarantees are effectively subordinated to the Issuers' and the Guarantor's existing and future secured indebtedness to the extent of the collateral securing such indebtedness. The 2010-2012 Notes and the related guarantees are also effectively subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities of the Issuers' subsidiaries other than the Guarantor.

Senior Unsecured Variable Rate Convertible Notes Due 2013 - Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

In April 2007, we issued an aggregate of \$600 million of variable rate senior convertible notes due 2013 ("variable rate notes"). The variable rate notes were sold in a private placement pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act, and issued pursuant to an indenture dated as of April 5, 2007, by and among us, as issuer, Icahn Enterprises Finance, as co-issuer, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee. Other than Icahn Enterprises Holdings, no other subsidiaries guaranteed payment on the variable rate notes. The variable rate notes bear interest at a rate of three-month LIBOR minus 125 basis points, but the all-in-rate can be no less than 4.0% nor more than 5.5%, and were eligible to be convertible into our depositary units. The interest on the variable rate notes was payable quarterly on each January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15. The variable rate notes matured on August 15, 2013 and were repaid in full as of that date. As discussed below, as a result of our delivery of notice of satisfaction and discharge (the "Notice") with respect to the variable rate notes on January 25, 2013, the holders of the variable rate notes continued to receive payment of principal and interest on the variable notes through maturity, but no longer had the right to convert variable rate notes into Icahn Enterprises' depositary units.

Prior to our delivery of Notice, in the event that we declared a cash dividend or similar cash distribution in any calendar quarter with respect to our depositary units in an amount in excess of \$0.10 per depositary unit (as adjusted for splits, reverse splits and/or stock dividends) ("Excess Dividends"), the indenture governing the variable rate notes required that we simultaneously make such distribution to holders of the variable rate notes in accordance with a formula set forth in the indenture. As discussed below, this provision was satisfied and discharged on the Discharge Date (as hereinafter defined). Accordingly, no distributions in respect of Excess Dividends were paid to holders of the variable rate notes during the year ending December 31, 2013. In addition, because there were no Excess Dividends during the year ended December 31, 2012, no such distributions were paid to holders of the variable rate notes for that period.

On January 25, 2013, Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings delivered the Notice to the registered holders of our outstanding variable rate notes in accordance with the terms of the indenture dated as of April 5, 2007, among Icahn Enterprises, as issuer, Icahn Enterprises Finance Corp., as coissuer, Icahn Enterprises Holdings, as guarantor, and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee, governing the variable rate notes. The aggregate outstanding principal amount of the variable rate notes prior to the satisfaction and discharge was \$600 million, of which \$44 million was held directly by Icahn Enterprises Holdings.

As set forth in the Notice, on January 29, 2013 (the "Discharge Date"), Icahn Enterprises deposited with Wilmington Trust Company, to be held in trust by it in accordance with the provisions of the variable rate notes and the indenture dated as of April 5, 2007, cash in the amount sufficient to pay and discharge all indebtedness on the outstanding variable rate notes consisting of: (a) all accrued and unpaid interest payable on the quarterly interest payment dates on April 15 and July 15, 2013, and (b) all principal and accrued and unpaid interest payable upon maturity of the variable rate notes on August 15, 2013. On and after the Discharge Date, (a) the indenture dated as of April 5, 2007 was satisfied and discharged and ceased to be of further effect as to all variable rate notes and Note Guarantees (as defined in such indenture) issued thereunder and (b) holders had the right to receive payment of principal and interest on the variable rate notes through maturity, but no longer had the right to convert variable rate notes into our depositary units. In addition, the holders of the variable rate notes were no longer eligible to receive any Excess Dividends on or after the Discharge Date in respect to our declaration of dividends.

Senior Unsecured Notes Restrictions and Covenants

The indentures governing both the 2010-2012 Notes and the 2020 Notes restrict the payment of cash distributions, the purchase of equity interests or the purchase, redemption, defeasance or acquisition of debt subordinated to the senior unsecured notes. The indentures also restrict the incurrence of debt or the issuance of disqualified stock, as defined in the indentures, with certain exceptions. In addition, the indentures require that on each quarterly determination date we and the guarantor of the notes (currently only Icahn Enterprises Holdings) maintain certain minimum financial ratios, as defined therein. The indentures also restrict the creation of liens, mergers, consolidations and sales of substantially all of our assets, and transactions with affiliates.

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with all covenants, including maintaining certain minimum financial ratios, as defined in the indentures. Additionally, as of September 30, 2013, based on covenants in the indentures governing our senior unsecured notes, we are permitted to incur approximately \$2.7 billion in additional indebtedness.

Debt Facilities - Automotive

On December 27, 2007, Federal-Mogul entered into a Term Loan and Revolving Credit Agreement ("Federal-Mogul Debt Facilities") with Citicorp U.S.A. Inc. as Administrative Agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Syndication Agent and certain

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

lenders. The Federal-Mogul Debt Facilities include a \$540 million revolving credit facility (which is subject to a borrowing base and can be increased under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions) and a \$2,960 million term loan credit facility divided into a \$1,960 million tranche B loan and a \$1,000 million tranche C loan. The obligations under the revolving credit facility mature December 27, 2013 and bear interest in accordance with a pricing grid based on availability under the revolving credit facility. Interest rates on the pricing grid range from LIBOR plus 1.50% to LIBOR plus 2.00% and ABR plus 0.50% to ABR plus 1.00%. The tranche B term loans mature December 27, 2014 and the tranche C term loans mature December 27, 2015. All of the Federal-Mogul Debt Facilities term loans bear interest at LIBOR plus 1.9375% or at ABR plus 0.9375% at Federal-Mogul's election.

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the borrowing availability under Federal-Mogul's revolving credit facility was \$476 million and \$451 million, respectively. Federal-Mogul had \$39 million and \$37 million of letters of credit outstanding as September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, pertaining to Federal-Mogul's term loan credit facility. To the extent letters of credit associated with the revolving credit facility are issued, there is a corresponding decrease in borrowings available under this facility.

The obligations of Federal-Mogul under the Federal-Mogul Debt Facilities are guaranteed by substantially all of its domestic subsidiaries and certain foreign subsidiaries, and are secured by substantially all personal property and certain real property of Federal-Mogul and such guarantors, subject to certain limitations. The liens granted to secure these obligations and certain cash management and hedging obligations have first priority.

The Federal-Mogul Debt Facilities contain certain affirmative and negative covenants and events of default, including, subject to certain exceptions, restrictions on incurring additional indebtedness, mandatory prepayment provisions associated with specified asset sales and dispositions, and limitations on (i) investments; (ii) certain acquisitions, mergers or consolidations; (iii) sale and leaseback transactions; (iv) certain transactions with affiliates, and (v) dividends and other payments in respect of capital stock. Pursuant to the terms of the Federal-Mogul Debt Facilities, \$50 million of the Tranche C Term Loan proceeds were deposited in a term letter of credit account. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Federal-Mogul was in compliance with all debt covenants under the Federal-Mogul Debt Facilities.

Debt and Credit Facilities - Energy

Senior Secured Notes

On April 6, 2010, Coffeyville Resources, LLC ("CRLLC") and its then wholly owned subsidiary, Coffeyville Finance Inc. (together the "CVR Issuers"), completed a private offering of \$275 million aggregate principal amount of 9.0% First Lien Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the "CVR First Lien Notes") and \$225 million aggregate principal amount of 10.875% Second Lien Senior Secured Notes due 2017 ("CVR Second Lien Notes" and, together with the CVR First Lien Notes, the "CVR Notes"). On December 15, 2011, the CVR Issuers sold an additional \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 9.0% First Lien Senior Secured Notes due 2015 ("New CVR Notes"). The New CVR Notes were issued as "Additional CVR Notes" pursuant to the indenture dated April 6, 2010 (the "CVR Indenture") and, together with the existing CVR First Lien Notes, are treated as a single class for all purposes under the CVR Indenture including, without limitation, waivers, amendments, redemptions and other offers to purchase. Unless otherwise indicated, the New CVR Notes and the existing first lien notes are collectively referred to herein as the "CVR First Lien Notes."

The CVR First Lien Notes were scheduled to mature on April 1, 2015, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the CVR Issuers. See further discussion below related to the tender and redemption of all the outstanding CVR First Lien Notes in the fourth quarter of 2012. The CVR Second Lien Notes mature on April 1, 2017, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the CVR Issuers. On January 23, 2013, a portion of the proceeds from CVR Refining's IPO were utilized to satisfy and discharge the indenture governing the CVR Second Lien Notes. As a result, all of the outstanding CVR Second Lien Notes were redeemed on January 23, 2013 resulting in a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$5 million for our Energy segment in the first quarter of 2013.

Interest was payable on the Notes semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 of each year. The CVR Notes were fully and unconditionally guaranteed by each of CRLLC's subsidiaries other than CVR Partners and CRNF.

As a result of our acquisition of CVR on May 4, 2012, we revalued the CVR Notes to their acquisition date fair values, resulting in the recognition of premiums aggregating \$54 million which was amortized to interest expense on a straight line basis over the life of the CVR Notes. As a result of redemption of the CVR Second Lien Notes discussed above, the premium balance of \$25 million was written off during the first quarter of 2013. In addition, our acquisition of a controlling interest in

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

CVR constituted a change of control requiring the CVR Issuers to make an offer to repurchase all of its outstanding CVR Notes at 101.0% of the principal amount of notes tendered. On June 4, 2012, the CVR Issuers offered to purchase all or any part of the CVR Notes, at a cash purchase price of 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the CVR Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any. The offer expired on July 5, 2012 with none of the outstanding CVR Notes tendered.

On October 23, 2012, CVR Refining LLC ("Refining LLC") and its wholly owned subsidiary, Coffeyville Finance Inc., completed a private offering of \$500 million in aggregate principal amount of 6.50% Second Lien Secured Notes due 2022 (the "2022 Notes"). The 2022 Notes were issued at par. Refining LLC received approximately \$493 million of cash proceeds, net of underwriting fees, but before deducting other third-party fees and expenses associated with the offering. The 2022 Notes were secured by substantially the same assets that secured the noutstanding CVR Second Lien Notes, subject to exceptions, until such time that the outstanding CVR Second Lien Notes were satisfied and discharged in full which occurred on January 23, 2013. The 2022 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by CVR Refining and each of CVR Refining's existing domestic subsidiaries on a joint and several basis. CVR Refining has no independent assets or operations and Refining LLC is a 100% owned finance subsidiary of CVR Refining. Prior to the satisfaction and discharge of the CVR Second Lien Notes, which occurred on January 23, 2013, the 2022 Notes were also guaranteed by CRLLC. CVR, CVR Partners and CRNF are not guarantors of the 2022 Notes. \$348 million of the net proceeds from the offering was used to fund a completed and settled tender offer resulting in the purchase of \$323 million of the 9.0% First Lien Notes due April 1, 2015 and to settle accrued interest of \$2 million through October 23, 2012 and to pay related fees and expenses. A premium of \$23 million was incurred associated with the tender.

The 2022 Notes mature on November 1, 2022, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the issuers. Interest is payable on the 2022 Notes semi-annually on May 1 and November 1 of each year, commencing on May 1, 2013.

The 2022 Notes contain customary covenants for a financing of this type that limit, subject to certain exceptions, the incurrence of additional indebtedness or guarantees, the creation of liens on assets, the ability to dispose of assets, the ability to make certain payments on contractually subordinated debt, the ability to merge, consolidate with or into another entity and the ability to enter into certain affiliate transactions. The 2022 Notes provide that CVR Refining can make distributions to holders of its common units provided, among other things, it has a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and there is no default or event of default under the 2022 Notes. As of September 30, 2013, CVR Refining was in compliance with the covenants contained in the 2022 Notes.

Amended and Restated Asset Backed (ABL) Credit Facility

On December 20, 2012, CRLLC, CVR Refining and Refining LLC and each of the operating subsidiaries of Refining LLC (collectively, the "Credit Parties") entered into an amended and restated ABL credit agreement ("Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility") with a group of lenders and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"), as administrative agent and collateral agent. The Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility replaced a previous ABL credit facility and is scheduled to mature on December 20, 2017. Under the Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility, CVR Refining assumed CVR's position as borrower and CVR's obligations under the facility upon the closing of CVR Refining's IPO on January 23, 2013.

The Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility is a senior secured asset based revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$400 million with an incremental facility, which permits an increase in borrowings of up to \$200 million subject to additional lender commitments and certain other conditions. The proceeds of the loans may be used for capital expenditures and working capital and general purposes of the Credit Parties and their subsidiaries. The Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility provides for loans and letters of credit in an amount up to the aggregate availability under the facility, subject to meeting certain borrowing base conditions, with sub-limits of 10% of the total facility commitment for swingline loans and 90% of the total facility commitment for letters of credit.

Borrowings under the Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility bear interest at either a base rate or LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin is (i) (a) 1.75% for LIBOR borrowings and (b) 0.75% for prime rate borrowings, in each case if quarterly average excess availability exceeds 50% of the lesser of the borrowing base and the total commitments and (ii) (a) 2.00% for LIBOR borrowings and (b) 1.00% for prime rate borrowings, in each case if quarterly average excess availability is less than or equal to 50% of the lesser of the borrowing base and the total commitments. The Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility also requires the payment of customary fees, including an unused line fee of (i) 0.40% if the daily average amount of loans and letters of credit outstanding is less than 50% of the lesser of the borrowing base and the total commitments and (ii) 0.30% if the daily average amount of loans and letters of credit outstanding is equal to or greater than

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

50% of the lesser of the borrowing base and the total commitments. CVR Refining will also be required to pay customary letter of credit fees equal to, for standby letters of credit, the applicable margin on LIBOR loans on the maximum amount available to be drawn under and, for commercial letters of credit, the applicable margin on LIBOR loans less 0.50% on the maximum amount available to be drawn under, and customary facing fees equal to 0.125% of the face amount of, each letter of credit.

The Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility also contains customary covenants for a financing of this type that limit the ability of the Credit Parties and their respective subsidiaries to, among other things, incur liens, engage in a consolidation, merger, purchase or sale of assets, pay dividends, incur indebtedness, make advances, investment and loans, enter into affiliate transactions, issue equity interests or create subsidiaries and unrestricted subsidiaries. The amended and restated facility also contains a fixed charge coverage ratio financial covenant, as defined under the facility. The Credit Parties were in compliance with the covenants of the Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility as of September 30, 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, CRLLC had availability under the Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility of \$373 million and had letters of credit outstanding of \$27 million. There were no borrowings outstanding under the Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility as of September 30, 2013.

CVR Partners Credit Facility

On April 13, 2011, CRNF, as borrower, and CVR Partners, as guarantor, entered into a new credit facility with a group of lenders including Goldman Sachs Lending Partners LLC, as administrative and collateral agent. The credit facility includes a term loan facility of \$125 million and a revolving credit facility of \$25 million, which was undrawn as of September 30, 2013, with an uncommitted incremental facility of up to \$50 million. No amounts were outstanding under the revolving credit facility at September 30, 2013.

Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest based on a pricing grid determined by the trailing four quarter leverage ratio. The initial pricing for Eurodollar rate loans under the credit facility is the Eurodollar rate plus a margin of 3.50% or, for base rate loans, the prime rate plus 2.50%. Under its terms, the lenders under the credit facility were granted a first priority security interest (subject to certain customary exceptions) in substantially all of the assets of CRNF and CVR Partners.

The credit facility requires CVR Partners to maintain a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum leverage ratio and contains customary covenants for a financing of this type that limit, subject to certain exceptions, the incurrence of additional indebtedness or guarantees, the creation of liens on assets and the ability of CVR Partners to dispose of assets, to make restricted payments, investments and acquisitions, or enter into sale-leaseback transactions and affiliate transactions. The credit facility provides that CVR Partners can make distributions to holders of its common units provided, among other things, it is in compliance with the leverage ratio and interest coverage ratio on a pro forma basis after giving effect to any distribution and there is no default or event of default under the credit facility. As of September 30, 2013, CRNF was in compliance with the covenants contained in the credit facility.

Senior Unsecured Notes and Secured Term Loan Facility - Railcar

In February 2007, ARI issued \$275 million senior unsecured fixed rate notes that were subsequently exchanged for registered notes in March 2007 (the "ARI Notes"). In September 2012, ARI completed a voluntary partial early redemption of \$100 million of the ARI Notes at a rate of 101.875% of the principal amount, plus any accrued interest and unpaid interest. On March 1, 2013, ARI voluntarily redeemed the remaining \$175 million of ARI Notes outstanding at par value. In connection with these redemptions, ARI recorded a loss of less than \$1 million and approximately \$2 million on debt extinguishment for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In December 2012, ARI, through its wholly owned subsidiary, entered into a senior secured delayed draw term loan facility ("ARI Term Loan") that is secured by a portfolio of railcars, railcar leases, the receivables associated with those railcars and leases and certain other related assets. The ARI Term Loan provided for an initial draw at closing ("Initial Draw") and allows for up to two additional draws. Upon closing, the Initial Draw was \$98 million, net of fees and expenses. During the first half of 2013, ARI made two additional draws, which resulted in aggregate net proceeds of \$100 million, fully utilizing the capacity of the ARI Term Loan. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the outstanding principal balance on the ARI Term Loan was \$196 million and \$100 million, respectively.

The ARI Term Loan bears interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.5%, subject to an alternative fee as set forth in the credit agreement, and is payable on the 15th of each month. The interest rate increases by 2.0% following certain events of default. ARI is required to pay principal at an annual rate of 3.33% of the borrowed amount via monthly payments that are due on the Payment Date, with any remaining balance payable on the final scheduled maturity date. The ARI Term Loan may be prepaid at

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

any time without premium or penalty, other than customary LIBOR breakage fees. A subsidiary of ARI is required to maintain a loan value ratio of at least 75% of the Net Aggregate Equipment Value, as defined in the ARI Term Loan. The ARI Term Loan contains restrictive covenants that limit a subsidiary of ARI's ability to, among other things, incur additional debt, issue additional equity, sell certain assets, make certain restricted payments and enter into certain significant transactions with stockholders and affiliates. Certain covenants, including those that restrict a subsidiary of ARI's ability to incur additional indebtedness and issue equity, become more restrictive if a subsidiary of ARI's debt service coverage ratio, as defined, is less than 1.05 to 1.00 as measured on a rolling three-quarter basis beginning on or after September 30, 2013. ARI was in compliance with all of its covenants under the ARI Term Loan as of September 30, 2013. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the net book value of ARI's railcars that were pledged as part of the ARI Term Loan was \$222 million and \$112 million, respectively.

Credit Facilities - Gaming

Credit Facilities

In March 2012, Tropicana entered into credit facilities (the "Tropicana Credit Facilities"), which consist of (i) a senior secured first lien term loan facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$175 million, issued at a discount of 2% (the "Tropicana Term Loan") and (ii) a cash collateralized letter of credit facility in a maximum aggregate amount of \$15 million (the "Tropicana Letter of Credit"). Commencing on June 30, 2012, the Tropicana Term Loan requires quarterly principal payments of 0.25% of the original principal amount with any remaining outstanding amounts due on the maturity date, which is March 16, 2018. The Tropicana Term Loan is secured by substantially all of Tropicana's assets and is guaranteed by all of its domestic subsidiaries.

At the election of Tropicana and subject to certain conditions, the amount available under the Tropicana Term Loan may be increased by up to \$75 million, which increased amount may be comprised of additional term loans and up to \$20 million of revolving loans. The Tropicana Letter of Credit provides for the issuance of letters of credit with an aggregate stated amount of up to \$15 million, through a termination date of March 16, 2017. The letters of credit issued under the Tropicana Letter of Credit will be secured by cash collateral in an amount no less than 103% of the face amounts of such letters of credit.

The obligations under the Tropicana Term Loan bear interest, at Tropicana's election, at an annual rate equal to either: (i) the sum of (a) the Adjusted LIBOR Rate (as defined in the Tropicana Term Loan) (subject to a 1.50% floor); plus (b) a margin of 6.00%; or (ii) the sum of: (a) the alternate base rate, which is equal to the greatest of: (1) the corporate base rate of UBS AG, Stamford Branch; (2) the Federal Funds Effective Rate (as defined in the Tropicana Term Loan) plus 0.50%; or (3) the Adjusted LIBOR Rate (as defined in the Tropicana Term Loan) for one month plus 1.00% (all subject to a 2.50% floor); plus (b) a margin of 5.00%; such that, in either case, the applicable interest rate shall not be less than 7.50%. An additional 2% default rate also applies in certain instances described in the Tropicana Term Loan. As of September 30, 2013, the interest rate was 7.5%.

The Tropicana Term Loan may be prepaid at the option of Tropicana at any time without penalty (other than customary breakage fees). The Tropicana Term Loan contains mandatory prepayment provisions from proceeds received by Tropicana and its subsidiaries as a result of asset sales, the incurrence of indebtedness and issuance of equity, casualty events and excess cash flow (subject in each case to certain exceptions). Key covenants binding Tropicana and its subsidiaries include (i) limitations on indebtedness, liens, investments, acquisitions, asset sales, dividends and other restricted payments, and affiliate and extraordinary transactions, (ii) compliance with a first lien net leverage ratio, measured quarterly on a trailing twelve-month basis (3.25:1.00 for the quarter ended March 31, 2013, and reducing annually over time to 2.50:1.00 beginning as of the quarter ending March 31, 2016), and (iii) compliance with a total net leverage ratio, measured quarterly on a trailing twelve-month basis, of 5.00:1.00. Tropicana was in compliance with the covenants of the Tropicana Term Loan at September 30, 2013.

Senior secured Notes and Revolving Credit Facility - Food Packaging

In December 2009, Viskase issued \$175 million of 9.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "Viskase Notes"). The Viskase Notes bear interest at a rate of 9.875% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash on January 15 and July 15, commencing on July 15, 2010. The Viskase Notes have a maturity date of January 15, 2018.

In May 2010, Viskase issued an additional \$40 million aggregate principal amount of Viskase Notes under the indenture governing the Viskase Notes (the "Viskase Notes Indenture"). The additional notes constitute the same series of securities as the initial Viskase Notes. Holders of the initial and additional Viskase Notes vote together on all matters and the initial and additional Viskase Notes are equally and ratably secured by all collateral.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

The Viskase Notes and related guarantees by any of Viskase's future domestic restricted subsidiaries are secured by substantially all of Viskase's and such domestic restricted subsidiaries' current and future tangible and intangible assets. The Viskase Notes Indenture permits Viskase to incur other senior secured indebtedness and to grant liens on its assets under certain circumstances.

Prior to January 15, 2014, Viskase may redeem, at its option, up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Viskase Notes issued under the Viskase Notes Indenture with the net proceeds of any equity offering at 109.875% of their principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, provided that at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of the Viskase Notes issued under the Viskase Notes Indenture dated December 21, 2009 remains outstanding immediately following the redemption.

In its foreign operations, Viskase has unsecured lines of credit with various banks providing approximately \$6 million and \$8 million of availability as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. There were \$2 million and no borrowings under the lines of credit at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Letters of credit in the amount of \$1 million were outstanding under facilities with a commercial bank, and were cash collateralized at each of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Mortgages Payable - Real Estate

Mortgages payable, all of which are non-recourse to us, bear interest at rates between 4.97% and 7.99% and have maturities between March 31, 2014 and October 31, 2028.

Other

Letter of Credit Facility - Home Fashion

On October 15, 2012, upon the expiration of a certain senior secured revolving credit facility of WPH, WPH entered into a letter of credit facility (the "WPH Letter of Credit") with a nationally recognized bank (the "LC Issuer"). The WPH Letter of Credit has a \$10 million credit line and was renewed through October 15, 2014. Issuance of letters of credit under the WPH Letter of Credit is subject to 0.50% annual fee on the outstanding face amount of the letters of credit issued under the WPH Letter of Credit, which face amount as of September 30, 2013 was \$6 million. Obligations under the WPH Letter of Credit are secured by a cash collateral account pledged by WPH to LC Issuer. The WPH Letter of Credit does not contain any financial covenants.

11. Pension, Other Post-employment Benefits and Employee Benefit Plans.

Federal-Mogul, ARI and Viskase each sponsor several defined benefit pension plans ("Pension Benefits") (and, in the case of Viskase, its pension plans include defined contribution plans). Additionally, Federal-Mogul, ARI and Viskase each sponsors health care and life insurance benefits ("OPEB") for certain employees and retirees around the world. The Pension Benefits are funded based on the funding requirements of federal and international laws and regulations, as applicable, and are typically funded in advance of benefit payments. Other Post-Employment Benefits are funded as benefits are provided to participating employees. As prescribed by applicable U.S. GAAP, Federal-Mogul, ARI and Viskase each uses, as applicable, appropriate actuarial methods and assumptions in accounting for its defined benefit pension plans, non-pension post-employment benefits, and disability, early retirement and other post-employment benefits. The measurement date for all defined benefit plans is December 31 of each year.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Components of net periodic benefit cost (credit) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Pension	Benef	fits	O	PEB	
	 Three Mo Septen			Three M Septe	onths Er mber 30	
	2013		2012	2013		2012
			(in mil	lions)		
Service cost	\$ 4	\$	7	\$ —	\$	_
Interest cost	17		19	4		3
Expected return on plan assets	(18)		(15)	_		_
Amortization of actuarial losses	7		10	1		1
Amortization of prior service credit	1		_	(2)		(3)
Curtailment gain	_		_	(19)		(51)
	\$ 11	\$	21	\$ (16)	\$	(50)

	Pension	Benefi	its		OPEB	
	 Nine Mon Septen	-]	Nine Months En September 30	
	 2013		2012	2013		2012
			(in mil	lions)		_
Service cost	\$ 12	\$	21	\$	— \$	_
Interest cost	52		60		12	11
Expected return on plan assets	(53)		(47)		_	_
Amortization of actuarial losses	20		29		4	1
Amortization of prior service credit	1		_		(8)	(11)
Settlement gain	_		(1)		_	_
Curtailment gain	_		_		(38)	(51)
	\$ 32	\$	62	\$	(30) \$	(50)

Automotive

In the second quarter of 2013, Federal-Mogul ceased operations at one of its U.S. manufacturing locations. The resulting reduction in the average remaining future service period to the full eligibility date of the remaining active plan participants in Federal-Mogul's U.S. Welfare Benefit Plan triggered the recognition of an OPEB curtailment gain of \$19 million, which is included as a reduction to selling, general and administrative in the consolidated statements of operations, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Additionally, in the third quarter of 2013, Federal-Mogul completed the sale of its fuel manufacturing facility and research and development center located in the U.S., resulting in the termination of certain employees that participated in Federal-Mogul's U.S. Welfare Benefit Plan. The resulting reduction in the average remaining future service period to the full eligibility date of the remaining active plan participants in Federal-Mogul's U.S. Welfare Benefit Plan triggered the recognition of an additional OPEB curtailment gain of \$19 million, which is included in the determination of net loss on disposition of assets within other income, net in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Our Automotive segment recorded aggregate OPEB curtailment gains of \$19 million and \$38 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

In the third quarter of 2012, as a result of contract negotiations with a union at one of Federal-Mogul's U.S. manufacturing locations, the benefits under the U.S. Welfare Benefit Plan were eliminated for the location's active participants. Since this plan change reduced benefits attributable to employee service already rendered, it was treated as a negative plan amendment, which created a \$13 million prior service credit in accumulated other comprehensive loss. The corresponding

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

reduction in the average remaining future service period to the full eligibility date also triggered the recognition of a \$51 million OPEB curtailment gain which was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. It should be noted that the calculation of the curtailment excluded the newly created prior service credit.

12. Net Income Per LP Unit.

The following table sets forth the allocation of net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to limited partners and the computation of basic and diluted income per LP unit of Icahn Enterprises for the periods indicated:

	Th	ree Months End	led S	September 30,	N	ine Months En	ded S	eptember 30,
		2013		2012		2013		2012
				(in millions, exc	ept per	unit data)		
Net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises	\$	472	\$	84	\$	803	\$	390
Less: Net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to general $partner^{(1)}$		_		(6)		_		(9)
Net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises net of portion allocable 100% to general partner		472		78		803		381
Net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises allocable to limited partners (98.01% allocation)	\$	463	\$	77	\$	787	\$	374
Basic income per LP unit	\$	4.13	\$	0.75	\$	7.22	\$	3.70
Basic weighted average LP units outstanding		112		103		109		101
Dilutive effect of variable rate convertible notes:								
Income			\$	_	\$	2	\$	17
Units				_		1		5
Dilutive effect of unit distribution declared:								
	\$	_			\$	_		
Units		1				_		
Diluted income per LP unit	\$	4.10	\$	0.75	\$	7.17	\$	3.69
Diluted weighted average LP units outstanding		113		103		110		106

⁽¹⁾ Amount represents net income allocable to the general partner for the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, the period in which Mr. Icahn and his affiliates' ownership in IEP Energy, other than Icahn Enterprises' ownership, were considered under common control. On August 24, 2012, Mr. Icahn and his affiliates contributed this interest to us in exchange for our depositary units

Because their effect would have been anti-dilutive, 5 million equivalent units relating to our variable rate notes have been excluded from diluted weighted average LP units outstanding for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Equity Offering

On February 28, 2013, Icahn Enterprises entered into an underwriting agreement (the "February 2013 Underwriting Agreement") with Jefferies & Company, Inc., providing for the issuance and purchase of an aggregate of 3,174,604 depositary units representing limited partner interests in Icahn Enterprises at a price to the public of \$63.00 per depositary unit. The depositary units were delivered to the unitholders on March 6, 2013. Pursuant to the February 2013 Underwriting Agreement, Icahn Enterprises also granted Jefferies & Company, Inc. a 30-day option to purchase up to 476,191 additional depositary units at the same public offering price, which expired unexercised.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

On June 12, 2013, Icahn Enterprises entered into an underwriting agreement (the "June 2013 Underwriting Agreement") with Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, UBS Securities LLC, Jefferies LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc., Wunderlich Securities, Inc. and KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc. (the "Underwriters"), providing for the issuance and purchase of an aggregate of 1,600,000 depositary units representing limited partner interests in Icahn Enterprises at a price to the public of \$75.54 per depositary unit. The depositary units were delivered to the unitholders on June 17, 2013. Pursuant to the June 2013 Underwriting Agreement, Icahn Enterprises also granted the Underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional aggregate 240,000 additional depositary units at the same public offering price, which expired unexercised.

Aggregate net proceeds from these equity offerings was \$311 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and other offering related fees and expenses. Additionally, in connection with these equity offerings, our general partner made aggregate contributions of \$6 million to Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 in order to maintain its 1% general partner interest in each of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings.

The issuance and sale of the depositary units in connection with the equity offerings are registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-158705) filed with the SEC by Icahn Enterprises on April 22, 2009 and declared effective by the SEC on May 17, 2010.

Unit Distribution

On August 6, 2013, the Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.25 per depositary unit in which each depositary unit holder had the option to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units. As a result, on October 9, 2013, Icahn Enterprises distributed an aggregate 1,515,739 depositary units to unit holders electing to receive depositary units in connection with this distribution.

Because depositary unit holders had the election to receive the distribution either in cash or additional depositary units, we recorded a unit distribution liability of \$140 million on our consolidated balance sheets as the unit distribution had not been made as of September 30, 2013. In addition, the unit distribution liability is considered a potentially dilutive security and is considered in the calculation of diluted income per LP unit as disclosed above. Any difference between the liability recorded and the amount representing the aggregate value of the number of depositary units distributed and cash paid would be charged to equity.

On April 29, 2013, Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.00 per depositary unit in which each depositary unit holder had the option to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units. As a result, on July 5, 2013, Icahn Enterprises distributed an aggregate 1,237,191 depositary units to unit holders electing to receive depositary units in connection with this distribution.

On February 10, 2013, Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.00 per depositary unit in which each depositary unit holder had the option to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units. As a result, on April 15, 2013, Icahn Enterprises distributed an aggregate 1,521,946 depositary units to unit holders electing to receive depositary units in connection with this distribution.

Mr. Icahn and his affiliates elected to receive a majority of their proportionate share of these distributions in depositary units. As of November 1, 2013, Mr. Icahn and his affiliates owned approximately 89.4% of Icahn Enterprises outstanding depositary units.

On November 2, 2012, the board of directors declared a quarterly distribution of \$0.35 per depositary unit, comprised of a combination of \$0.10 payable in cash and \$0.25 payable in depositary units. The distribution was paid on November 30, 2012 to depositary unitholders of record at the close of business on November 15, 2012. We calculated the depositary units to be distributed based on the 20 trading-day volume weighted-average price of our depositary units ended on October 31, 2012, resulting in 0.005978 of a unit to be distributed per depositary unit. To the extent that the aggregate units distributed to any holder include a fraction of a unit, that fractional unit was settled in cash. As a result, we distributed 621,064 depositary units on November 30, 2012 in connection with this distribution. With respect to this distribution, the unitholder did not have the election to receive their distribution in cash or additional units. Therefore, we restated prior period income per LP unit to reflect the increase in weighted average LP units outstanding for the comparative periods. The effect on basic and diluted income per LP unit was a reduction of \$0.04 and \$0.03, respectively, per depositary unit for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. There was no impact on basic and diluted income per LP unit for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

13. Segment Reporting.

As of September 30, 2013, our nine operating segments, which also constitute our reporting segments, are: (1) Investment; (2) Automotive; (3) Energy; (4) Metals; (5) Railcar; (6) Gaming; (7) Food Packaging; (8) Real Estate; and (9) Home Fashion. Our determination of what constitutes an operating segment is based on the various industries in which our businesses operate and how we manage those businesses in accordance with our investment strategy. We assess and measure segment operating results based on net income from continuing operations attributable to Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, as disclosed below. In addition to our nine reporting segments, we present the results of the Holding Company, which includes the unconsolidated results of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings, and investment activity and expenses associated with the activities of the Holding Company. See Note 2, "Operating Units," for a detailed description of each of our reporting segments.

Icahn Enterprises' condensed statements of operations by reporting segment for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are presented below:

				7	Three Months I	Ended Septembe	er 30, 2013				
	Investment	Automotive	Energy	Metals	Railcar	Gaming	Food Packaging	Real Estate	Home Fashion	Holding Company	Consolidated
					(in millions)					
Revenues:											
Net sales	\$ —	\$ 1,713	\$ 1,977	\$ 243	\$ 108	\$ —	\$ 95	\$ —	\$ 45	\$ —	\$ 4,181
Other revenues from operations	_	_	_	_	37	153	_	23	_	_	213
Net gain from investment activities	1,229	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	(28)	1,201
Interest and dividend income	42	1	_	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	44
Other income (loss), net		(4)	79				(1)		2	6	82
	1,271	1,710	2,056	243	146	153	94	23	47	(22)	5,721
Expenses:											
Cost of goods sold	_	1,459	1,919	246	90	_	74	(1)	38	_	3,825
Other expenses from operations	_	_	_	_	20	79	_	14	_	_	113
Selling, general and administrative	44	190	34	6	7	61	12	4	7	3	368
Restructuring	_	4	_	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	5
Impairment	_	1	_	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	2
Interest expense	4	28	12	_	2	4	5	1		75	131
	48	1,682	1,965	252	119	144	91	19	46	78	4,444
Income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense)	1,223	28	91	(9)	27	9	3	4	1	(100)	1,277
Income tax (expense) benefit	_	(4)	(23)	4	(14)	(1)	1	_	_	(20)	(57)
Net Income (loss)	1,223	24	68	(5)	13	8	4	4	1	(120)	1,220
Less: net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests	(694)	(6)	(35)	_	(9)	(3)	(1)	_	_	_	(748)
Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises	\$ 529	\$ 18	\$ 33	\$ (5)	\$ 4	\$ 5	\$ 3	\$ 4	\$ 1	\$ (120)	\$ 472
Supplemental information:											
Capital expenditures Depreciation and amortization(1)	s — s —	\$ 84 \$ 75	\$ 69 \$ 53	\$ 5 \$ 8	\$ 99 \$ 8	\$ 10 \$ 9	\$ 3 \$ 4	\$ 1 \$ 6	\$ 5 \$ 2	\$ — \$ —	\$

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

								- 1	1710	onths E	se	- combe		ood	Real]	Home	Н	lolding		
	Inve	stment	Au	itomotive		Energy	Me	etals	Rai	ilcar	Gai	ning		kaging	Estate		ashion		ompany	Con	solidated
										(iı	n millior	ıs)									
Revenues:																					
Net sales	\$	_	\$	1,602	\$	2,410	\$	236	\$	131	\$	_	\$	86	\$ 1	\$	53	\$	_	\$	4,51
Other revenues from operations		_		_		_		_		21		171		_	23		_		_		21
Net gain from investment activities		(81)		_		_		_		_		_		_	_		_		_		(8
Interest and dividend income		19		2		_		_		_		1		_	_		_		(1)		2
Other (loss) income, net				(4)		(169)				(2)		5					1		(2)		(17
		(62)		1,600		2,241		236		150		177		86	24		54		(3)		4,50
Expenses:																					
Cost of goods sold		_		1,390		1,857		239		103		_		66	_		47		_		3,70
Other expenses from operations		_		_		_		_		15		83		_	13		_		_		11
Selling, general and administrative		7		137		35		7		6		66		11	3		9		4		28
Restructuring		_		5		_		_		_		_		_	_		_		_		
(mpairment		_		50		_		_		_		_		_	_		3		_		į
Interest expense		_		35		14		_		5		4		5	2		_		73		13
		7		1,617		1,906		246		129		153		82	18		59		77		4,29
Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit		(69)		(17)		335		(10)		21		24		4	6		(5)		(80)		20
Income tax (expense) benefit		_		7		(123)		5		(9)		(2)		(2)	_		_		14		(1:
Income (loss)		(69)		(10)	_	212		(5)		12		22		2	- 6		(5)		(66)		(1.
		(69)		(10)				(5)						2	0		(5)		(66)		
Less: net income attributable to non-controlling interests Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises	Φ.				_	(46)	_		•	(6)	•	(7)	•		Ф. С	_	(5)	•			(
Net income (1088) attributable to realifi Emerprises	\$	(27)	\$	(8)	\$	166	\$	(5)	\$	6	\$	15	\$	2	\$ 6	\$	(5)	\$	(66)	\$	-
Supplemental information:																					
Capital expenditures	\$	_	\$	73	\$	40	\$	5	\$	49	\$	9	\$	12	\$ —	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1
Depreciation and amortization(1)	\$	_	\$	72	\$	49	\$	7	\$	7	\$	8	\$	5	\$ 6	\$	2	\$	_	\$	15
								N	line Mo	nths En	ded Sep	tembei	30, 201	13							
	Inve	stment											F	ood	Real		Home	10	lolding		
				tomotive		Energy	Me	etals	Rai	ilcar	Gai	ning	Pac		Estate	F				Con	solidate
		suicit	Au	tomotive		Energy	Me	etals	Rai		Gar n millior		Pac	kaging	Estate	F	ashion		ompany	Con	solidate
Revenues:		stilicit	Au	tomotive		Energy	Me	etals	Rai		Gai n millior		Pac		Estate	F				Con	solidate
	s									(in			Pac	kaging			ashion	Co			
Net sales	\$	_	\$	5,212	\$	6,550	Me	737	Rai	(in		us)	Pac		\$ 2	\$ \$			ompany —	Con	13,2
Net sales Other revenues from operations	\$	_ _								334 97			Paci	kaging			ashion	Co	ompany		13,25 60
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities	\$	 1,590		5,212 — —		6,550 — —		737		(in 334 97 2		— 445 —	Paci	276 —	\$ 2 63		141 	Co	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		13,25 60 1,55
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income	\$			5,212 — — 2		6,550 — — —				(in 334 97 2 2		us)	\$	276 — —	\$ 2		141 — —	Co	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		13,2 6 1,5
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income	\$			5,212 — — — 2 (37)		6,550 — — 1		737 — — —		(in 334 97 2 2 (2)	s s	445 —	\$	276 — — — — (25)	\$ 2 63 — —		141 	Co			13,2° 66 1,5° 11
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income	s			5,212 — — 2		6,550 — — —		737		(in 334 97 2 2	s s	— 445 —	\$	276 — —	\$ 2 63		141 — —	Co	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		13,2° 66 1,5° 11
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net	\$			5,212 — — — 2 (37)		6,550 — — 1		737 — — —		(in 334 97 2 2 (2)	s s	445 —	\$	276 — — — — (25)	\$ 2 63 — —		141 	Co			13,2° 66 1,5° 11
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net	\$			5,212 — — — 2 (37)		6,550 — — 1		737 — — —		(in 334 97 2 2 (2)	s s	445 —	\$	276 — — — — (25)	\$ 2 63 — —		141 	Co			13,25 66 1,55 15 15,66
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold	s			5,212 ———————————————————————————————————		6,550 — 1 184 6,735		737 — — — — 737		(ii 334 97 2 2 (2) 433	s \$	445 — 445 — — 445	\$	276 — — — (25) — 251	\$ 2 63 ———————————————————————————————————		141 — — 3 144	Co			13,25 66 1,55 13 15,66 11,66
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations	s			5,212 ———————————————————————————————————		6,550 ———————————————————————————————————		737 — — — — 737 — 749		(in 334 97 2 2 (2) 433 266	s million	445 — 445 — 445	\$ \$	276 — — — — (25) 251	\$ 2 63 ———————————————————————————————————		141 — 3 144	Co			13,23 66 1,53 13 15,63 11,66
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative	s			5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430		6,550 — 1 184 6,735		737 — — — 737 — 749 — —		(in 334 97 2 2 (2) 433 266 56	s million		\$	276 — — — (25) — 251 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	\$ 2 63 ———————————————————————————————————		141 — 3 144 124 —	Co			13,2 6 1,5 1 1 15,6 11,6 3 1,0
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring	s			5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564		6,550 — 1 1 184 6,735 — 5,825 — 102		737 — — — 737 — 749 — 20		(in	s million		\$	276 — — — (25) — 251 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	\$ 2 63 65 38 10		1413 144 23	Co			13,2 6 1,5 1 1 15,6 11,6 3 1,0
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring	s			5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20		6,550 1 184 6,735 5,825 102		737 ———————————————————————————————————		(in	s million	445 	\$	276 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 2 63 ———————————————————————————————————		141 — 3 144 — 23 2	Co			13,22 66 1,55 1: 15,66 11,66 3
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring	s			5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20 3		6,550 — 1 184 6,735 5,825 — 102 — —	\$	737 ———————————————————————————————————	s	(iu 334 97 2 2 (2) 433 266 56 22 —	s s		\$	276 — — (25) 251 — 35 — —	\$ 2 63 ———————————————————————————————————		141 — 3 144 124 — 23 2	Co			13,255 66 1,58 11 15,66 11,66 33 1,08 5
Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring Impairment Interest expense	s			5,212 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 564 20 3 86		6,550 — 1 184 6,735 5,825 — 102 — 39	\$	737 — — — — — 737 — 20 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	s	(iu	s s	445 — 445 — 224 178 — 2	\$	276 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	\$ 2 63 ———————————————————————————————————		141 — — 3 3 144 — 23 2 — — —	Co			13,21 66 1,51 11 15,60 11,66 3 1,00 3 13,33
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring Impairment Interest expense	s			5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20 3 86 5,103		6,550 — 1 184 6,735 5,825 — 102 — — 39 5,966 769	\$	737 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	s	(in	s s		\$	276 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 2 63 ———————————————————————————————————		141 3 144 23 2 149 (5)	Co	(41) 1 5 (35) 12 224 236 (271)		13,22 66 1,53 11 15,63 11,66 3 1,00 3 13,33 2,20
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring Impairment Interest expense Income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense) Income tax (expense) benefit	s			5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20 3 86 5,103 74 (28)		6,550 1 184 6,735 5,825 102 39 5,966 769 (217)	\$	737 737 749 20 769 (32)	s	(in	s s		\$	276 — — (25) 251 211 — 35 — 16 262 (11) 1	\$ 2 63 		141 3 144 23 2 149 (5)	Co	——————————————————————————————————————		13,2 6 1,5 1 1 15,6 3 1,0 3 13,3 2,2 (2
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring Impairment Interest expense Income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense) Income tax (expense) benefit Net Income (loss)	s			5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20 3 86 5,103 74 (28)		6,550 1 184 6,735 5,825 102 39 5,966 769 (217) 552	\$	737 — — 737 749 — 20 — — 769 (32) 14 (18)	s	(in	s s		\$	276 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 2 63 ———————————————————————————————————		141 3 144 23 149 (5) (5)	Co	(41) 1 5 (35) 12 224 236 (271) (2) (273)		13,2 6 6 1,5 1 1 15,6 11,6 3 3 1,0 3 13,3 2,2 (2
Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring Impairment Interest expense Income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense) Income tax (expense) benefit Net Income (loss) Less: net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests			s	5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20 3 86 5,103 74 (28) 46 (15)	\$	6,550 1 184 6,735 5,825 102 39 5,966 769 (217) 552 (212)	s	737 — — 737 749 — 20 — — 769 (32) 14 (18) —	s	(in	s s		s	276 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 2 63 65 38 10 2 3 53 12 12	s	141 3 144 23 149 (5) (5)	\$		s -	13,22 66 1,53 11 15,63 11,66 3 1,00 3 13,33 2,22 (2' 1,99 (1,14)
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring Impairment Interest expense Income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense) Income tax (expense) benefit Net Income (loss) Less: net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests	s			5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20 3 86 5,103 74 (28)		6,550 1 184 6,735 5,825 102 39 5,966 769 (217) 552	\$	737 — — 737 749 — 20 — — 769 (32) 14 (18)	s	(in	s s		\$	276 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 2 63 ———————————————————————————————————		141 3 144 23 149 (5) (5)	Co	(41) 1 5 (35) 12 224 236 (271) (2) (273)		13,22 66 1,53 11 15,63 11,66 3 1,00 3 13,33 2,22 (2' 1,99 (1,14)
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring Impairment Interest expense Income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense) Income tax (expense) benefit Net Income (loss) Less: net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises			s	5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20 3 86 5,103 74 (28) 46 (15)	\$	6,550 1 184 6,735 5,825 102 39 5,966 769 (217) 552 (212)	s	737 — — 737 749 — 20 — — 769 (32) 14 (18) —	s	(in	s s		s	276 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 2 63 65 38 10 2 3 53 12 12	s	141 3 144 23 149 (5) (5)	\$		s -	13,22 66 1,53 11 15,63 11,66 3 1,00 3 13,33 2,22 (2' 1,99 (1,14)
Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring impairment interest expense Income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense) income tax (expense) benefit Net Income (loss) Less: net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises	<u> </u>		\$ <u>\$</u>	5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20 3 86 5,103 74 (28) 46 (15) 31	\$	6,550 1 184 6,735 5,825 102 39 5,966 769 (217) 552 (212) 340	\$ <u></u>	737 — — 737 749 — 20 — — 769 (32) 14 (18) — (18)	s s	(in	s s		s s	276 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 2 63 65 38 10 2 3 53 12 12 12 	s	141 3 144 23 149 (5) (5)	\$		s s s s	13,2 6 1,5 1 15,6 11,6 3 1,0 3 13,3 2,2 (2 1,9 (1,1)
Revenues: Net sales Other revenues from operations Net gain from investment activities Interest and dividend income Other income (loss), net Expenses: Cost of goods sold Other expenses from operations Selling, general and administrative Restructuring Impairment Interest expense Income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense) Income tax (expense) benefit Net Income (loss) Less: net (income) loss attributable to non-controlling interests Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises			s	5,212 — 2 (37) 5,177 4,430 — 564 20 3 86 5,103 74 (28) 46 (15)	\$	6,550 1 184 6,735 5,825 102 39 5,966 769 (217) 552 (212)	s	737 — — 737 749 — 20 — — 769 (32) 14 (18) —	s s	(in	s s		s	276 ————————————————————————————————————	\$ 2 63 65 38 10 2 3 53 12 12	s	141 3 144 23 149 (5) (5)	\$		s -	13,25 60 1,55 12 13,15,65 11,60 31 1,05 2 39 2,26 (27 1,99 (1,18 80

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Food Packagi Home Investment Automotive Energy(2) Metals Railcar Consolidated Estate (in millions) Revenues: Net sales 5,070 3,822 871 430 255 173 10,625 490 63 611 Other revenues from operations 58 Net gain from investment activities 249 27 276 2 63 Interest and dividend income Other (loss) income, net (1) (171) (2) (3) (2) 2 (162) 304 5,083 3,651 872 488 488 29 11,413 Expenses: Cost of goods sold 4,327 3,118 881 347 196 156 9,026 Other expenses from operations 43 245 37 325 18 70 524 28 12 Selling, general and administrative 21 20 192 35 10 930 19 21 Restructuring 79 2 87 Impairment Interest expense 106 384 20 5,055 3,212 902 425 449 246 52 192 220 10,773 39 Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit 284 28 439 (30) 63 17 (16) (191) 640 Income tax (expense) benefit 27 (158) (26) 175 9 (3) (3) 21 Income (loss) 284 55 281 (21) 37 36 4 17 (16) (16) 661 Less: net income attributable to non-controlling interests (163) (17) (62) (17) (11) (1) (271) Net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises 121 38 219 (21) 20 25 3 17 (16) (16) 390 Supplemental information: Capital expenditures \$ 296 71 32 35 617 \$ 14 168

19

79

18

24 \$ 14

\$ 17

389

212

⁽¹⁾ Excludes amounts related to the amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discounts and premiums included in interest expense in the amounts of \$8 million and \$2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$24 million and \$22 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(2) Energy segment results are for the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Icahn Enterprises' condensed balance sheets by reporting segment as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are presented below:

										Sept	ember	30, 2013	3								
	In	vestment	Aı	ıtomotive	I	Energy	M	Ietals	R	ailcar	Ga	ming		ood kaging	Real Estate		Iome ishion		olding ompany	Co	nsolidateo
ASSETS										(in milli	ions)									
ASSE 13 Cash and cash equivalents	\$	5	\$	960	\$	887	\$	31	\$	115	\$	245	\$	14	\$ 43	\$	16	\$	958	\$	3,27
cash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash	•	1,140	•	_	-	_	•	4	-	10	-	30	•	1	3		6		236	•	1,43
nvestments		11,825		248		_		_		40		34		_	_		13		115		12,27
accounts receivable, net		_		1,395		241		85		32		12		68	7		31		_		1,87
oventories, net		_		1,087		680		88		102		_		72	_		64		_		2,09
roperty, plant and equipment, net		_		1,970		2,666		134		657		441		151	657		84		3		6,76
Goodwill and intangible assets, net		_		1,727		1,312		10		7		67		11	70		3		_		3,20
Other assets		98		401		186		22		26		47		38	17		20		13		86
Total assets	\$	13,068	\$	7,788	\$	5,972	\$	374	\$	989	\$	876	\$	355	\$ 797	\$	237	\$	1,325	\$	31,78
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	_		_	,	_		_				_					_		_		_	
ccounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$	581	\$	1,913	¢	1,576	\$	66	\$	200	\$	131	\$	71	\$ 22	\$	32	\$	574	\$	5,16
ecurities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value	Ф	704	Þ	1,915	Ф	1,570	J	00	Ф	200	Ф	131	Ф	/1	J 22	Ф	32	Ф	3/4	J	7(
tue to brokers		3,718		_		_		_		_		_		_	_		_		_		3,7
ost-employment benefit liability				1,316		_		3		9		_		63	_		_		_		1,3
Debt		_		2,824		676		3		196		170		217			_		4,017		8,1
	_		_		_		_		_		_				52	_	-	_		_	
Total liabilities		5,003		6,053	_	2,252		72		405		301		351	74		32		4,591		19,1
quity attributable to Icahn Enterprises		3,573		1,316		2,065		302		400		399		3	723		205		(3,266)		5,7
quity attributable to non-controlling interests		4,492		419		1,655				184		176		1							6,9
Total equity		8,065		1,735		3,720		302		584		575		4	723		205		(3,266)		12,6
Total liabilities and equity	\$	13,068	\$	7,788	\$	5,972	\$	374	\$	989	\$	876	\$	355	\$ 797	\$	237	\$	1,325	\$	31,7
										Dec	ember 3	31, 2012									
	In	vestment	Aı	ıtomotive	I	Energy	N	Ietals	R	ailcar	Ga	ming		Food kaging	Real Estate		Iome ishion	Co	olding ompany	Co	nsolidate
										(in milli	ions)									
ASSETS ash and cash equivalents	\$	14	\$	467	\$	896	s	14	¢	207	\$	243	\$	31	\$ 87	¢	67	\$	1,045	\$	3,07
ash held at consolidated affiliated partnerships and restricted cash	Þ	1,386	J	407	Ф	090	J	4	Ф	3	Ф	15	Ф	1	2	Ф	6	Ф	2	J	1,4
ivestments		5,084		240		_		4		57		35		1	2		14		61		5,49
accounts receivable, net		5,064		1,375		211		102		37		13		62	5		36		-		1,84
ecounts receivable, net				1,074		528		122		110		13		61	3		60				1,9
roperty, plant and equipment, net		_		1,971		2,648		142		426		431		154	665		83		3		6,5
codwill and intangible assets, net		_		1,782		1,327		11		7		68		12	78		3		3		3,2
ther assets		109										47		34					100		
Total assets	\$	6,593	\$	373 7,282	\$	133	\$	417	\$	15 862	\$	852	\$	355	\$ 852	\$	291	\$	1,309	\$	24,5
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	Φ	0,000	Ψ	7,202	φ	5,743	Ψ	71/	Ψ	302	φ	032	ų.	333	Ψ 032	Ψ	231	φ	1,303	9	24,3
accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$	152	\$	1,859	¢	1,535	\$	73	\$	156	\$	134	\$	74	\$ 18	\$	35	\$	178	\$	4,2
	Ф		Þ	1,859	ф	1,333	Э	73	ф	156	Ф	134	Ф	/4	\$ 18	Э	33	Ф	178	Þ	
ecurities sold, not yet purchased, at fair value		533						_		_		_			_		_				5
ue to brokers				1 100				_		10		_		-	_		_		_		
ost-employment benefit liability		_		1,409 2,805		_		3		10		_		66	_		_		_		1,4
						926		3		275		171		215	71				4,082		8,5

685

2,387

3,521

5,908

6,593

6,073

860

349

1,209

7,282

2,461

2.383

899

\$ 5,743

79

338

417

441

257

164

421

862

305

379

168

547

\$ 852

355

(3)

3

355

763

763

\$ 852

256

\$ 291

4,260

(2,951)

(2,951)

1,309

14,783

4,669

5,104

9,773

24,556

Total liabilities

Total equity

Total liabilities and equity

Equity attributable to Icahn Enterprises

Equity attributable to non-controlling interests

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Icahn Enterprises Holdings

Due to the structure of our business, the consolidated results of operations for Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings are substantially the same. Differences primarily relate to debt, deferred financing costs and amortization of debt discounts and premiums, which are only reflected in the results of operations for the Holding Company. See Note 10, "Debt," for additional information. Segment information for Icahn Enterprises Holdings is presented below for significant financial statement line items affected by these differences.

					T	hree Months En	ded	September 30,				
				2013						2012		
	Interest	Expense	Net l	income (Loss)	A	Income (Loss) ttributable to hn Enterprises Holdings	Iı	nterest Expense	Net	Income (Loss)	Α	t Income (Loss) ttributable to hn Enterprises Holdings
						(in m	illion	ns)				
Investment	\$	4	\$	1,223	\$	529	\$	_	\$	(69)	\$	(27)
Automotive		28		24		18		35		(10)		(8)
Energy		12		68		33		14		212		166
Metals		_		(5)		(5)		_		(5)		(5)
Railcar		2		13		4		5		12		6
Gaming		4		8		5		4		22		15
Food Packaging		5		4		3		5		2		2
Real Estate		1		4		4		2		6		6
Home Fashion		_		1		1		_		(5)		(5)
Holding Company		75		(120)		(120)		73		(66)		(66)
Consolidated	\$	131	\$	1,220	\$	472	\$	138	\$	99	\$	84

				Nine Months Er	ded Septer	nber 30,				September 30,	De	ecember 31,
			2013				2012			2013		2012
	Interes	t Expense	Net Income (Loss)	Net Income (Loss Attributable to Icahn Enterprise Holdings	s	est Expense	Net Income (Loss)	F	Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Icahn Enterprises Holdings	Total Assets	T	otal Assets
				(in n	nillions)					(in m	nillions)	
Investment	\$	6	\$ 1,616	\$ 690	\$	2	\$ 284	\$	121	\$ 13,068	\$	6,593
Automotive		86	46	31		106	55		38	7,788		7,282
Energy		39	552	340		24	281		219	5,972		5,743
Metals		_	(18)	(18)		_	(21)	(21)	374		417
Railcar		6	43	15		15	37		20	989		862
Gaming		11	28	18		10	36		25	876		852
Food Packaging		16	(10)	(7)		15	4		3	355		355
Real Estate		3	12	12		4	17		17	797		852
Home Fashion		_	(5)	(5)		_	(16)	(16)	237		291
Holding Company		224	(273)	(273)		208	(16)	(16)	1,341		1,323
Consolidated	\$	391	\$ 1,991	\$ 803	\$	384	\$ 661	\$	390	\$ 31,797	\$	24,570

Amounts related to the amortization of debt discounts and premiums included in interest expense for the consolidated results of Icahn Enterprises Holdings were \$8 million and \$2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$24 million and \$22 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

14. Income Taxes.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings recorded an income tax expense of \$57 million on pre-tax income of \$1,277 million compared to an income tax expense of \$110 million on pre-tax income of \$209 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Our effective income tax rate was 4.5% and 52.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is primarily due to partnership loss not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is primarily due to changes in valuation allowances and partnership income not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings recorded an income tax expense of \$274 million on pre-tax income of \$2,265 million compared to an income tax benefit of \$21 million on pre-tax income of \$640 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Our effective income tax rate was 12.1% and (3.3)% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is primarily due to partnership income not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is primarily due to changes in valuation allowances and partnership income or loss not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners.

Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings recognized an income tax expense on its pre-tax income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to an income tax benefit on its pre-tax income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily due to the reversal of \$159 million of the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 by American Entertainment Properties Corp. ("AEP"), an indirect subsidiary of ours. Specifically, in February, 2012, pursuant to a tax-free reorganization, WestPoint Home Inc. merged into a newly formed single member limited liability company, WPH, an entity owned by AEP. WPH constitutes our Home Fashion segment. Also, on May 4, 2012, AEP acquired a controlling interest in CVR. In recording this reversal, AEP evaluated all positive and negative evidence associated with its deferred tax assets, primarily as a result of the change in estimated future earnings from the acquisition of CVR, and concluded it was more likely than not that a portion of the federal net operating loss carryforward related to our Home Fashion segment would be realized.

15. Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss.

Changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss consists of the following:

	Post-Employment Benefits, Net of Tax		Hedge Instruments, Net of Tax	Translation Adjustments and Other, Net of Tax	Total
			(in mi	llions)	
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ (639) \$	\$ (34)	\$ (309)	\$ (982)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications, net of tax	22		(4)	(27)	(9)
Reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings $^{(1)}$	(19)	13	(5)	(11)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	3		9	(32)	(20)
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$ (636) \$	\$ (25)	\$ (341)	\$ (1,002)

⁽¹⁾ Refer to Note 11, "Pension, Other Post-employment Benefits and Employee Benefit Plans," and Note 6, "Financial Instruments," for additional information with respect to reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings relating to post-employment benefits, net of tax and hedge instruments, net of tax, respectively. Such items do not represent reclassifications in their entirety.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

16. Other Income (Loss), Net.

Other income (loss), net consists of the following:

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	(in millions)							
(Loss) gain on extinguishment of debt	\$	_	\$	(2)	\$	5	\$	(4)
Realized and unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives, net		73		(169)		173		(172)
Tax settlement loss		_		_		(23)		_
Dividend expense related to securities sold, not yet purchased		_		(1)		_		(4)
Net (loss) gain on disposition of assets		(5)		1		(57)		2
Equity earnings from non-consolidated affiliates		7		6		24		30
Foreign currency translation loss		(6)		(8)		(8)		(15)
Other		13		2		16		1
	\$	82	\$	(171)	\$	130	\$	(162)

The net (loss) gain on disposition of assets for 2013 included in the above table primarily relates to various dispositions of businesses by our Automotive segment. During the first quarter of 2013, our Automotive segment recorded a loss on disposition of assets of \$47 million related to the disposal of its sintered components operations located in France. During the second quarter of 2013, our Automotive segment recorded a loss on disposition of assets of \$5 million related to its connecting rod manufacturing facility located in Canada and its camshaft foundry located in the United Kingdom. During the third quarter of 2013, our Automotive segment recorded a loss on disposition of assets relating to its fuel pump business of \$6 million, which is net of a \$19 million OPEB curtailment gain, as discussed further in Note 11, "Pension, Other Post-employment Benefits and Employee Benefit Plans. Because the financial results from the disposition of these businesses were not material, individually or in the aggregate, to our consolidated financial statements, we did not reflect the dispositions of these businesses as discontinued operations in either the current period or on a retrospective basis.

During the second quarter of 2013, our Food Packaging segment recorded a loss of \$23 million related to the settlement of a certain tax matter. See Note 17, "Commitments and Contingencies - Food Packaging," for further discussion.

17. Commitments and Contingencies.

Investment

Dynegy Inc.

On March 28, 2012 an action was filed in the U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, entitled *Silsby v. Icahn et. al.* Defendants include Carl C. Icahn and two officers of Dynegy Inc. ("Dynegy") and certain of its directors. As initially filed, the action purports to be brought as a class action on behalf of Dynegy shareholders who acquired their shares between September 2011 and March 2012. The Complaint alleges violations of the federal securities laws by defendants' allegedly making false and misleading statements in securities filings which statements artificially inflated the price of Dynegy stock. The individual defendants are alleged to have been controlling persons of Dynegy. Plaintiff is seeking damages in an unspecified amount. Subsequent to the filing of this action, Dynegy filed for bankruptcy, and a U.S. bankruptcy court has approved a Plan of Reorganization. Plaintiff is proceeding with the action and has filed an amended complaint that purports to be a class action on behalf of Dynegy shareholders who acquired their securities between July 10, 2011 and March 9, 2012. We believe that we have meritorious defenses to the claims and filed a motion to dismiss on July 19, 2013. At present, the motion to dismiss the case is pending.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Dell Inc.

On August 1, 2013, High River Limited Partnership and each of the Investment Funds (collectively, the "Icahn Parties"), filed an action in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware ("Court") against Dell Inc., ("Dell"), and the members of its board of directors, including Michael Dell (the "Dell Board"). The complaint challenges certain actions taken by the Dell Board in relation to a going-private merger (the "Merger") and an alternative recapitalization of Dell proposed by the Icahn Parties and others. In particular the complaint challenges actions taken by the Dell Board in relation to a special meeting to vote on the Merger and the failure of the Dell Board to hold an annual meeting of stockholders at which the Icahn Parties and others intended to seek stockholders approval of new directors who would support the recapitalization proposal. The complaint seeks both injunctive and declaratory relief. On August 16, 2013, the Court held a conference on the Icahn Parties' motion for expedition. It denied that motion, finding that the complaint did not establish a sufficiently colorable claim to require expedition. The Court thereafter entered a stipulated order setting the date for Dell's 2013 annual meeting. Following the Court conference the parties entered a stipulation extending defendants time to respond to the complaint and the Icahn Parties will make a decision on whether to continue to pursue this litigation.

Automotive

Environmental Matters

Federal-Mogul is a defendant in lawsuits filed and the recipient of administrative orders issued and demand letters received, in various jurisdictions pursuant to the Federal Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA") and other similar national, provincial or state environmental remedial laws. These laws provide that responsible parties may be liable to pay for remediating contamination resulting from hazardous substances that were discharged into the environment by them, by prior owners or occupants of property they currently own or operate, or by others to whom they sent such substances for treatment or other disposition at third party locations. Federal-Mogul has been notified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, other national environmental agencies and various provincial and state agencies that it may be a potentially responsible party ("PRP") under such laws for the cost of remediating hazardous substances pursuant to CERCLA and other national and state or provincial environmental laws. PRP designation often results in the funding of site investigations and subsequent remedial activities.

Many of the sites that are likely to be the costliest to remediate are often current or former commercial waste disposal facilities to which numerous companies sent wastes. Despite the potential joint and several liability that might be imposed on Federal-Mogul under CERCLA and some of the other laws pertaining to these sites, its share of the total waste sent to these sites has generally been small. Federal-Mogul believes its exposure for liability at these sites is limited.

Federal-Mogul has also identified certain other present and former properties at which it may be responsible for cleaning up or addressing environmental contamination, in some cases as a result of contractual commitments and/or federal or state environmental laws. Federal-Mogul is actively seeking to resolve these actual and potential statutory, regulatory and contractual obligations. Although difficult to quantify based on the complexity of the issues, Federal-Mogul has accrued amounts corresponding to its best estimate of the costs associated with such regulatory and contractual obligations on the basis of available information from site investigations and best professional judgment of consultants.

Total environmental liabilities, determined on an undiscounted basis, were \$15 million at each of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and are included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets.

Federal-Mogul believes that recorded environmental liabilities will be adequate to cover its estimated liability for its exposure in respect to such matters. In the event that such liabilities were to significantly exceed the amounts recorded by Federal-Mogul, our Automotive segment's results of operations could be materially affected. At September 30, 2013, Federal-Mogul estimates reasonably possible material additional losses, above and beyond its best estimate of required remediation costs as recorded, to be approximately \$45 million.

Asset Retirement Obligations

Federal-Mogul has identified sites with contractual obligations and several sites that are closed or expected to be closed and sold. In connection with these sites, Federal-Mogul has accrued \$28 million and \$29 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, for asset retirement obligations ("ARO"), primarily related to anticipated costs of removing hazardous building materials at its facilities, and has considered impairment issues that may result from capitalization of these ARO amounts.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Federal-Mogul has conditional asset retirement obligations ("CARO"), primarily related to removal costs of hazardous materials in buildings, for which it believes reasonable cost estimates cannot be made at this time because it does not believe it has a reasonable basis to assign probabilities to a range of potential settlement dates for these retirement obligations. Accordingly, Federal-Mogul is currently unable to determine amounts to accrue for CARO at such sites.

Energy

Unconditional Purchase Obligations

CVR leases various equipment, including rail cars, and real properties under long-term operating leases expiring at various dates. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, lease expense was \$7 million. The lease agreements have various remaining terms. Some agreements are renewable, at CVR's option, for additional periods. It is expected, in the ordinary course of business, that leases will be renewed or replaced as they expire. Additionally, in the normal course of business, CVR has long-term commitments to purchase oxygen, nitrogen, electricity, storage capacity and pipeline transportation services.

Crude Oil Supply Agreement

On August 31, 2012, Coffeyville Resources Refining & Marketing, LLC ("CRRM") and Vitol Inc. ("Vitol"), entered into an Amended and Restated Crude Oil Supply Agreement (the "Vitol Agreement"). The Vitol Agreement amends and restates the Crude Oil Supply Agreement between CRRM and Vitol dated March 30, 2011, as amended. Under the Vitol Agreement, Vitol supplies the petroleum business with crude oil and intermediation logistics, which helps to reduce CVR Refining's inventory position and mitigate crude oil pricing risk.

The Vitol Agreement has an initial term commencing on August 31, 2012 and extending through December 31, 2014 (the "Initial Term"). Following the Initial Term, the Vitol Agreement will automatically renew for successive one-year terms (each such term, a "Renewal Term") unless either party provides the other with notice of nonrenewal at least 180 days prior to expiration of the Initial Term or any Renewal Term.

Litigation

From time to time, CVR is involved in various lawsuits arising in the normal course of business, including matters such as those described below under "Environmental, Health and Safety Matters." Liabilities related to such litigation are recognized when the related costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. These provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. It is possible that CVR's management estimates of the outcomes will change due to uncertainties inherent in litigation and settlement negotiations. In the opinion of CVR management, the ultimate resolution of any other litigation matters is not expected to have a material adverse effect. There can be no assurance that CVR management's beliefs or opinions with respect to liability for potential litigation matters are accurate.

On June 21, 2012, Goldman, Sachs & Co. ("GS") filed suit against CVR in state court in New York, alleging that CVR failed to pay GS approximately \$18.5 million in fees allegedly due to GS by CVR pursuant to an engagement letter dated March 21, 2012, which according to the allegations set forth in the complaint, provided that GS was engaged by CVR to assist CVR and the CVR board of directors in connection with a tender offer for CVR's common stock made by Carl C. Icahn and certain of his affiliates. CVR believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to vigorously defend against the suit. This amount has been fully accrued as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

On August 10, 2012, Deutsche Bank ("DB") filed suit against CVR in state court in New York, alleging that CVR failed to pay DB \$18.5 million in fees allegedly due to DB by CVR pursuant to an engagement letter dated March 23, 2012, which according to the allegations set forth in the complaint, provided that DB was engaged by CVR to assist CVR and the CVR board of directors in connection with a tender offer for CVR's stock made by Carl C. Icahn and certain of his affiliates. CVR believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to vigorously defend against the suit. This amount has been fully accrued as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

On December 17, 2012, Gary Community Investment Company, f/k/a The Gary-Williams Company and GWEC Holding Company, Inc. (referred to herein collectively as "Gary-Williams") filed a lawsuit in the Supreme Court of New York, New York County (Gary Community Investment Co. v. CVR Energy, Inc., No. 654401/12) against CVR and CRLLC (referred to collectively for purposes of this paragraph as "CVR"). The action arises out of claims relating to CVR's purchase of the Wynnewood, Oklahoma refinery pursuant to the Purchase and Sale Agreement entered into by the parties on November 2, 2011

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

(the "Purchase Agreement"). Specifically, CVR provided notice to Gary-Williams that it sought indemnification for various breaches of the Purchase Agreement and subsequently made a claim notice for payment of the entire escrow property pursuant to the Escrow Agreement by and among Gary-Williams, CRLLC and the escrow agent, dated as of December 15, 2011. Gary-Williams, in its lawsuit, alleges that CVR breached the Purchase Agreement and the Escrow Agreement, and is seeking a declaratory judgment that CVR's claims are without any legal basis, damages in an unspecified amount, and release of the full amount of the escrow property to Gary-Williams.

CRNF received a ten-year property tax abatement from Montgomery County, Kansas in connection with the construction of the nitrogen fertilizer plant that expired on December 31, 2007. In connection with the expiration of the abatement, the county reclassified and reassessed CRNF's nitrogen fertilizer plant for property tax purposes. The reclassification and reassessment resulted in an increase in CRNF's annual property tax expense by an average of \$11 million per year for each of the years ended December 31, 2010 and \$11 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012. CRNF protested the classification and resulting valuation for each of those years to the Kansas Court of Tax Appeals ("COTA"), followed by an appeal to the Kansas Court of Appeals. However, CRNF fully accrued and paid the property taxes the county claimed were owed for the years ended December 31, 2008 through 2012. The Kansas Court of Appeals, in a memorandum opinion dated August 9, 2013, reversed the COTA decision, in part and remanded the case to COTA instructing COTA to classify each asset on an asset by asset basis instead of making a broad determination that the entire plant was real property as COTA did originally. CRNF believes that when that asset by asset determination is done, the majority of the plant will be classified as personal property which would result in significantly lower property taxes for CRNF for 2008 and for those years after the conclusion of the property tax settlement noted below as compared to the taxes paid by CRNF prior to the settlement. The County filed a motion for rehearing with the Kansas Court of Appeals seeking reconsideration of the Court's August 9, 2013 decision and that motion was denied. The County has also filed a petition for review with the Kansas Supreme Court and that petition is pending.

On February 25, 2013, Montgomery County and CRNF agreed to a settlement for tax years 2009 through 2012, which will lower CRNF's property taxes by about \$11 million per year for tax years 2013 through 2016 based on current mill levy rates. In addition, the settlement provides that Montgomery County will support CRNF's application before COTA for a ten year tax exemption for the UAN expansion. Finally, the settlement provides that CRNF will continue its appeal of the 2008 reclassification and reassessment discussed above.

Flood, Crude Oil Discharge and Insurance

Crude oil was discharged from CVR's Coffeyville refinery on July 1, 2007, due to the short amount of time available to shut down and secure the refinery in preparation for the flood that occurred on June 30, 2007. In May 2008, in connection with the discharge, CVR received notices of claims from 16 private claimants under the Oil Pollution Act ("OPA") in an aggregate amount of approximately \$4 million (plus punitive damages). In August 2008, those claimants filed suit against CVR in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas in Wichita (the "Angleton Case"). In October 2009 and June 2010, companion cases to the Angleton Case were filed in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas in Wichita, seeking a total of approximately \$3 million (plus punitive damages) for three additional plaintiffs as a result of the July 1, 2007 crude oil discharge. CVR has settled all of the claims with the plaintiffs from the Angleton Case and has settled all but one of the companion cases. The settlements did not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements. CVR believes that the resolution of the remaining claim will not have a material adverse effect on our Energy segment's financial results.

On October 25, 2010, CVR received a letter from the United States Coast Guard on behalf of the EPA seeking \$2 million in oversight cost reimbursement. CVR responded by asserting defenses to the Coast Guard's claim for oversight costs. On September 23, 2011, the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ"), acting on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and the United States Coast Guard, filed suit against CRRM in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas seeking recovery from CRRM related to alleged non-compliance with the Clean Air Act's Risk Management Program ("RMP"), the Clean Water Act ("CWA") and the OPA. CRRM has reached an agreement with the DOJ resolving its claims under the CWA and the OPA. The agreement is memorialized in a Consent Decree that was filed with and approved by the Court on February 12, 2013 and March 25, 2013, respectively (the "2013 Consent Decree"). On April 19, 2013, CRRM paid a civil penalty plus accrued interest in the amount of \$0.6 million for the CWA violations and reimbursed the Coast Guard for oversight costs under OPA in the amount of \$1.7 million. The 2013 Consent Decree also requires CRRM to make small capital upgrades to the Coffeyville refinery crude oil tank farm, develop flood procedures and provide employee training. The parties also reached an agreement to settle the DOJ's RMP claims, which was filed and approved with the Court on May 21,

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

2013 and July 2, 2013 and provided for a civil penalty of \$0.3 million. On July 29, 2013, CRRM paid the civil penalty related to the RMP settlement agreement.

CVR is seeking insurance coverage for this release and for the ultimate costs for remediation and third-party property damage claims. On July 10, 2008, CVR filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas against certain of CVR's environmental insurance carriers requesting insurance coverage indemnification for the June/July 2007 flood and crude oil discharge losses. Each insurer reserved its rights under various policy exclusions and limitations and cited potential coverage defenses. Although the Court has now issued summary judgment opinions that eliminate the majority of the insurance defendants' reservations and defenses, CVR cannot be certain of the ultimate amount or timing of such recovery because of the difficulty inherent in projecting the ultimate resolution of CVR's claims. CVR has received \$25 million of insurance proceeds under its primary environmental liability insurance policy, which constitutes full payment to CVR of the primary pollution liability policy limit.

The lawsuit with the insurance carriers under the environmental policies remains the only unsettled lawsuit with the insurance carriers related to these events.

Environmental, Health and Safety Matters

The petroleum and nitrogen fertilizer businesses are subject to various stringent federal, state, and local Environmental, Health and Safety ("EHS") rules and regulations. Liabilities related to EHS matters are recognized when the related costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Estimates of these costs are based upon currently available facts, existing technology, site-specific costs and currently enacted laws and regulations. In reporting EHS liabilities, no offset is made for potential recoveries.

CRRM, CRNF, Coffeyville Resources Crude Transportation ("CRCT"), Wynnewood Refining Company, LLC ("WRC") and Coffeyville Resources Terminal, LLC ("CRT") own and/or operate manufacturing and ancillary operations at various locations directly related to petroleum refining and distribution and nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing. Therefore, CRRM, CRNF, CRCT, WRC and CRT have exposure to potential EHS liabilities related to past and present EHS conditions at these locations. Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), and related state laws, certain persons may be liable for the release or threatened release of hazardous substances. These persons include the current owner or operator of property where a release or threatened release occurred, any persons who owned or operated the property when the release occurred, and any persons who disposed of, or arranged for the transportation or disposal of, hazardous substances at a contaminated property. Liability under CERCLA is strict, and under certain circumstances, joint and several, so that any responsible party may be held liable for the entire cost of investigating and remediating the release of hazardous substances. Similarly, the OPA generally subjects owners and operators of facilities to strict, joint and several liability for all containment and clean-up costs, natural resource damages, and potential governmental oversight costs arising from oil spills into the waters of the United States, which has been broadly interpreted to include virtually any water bodies including intermittent streams and water bodies.

CRRM and CRT have agreed to perform corrective actions at the Coffeyville, Kansas refinery and the now-closed Phillipsburg, Kansas terminal facility, pursuant to Administrative Orders on Consent issued under RCRA to address historical contamination by the prior owners (RCRA Docket No. VII-94-H-0020 and Docket No. VII-95-H-011, respectively). As of both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, environmental accruals of \$2 million were reflected in the consolidated balance sheets for probable and estimated costs for remediation of environmental contamination under the RCRA Administrative Orders. Accruals were determined based on an estimate of payment costs through 2031, for which the scope of remediation was arranged with the EPA, and were discounted at the appropriate risk free rates at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The accruals include estimated closure and post-closure costs of \$1 million for two landfills as of both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

CVR's management periodically reviews and, as appropriate, revises its environmental accruals. Based on current information and regulatory requirements, CVR's management believes that the accruals established for environmental expenditures are adequate.

CRRM, CRNF, CRCT, WRC and CRT are subject to extensive and frequently changing federal, state and local EHS laws and regulations governing the emission and release of hazardous substances into the environment, the treatment and discharge of waste water, the storage, handling, use and transportation of petroleum and nitrogen products, and the characteristics and composition of gasoline and diesel fuels. The ultimate impact on CVR's business of complying with evolving laws and regulations is not always clearly known or determinable due in part to the fact that our operations may change over time and

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

certain implementing regulations for laws, such as the federal Clean Air Act, have not yet been finalized, are under governmental or judicial review or are being revised. These laws and regulations could result in increased capital, operating and compliance costs.

In 2007, the EPA promulgated the Mobile Source Air Toxic II ("MSAT II") rule that requires the reduction of benzene in gasoline by 2011. CRRM and WRC are considered to be small refiners under the MSAT II rule and compliance with the rule is extended until 2015 for small refiners. As a result of our purchase of a controlling interest in CVR on May 4, 2012, CVR's MSATII projects have been accelerated by three months due to the loss of small refiner status. Total capital expenditures to comply with the rule are expected to be approximately \$63 million for CRRM and approximately \$105 million for WRC. As of September 30, 2013, \$19 million and \$35 million had been spent related to these projects by CRRM and WRC, respectively.

The petroleum refining industry is subject to the Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS"), which requires refiners to blend "renewable fuels" in with their transportation fuels or purchase renewable fuel credits, known as renewable identification numbers ("RINs"), in lieu of blending. The EPA is required to determine and publish the applicable annual renewable fuel percentage standards for each compliance year by November 30 for the forthcoming year. The percentage standards represent the ratio of renewable fuel volume to gasoline and diesel volume. On August 6, 2013, the EPA announced the final 2013 renewable fuel percentage standard would be raised to 9.74%. Beginning in 2011, the Coffeyville refinery was required to blend renewable fuels into its gasoline and transportation diesel fuel or purchase RINs in lieu of blending, and in 2013, the Wynnewood refinery will be required to comply, unless the Wynnewood refinery receives relief from the rule in 2013 based on the "disproportionate economic impact" of the rule on the Wynnewood refinery. From time to time, CVR may purchase RINs on the open market or waiver credits for cellulosic biofuels from the EPA in order to comply with RFS. While the petroleum business cannot predict the future prices of RINs or waiver credits, the cost of purchasing RINs has been extremely volatile and has significantly increased over the last year. The cost of RINs for three months ended September 30, 2012 and the period May 5, 2012 through December 31, 2012 was \$7 million and \$15 million, respectively, and the cost of RINs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$57 million and \$155 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the petroleum business' biofuel blending obligation was \$48 million and \$1 million, respectively, which was recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. The ultimate cost of RINs for the petroleum business in 2013 is difficult to estimate. In particular, the cost of RINs is dependent upon a variety of factors, which include the price at which RINs can be purchased, transportation fuel production levels, the mix of the petroleum business' petroleum products, as well as the fuel blending performed at the its refineries, all of which can vary significantly from quarter to quarter.

In 2013, the EPA proposed "Tier 3" gasoline sulfur standards. Based on the proposed standards, CRRM anticipates it will incur less than \$20 million of capital expenditures to install controls in order to meet the anticipated new standards. The project is expected to be completed during the Coffeyville refinery's next scheduled turnaround in 2016. It is not anticipated that the Wynnewood refinery would require additional controls or capital expenditures to meet the anticipated new standard.

In March 2004, CRRM and CRT entered into a Consent Decree (the "2004 Consent Decree") with the EPA and the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (the "KDHE") to resolve air compliance concerns raised by the EPA and KDHE related to Farmland Industries Inc.'s prior ownership and operation of the Coffeyville crude oil refinery and the now-closed Phillipsburg terminal facilities. Under the 2004 Consent Decree, CRRM agreed to install controls to reduce emissions of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and particulate matter from its FCCU by January 1, 2011. In addition, pursuant to the 2004 Consent Decree, CRRM and CRT assumed clean-up obligations at the Coffeyville refinery and the now-closed Phillipsburg terminal facilities.

In March 2012, CRRM entered into a "Second Consent Decree" with the EPA, which replaces the 2004 Consent Decree, as amended (other than certain financial assurance provisions associated with corrective action at the refinery and terminal under RCRA). The Second Consent Decree gives CRRM more time to install the FCCU controls from the 2004 Consent Decree and expands the scope of the settlement so that it is now considered a "global settlement" under the EPA's "National Petroleum Refining Initiative." Under the National Petroleum Refining Initiative, the EPA alleged industry-wide noncompliance with four "marquee" issues under the Clean Air Act: New Source Review, Flaring, Leak Detection and Repair, and Benzene Waste Operations NESHAP. The National Petroleum Refining Initiative has resulted in most U.S. refineries (representing more than 90% of the US refining capacity) entering into consent decrees requiring the payment of civil penalties and requiring the installation of pollution control equipment and enhanced operating procedures. Under the Second Consent Decree, CVR was required to pay a civil penalty of less than \$1 million and complete the installation of FCCU controls required under the 2004 Consent Decree, add controls to certain heaters and boilers and enhance certain work practices relating to wastewater and fugitive emissions. The remaining costs of complying with the Second Consent Decree are expected to be

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

approximately \$40 million. CRRM also agreed to complete a voluntary environmental project that will reduce air emissions and conserve water at an estimated cost of \$1 million. Additional incremental capital expenditures associated with the Second Consent Decree will not be material and will be limited primarily to the retrofit and replacement of heaters and boilers over a five to seven year time-frame. The Second Consent Decree was entered by the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas on April 19, 2012.

WRC entered into a Consent Order with the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (the "ODEQ") in August 2011 (the "Wynnewood Consent Order"). The Wynnewood Consent Order addresses certain historic Clean Air Act compliance issues related to the operations of the refinery by the prior owner. Under the Wynnewood Consent Order, WRC paid a civil penalty of \$950,000 and agreed to install certain controls, enhance certain compliance programs, and undertake additional testing and auditing. A substantial portion of the costs of complying with the Wynnewood Consent Order were expended during the last turnaround in 2012. The remaining costs are expected to be approximately \$3 million. In consideration for entering into the Wynnewood Consent Order, WRC received a release from liability from ODEQ for matters described in the ODEQ order.

From time to time, ODEQ conducts inspections of the Wynnewood refinery and identifies areas of alleged noncompliance. ODEQ routinely pursues enforcement related to the alleged noncompliance seeking civil penalties and injunctive relief, which may necessitate the installation of controls. ODEQ has advised CVR that it is preparing to issue a full compliance evaluation report covering the period from 2010 through 2013. The agency has indicated that it will pursue enforcement related to the alleged noncompliance and that it expects to enter into a second Consent Order with CVR, which would necessitate the payment of a civil penalty and the implementation of injunctive relief to address the alleged noncompliance. The costs of any such enforcement action cannot be predicted at this time. However, based on our experience related to the Clean Air Act enforcement and control requirements, CVR does not anticipate that the costs of any civil penalties, required additional controls or operational changes would be material.

WRC has entered into a series of Clean Water Act consent orders with ODEQ. The latest Consent Order (the "CWA Consent Order"), which supersedes other consent orders, became effective in September 2011. The CWA Consent Order addresses alleged noncompliance by WRC with its Oklahoma Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit limits. The CWA Consent Order requires WRC to take corrective action steps, including undertaking studies to determine whether the Wynnewood refinery's wastewater treatment plant capacity is sufficient. The Wynnewood refinery may need to install additional controls or make operational changes to satisfy the requirements of the CWA Consent Order. The cost of additional controls, if any, cannot be predicted at this time. However, based on CVR's experience with wastewater treatment and controls, CVR does not anticipate that the costs of any required additional controls or operational changes would be material.

From time to time, the EPA has conducted inspections and issued information requests to CRNF with respect to CVR's compliance with RMP and the release reporting requirements under CERCLA and the EPCRA. These previous investigations have resulted in the issuance of preliminary findings regarding CRNF's compliance status. In the fourth quarter of 2010, following CRNF's reported release of ammonia from its cooling water system and the rupture of its UAN vessel (which released ammonia and other regulated substances), the EPA conducted its most recent inspection and issued an additional request for information to CRNF. The EPA has not made any formal claims against CVR and CVR has not accrued for any liability associated with the investigations or releases.

Environmental expenditures are capitalized when such expenditures are expected to result in future economic benefits. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, capital expenditures were \$74 million and were incurred to improve the environmental compliance and efficiency of the operations.

CRRM, CRNF, CRCT, WRC and CRT each believes it is in substantial compliance with existing EHS rules and regulations. There can be no assurance that the EHS matters described above or other EHS matters which may develop in the future will not have a material adverse effect on CVR's business, financial condition or results of operations.

On September 28, 2012, the Wynnewood refinery experienced an explosion in a boiler unit during startup after a short outage as part of the turnaround process. Two employees were fatally injured. Damage at the refinery was limited to the boiler. Additionally, there was no environmental impact. The refinery was in the final stages of shutdown for turnaround maintenance at the time of the incident. The petroleum business completed an internal investigation of the incident and cooperated with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") in its investigation. OSHA also conducted a general inspection of the facility during the boiler incident investigation. In March 2013, OSHA completed its investigation and communicated its citations to WRC. OSHA also placed WRC in its Severe Violators Enforcement Program ("SVEP"). WRC is vigorously contesting the citations and OSHA's placement of WRC in the SVEP. Any penalties associated with OSHA's citations are not

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

expected to be material. On September 25, 2013, WRC agreed to pay a small civil penalty to settle rather than defend claims alleged by the EPA under the Clean Air Act's general duty clause related to the boiler incident. In addition to the above, spouses of the two employees fatally injured have filed a civil lawsuit against WRC, CVR Refining and CVR in Fort Bend County, Texas. The civil suit is in its preliminary stages and it is currently too early to assess a potential outcome.

Metals

Environmental Matters

Certain of PSC Metals' facilities are environmentally impaired in part as a result of operating practices at the sites prior to their acquisition by PSC Metals and as a result of PSC Metals' operations. PSC Metals has established procedures to periodically evaluate these sites, giving consideration to the nature and extent of the contamination. PSC Metals has provided for the remediation of these sites based upon management's judgment and prior experience. PSC Metals has estimated the liability to remediate these sites to be \$29 million at each of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Management believes, based on past experience, that the vast majority of these environmental liabilities and costs will be assessed and paid over an extended period of time. PSC Metals believes that it will be able to fund such costs in the ordinary course of business.

Estimates of PSC Metals' liability for remediation of a particular site and the method and ultimate cost of remediation require a number of assumptions that are inherently difficult to make, and the ultimate outcome may be materially different from current estimates. Moreover, because PSC Metals has disposed of waste materials at numerous third-party disposal facilities, it is possible that PSC Metals will be identified as a PRP at additional sites. The impact of such future events cannot be estimated at the current time.

PSC Metals has been designated as a PRP under U.S. federal and state superfund laws with respect to certain sites with which PSC Metals may have had a direct or indirect involvement. It is alleged that PSC Metals and its subsidiaries or their predecessors transported waste to the sites, disposed of waste at the sites or operated the sites in question. In addition, PSC Metals recently learned that its Knoxville location was the subject of a Site Assessment under the federal Superfund law. This Site Assessment was performed in 2012 by the State of Tennessee pursuant to a contract with EPA. Tennessee recommended to EPA that an expanded Site Assessment be performed at this location due to the presence of contamination. At this point, PSC Metals cannot assess any cost or liability associated with this investigation. With respect to all other matters in which PSC Metals has been designated as a PRP under U.S. federal and state superfund laws, PSC Metals has reviewed the nature and extent of the allegations, the number, connection and financial ability of other named and unnamed PRPs and the nature and estimated cost of the likely remedy. Based on reviewing the nature and extent of the allegations, PSC Metals has estimated its liability to remediate these sites to be immaterial at each of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. If it is determined that PSC Metals has liability to remediate those sites and that more expensive remediation approaches are required in the future, PSC Metals could incur additional obligations, which could be material.

In November and December of 2011, PSC Metals received three notices of violation from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources ("MDNR") for hazardous waste and water violations related to its Festus, Missouri location. PSC Metals has responded to the notices of violation and is cooperating with MDNR. PSC Metals is in the process of negotiating a settlement with MDNR that will resolve the three notices of violation referenced above. PSC Metals cannot estimate the cost of any settlement with MDNR at this time. PSC Metals believes that it has a claim for indemnification against the prior owner of the facility associated with the above-referenced notices of violation.

MDNR has undertaken sampling for lead at residences near PSC Metals' Festus yard. MDNR has indicated to PSC Metals that this sampling was initiated in response to citizen complaints regarding its Festus yard. MDNR has received the results of this sampling. PSC Metals has been provided with the MDNR sampling results and is undertaking a technical review with its environmental experts. PSC Metals has been informed by MDNR that of the approximately 50 residences that were sampled and tested, 15 tested above residential standards for lead contamination and may require some amount of limited soil remediation. Neither MDNR nor PSC Metals has undertaken a lead isotope or similar analysis that would tie the lead contamination that was discovered to a specific location or source. MDNR has requested that PSC Metals sample 19 additional residential properties to assess whether those sites are above residential standards for lead contamination. PSC Metals and MDNR are discussing the scope and extent of any future sampling and the potential for limited soil remediation. At this time, PSC Metals believes that it has adequately reserved for the cost of remediation associated with its Festus yard and the residential areas near the yard, should such remediation be required. However, as negotiations with MDNR are on-going and additional sampling could be required, PSC Metals cannot assess its liability with certainty at this time. To the extent that

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

MDNR does seek to hold PSC Metals liable for off-site contamination, PSC Metals believes that such liability was retained by the prior owner of the Festus yard and it would have a claim for indemnification against the prior owner.

In 2011, PSC Metals entered into a consent decree with the EPA regarding PSC Metals' scrap processing facility located in Cleveland, Ohio. The EPA alleged that PSC Metals violated the requirements of Section 608 of the Clean Air Act, 42 USC Section 761, which requires scrap processors to either recover refrigerants from appliances in accordance with the procedures described in the applicable federal regulations or verify through certifications that refrigerants have previously been evacuated. The consent decree includes injunctive relief that, among other things, will require PSC Metals to offer refrigerant extraction services at 11 of its scrap processing facilities through October 2015. PSC Metals estimates that the cost associated with the required injunctive relief will range from \$0.9 million to \$1.3 million, exclusive of a civil penalty of \$199,000 assessed in connection with the consent decree which PSC Metals paid in 2011.

On April 3, 2013, two citizen groups filed a citizen suit under the Clean Water Act (the "CWA") for alleged storm water and process water discharges at PSC Metals' Nashville, TN facility that the citizen groups allege violate the CWA and PSC Metals' storm water discharge permit. The CWA requires that to maintain a citizen suit, the citizen plaintiff must be able to show that the violations are on-going or are reasonably likely to reoccur. PSC Metals believes, based on its investigation to date, that the citizen plaintiffs cannot meet this burden. Based on reviewing the nature and extent of the allegations, PSC Metals currently cannot reasonably provide an estimate of range of loss.

Railcar

Environmental Matters

ARI is subject to comprehensive federal, state, local and international environmental laws and regulations relating to the release or discharge of materials into the environment, the management, use, processing, handling, storage, transport or disposal of hazardous materials and wastes, or otherwise relating to the protection of human health and the environment. These laws and regulations not only expose ARI to liability for the environmental condition of its current or formerly owned or operated facilities, and its own negligent acts, but also may expose ARI to liability for the conduct of others or for ARI's actions that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time these actions were taken. In addition, these laws may require significant expenditures to achieve compliance, and are frequently modified or revised to impose new obligations. Civil and criminal fines and penalties and other sanctions may be imposed for non-compliance with these environmental laws and regulations. ARI's operations that involve hazardous materials also raise potential risks of liability under common law. Management believes that there are no current environmental issues identified that would have a material adverse effect on ARI. Certain real property ARI acquired from ACF in 1994 had been involved in investigation and remediation activities to address contamination both before and after their transfer to ARI. As of September 30, 2013, it is ARI's understanding that no further investigation or remediation is required at these properties. Substantially all of the issues identified with these properties relate to the use of these properties prior to their transfer to ARI by ACF and for which ACF has retained liability for environmental contamination that may have existed at the time of transfer to ARI. ACF has also agreed to indemnify ARI for any cost that might be incurred with those existing issues. As of September 30, 2013, ARI does not believe it will incur material costs in connection with any investigation or remediation activities relating to these properties, but it cannot assure that this will be the case. If ACF fails to honor its obligations to ARI, ARI could be responsible for the cost of any additional investigation or remediation that may be required. ARI believes that its operations and facilities are in substantial compliance with applicable laws and regulations and that any noncompliance is not likely to have a material adverse effect on its operations or financial condition or results of operations.

Other Matters

On September 2, 2009, a complaint was filed by George Tedder (the "Plaintiff") against ARI in the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Arkansas. The Plaintiff alleged that ARI was liable for an injury that resulted during the Plaintiff's break on April 24, 2008. At trial on April 9, 2012, the jury ruled in favor of the Plaintiff. ARI's motion for remittitur of the jury was granted and the judge reduced the amount awarded to the plaintiff, which was fully accrued as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. In the first quarter of 2013, ARI filed an appeal of the revised ruling.

Gaming

Aztar v. Marsh

On August 12, 2010, the Aztar Corporation ("Aztar") filed a broker malpractice and breach of contract action in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Atlantic County, Law Division (the "Court"), against Marsh & McLennan Companies,

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Marsh, Inc., Marsh USA, Inc. and various fictitious Marsh entities (together, the "Marsh Defendants"). The claim seeks \$100 million or more in compensatory damages against the Marsh Defendants, Aztar's risk management and insurance brokers at the time of a 2002 expansion of Tropicana AC by Aztar, including, but not limited to, lost profits, expenses arising from the interruption of operations, attorneys' fees, loss of the use of the insurance proceeds at issue, and litigation expenses resulting from the Marsh Defendants' failure to secure for Aztar business interruption and property damage coverage covering losses sustained by Aztar from the collapse of a parking garage that occurred at Tropicana AC on October 30, 2003.

The Marsh Defendants filed an answer on October 20, 2010 denying the material allegations of the complaint and subsequently filed a Motion to Dismiss for Forum Non Conveniens in December 2010, which motion was denied by the Court on April 12, 2011. On August 18, 2011 the Marsh Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment arguing that the Court should apply the Arizona Statue of Limitations to the action. Aztar filed an objection to the Marsh Defendants' motion on September 23, 2011 arguing, inter alia, that the New Jersey Statute of Limitations applies to the action. The Marsh Defendants filed its Reply on October 3, 2011. The motion was argued in January 2012. In April 2012, the Court granted the Marsh Defendants' motion for Summary Judgment dismissing Aztar's complaint with prejudice. Aztar subsequently filed a Motion for Reconsideration with the Court, which was denied. In September 2012, Aztar filed an appeal of the Court's decision to dismiss the case with the Superior Court of New Jersey, Appellate Division. In September 2013, the Superior Court of New Jersey, Appellate Division denied Aztar's appeal substantially for the reasons set forth in the lower Court's decision. Aztar has filed a Petition for Certification to the New Jersey Supreme Court, which petition is pending. A hearing on the appeal was held in May 2013. Any recovery obtained by Aztar in this action will be recoverable by Tropicana as the current owner of Tropicana AC.

Tropicana AC Tax Appeal Settlement

In January 2013 we settled outstanding real estate tax appeals involving our Tropicana AC property with the City of Atlantic City. The settlement involves the tax years 2008 through 2012 and also covers negotiated real estate assessments for 2013 and 2014. Under the terms of the settlement, Tropicana AC will receive a refund of approximately \$50 million in the form of credits against future year real estate tax bills beginning in 2013 and ending in 2017. The credits are front-loaded in 2013 and 2014 with the remainder of the credits spread over the remaining three years, 2015 through 2017. Tropicana will recognize these credits as a reduction to operating expenses in the periods they are utilized.

Food Packaging

Tax Matter

During 2005, Viskase Brasil Embalagens Ltda. ("Viskase Brazil") received three tax assessments by São Paulo tax authorities with respect to Viskase Brazil's alleged failure to pay value added and sales and services tax ("ICMS") levied on the importation of raw materials, and sales of goods in and out of the State of São Paulo, and alleged improper credits taken, from 2000 through 2005. In late December 2012, São Paulo issued a decree announcing a special settlement program (the "Settlement Program") for eligible companies that wish to settle alleged ICMS liabilities arising prior to July 31, 2012. The Settlement Program offers significant reductions in interest and penalties to companies that choose to participate.

On May 29, 2013, after consulting with its legal and tax advisors and considering the inherent uncertainty surrounding the outcome of the ongoing litigation, Viskase Brazil accepted participation in the Settlement Program, which allowed it to satisfy all of its remaining ICMS liabilities and related costs for \$23 million.

Home Fashion

Environmental Matters

WPH is subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations governing, among other things, the discharge, storage, handling and disposal of a variety of hazardous and nonhazardous substances and wastes used in or resulting from its operations and potential remediation obligations. WPH's operations are also governed by U.S. federal, state, local and foreign laws, rules and regulations relating to employee safety and health which, among other things, establish exposure limitation for cotton dust, formaldehyde, asbestos and noise, and which regulate chemical, physical and ergonomic hazards in the workplace. WPH estimated its environmental accruals to be \$1 million at each of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Other Matters

Mr. Icahn, through certain affiliates, owns 100% of Icahn Enterprises GP and approximately 89.4% of Icahn Enterprises' outstanding depositary units as of September 30, 2013. Applicable pension and tax laws make each member of a "controlled group" of entities, generally defined as entities in which there is at least an 80% common ownership interest, jointly and severally liable for certain pension plan obligations of any member of the controlled group. These pension obligations include ongoing contributions to fund the plan, as well as liability for any unfunded liabilities that may exist at the time the plan is terminated. In addition, the failure to pay these pension obligations when due may result in the creation of liens in favor of the pension plan or the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") against the assets of each member of the controlled group.

As a result of the more than 80% ownership interest in us by Mr. Icahn's affiliates, we and our subsidiaries are subject to the pension liabilities of entities in which Mr. Icahn has a direct or indirect ownership interest of at least 80%. As a result of our ownership of more than 80% in our subsidiaries, we and our subsidiaries are subject to the pension liabilities of all entities in which Mr. Icahn has a direct or indirect ownership interest of at least 80%. ACF and Federal-Mogul, are the sponsors of several pension plans. All the minimum funding requirements of the Code and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended by the Pension Protection Act of 2006, for these plans have been met as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. If the plans were voluntarily terminated, they would be underfunded by approximately \$703 million and \$130 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. As discussed in Note 2, "Operating Units - Automotive," as a result of the Federal-Mogul Rights Offering during the third quarter of 2013, we purchased additional shares of Federal-Mogul common stock, thereby increasing our ownership of Federal-Mogul to 80.7%, As a result, the underfunded termination liability balance includes Federal-Mogul effective in the third quarter of 2013. These results are based on the most recent information provided by the plans' actuaries. These liabilities could increase or decrease, depending on a number of factors, including future changes in benefits, investment returns, and the assumptions used to calculate the liability. As members of the controlled group, we would be liable for any failure of ACF and Federal-Mogul to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon a termination of the pension plan obligations that are, or may become, underfunded and we would be liable for any failure of such entities to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon termination of such plans.

The current underfunded status of the pension plans of ACF and Federal-Mogul requires them to notify the PBGC of certain "reportable events," such as if we cease to be a member of the ACF and Federal-Mogul controlled group, or if we make certain extraordinary dividends or stock redemptions. The obligation to report could cause us to seek to delay or reconsider the occurrence of such reportable events.

Starfire Holding Corporation ("Starfire") which is 99.4% owned by Mr. Icahn, has undertaken to indemnify us and our subsidiaries from losses resulting from any imposition of certain pension funding or termination liabilities that may be imposed on us and our subsidiaries or our assets as a result of being a member of the Icahn controlled group. The Starfire indemnity (which does not extend to pension liabilities of our subsidiaries that would be imposed on us as a result of our interest in these subsidiaries and not as a result of Mr. Icahn and his affiliates holding more than an 80% ownership interest in us. Thus, Starfire would not indemnify us for the unfunded pension termination liability for Federal-Mogul) provides, among other things, that so long as such contingent liabilities exist and could be imposed on us, Starfire will not make any distributions to its stockholders that would reduce its net worth to below \$250 million. Nonetheless, Starfire may not be able to fund its indemnification obligations to us.

18. Subsequent Events.

Icahn Enterprises

Distribution

On November 1, 2013, the Board of Directors of the general partner of Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.25 per depositary unit, which will be paid on or about January 13, 2014 to depositary unit holders of record at the close of business on November 14, 2013. Depositary unit holders will have until December 9, 2013 to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units; if a holder does not make an election, it will automatically be deemed to have elected to receive the dividend in cash. Depositary unit holders who elect to receive additional depositary units will receive units valued at the volume weighted average trading price of the units on NASDAQ during the 20 consecutive trading days ending January 8, 2014. No fractional depositary units will be issued pursuant to the distribution payment. Icahn

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2013 (Unaudited)

Enterprises will make a cash payment in lieu of issuing fractional depositary units to any holders electing to receive depositary units. Any holders that would only be eligible to receive a fraction of a depositary unit based on the above calculation will receive a cash payment.

Investment

As previously disclosed, on October 22, 2013, Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Capital, a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Icahn Enterprises, entered into an amendment (the "Co-Manager Amendment") to the Amended and Restated Co-Manager Agreement made as of August 1, 2012 by and between Icahn Enterprises, Icahn Capital and each of David Schechter and Brett Icahn (the "Co-Manager Agreement"). As previously disclosed, under the Co-Manager Agreement each of Brett Icahn, the son of Carl Icahn, and David Schechter serves as a co-portfolio manager (together, the "Co-Managers") of a designated portfolio of assets (referred to as the "New Sargon Portfolio") within the various private investment funds comprising Icahn Enterprises' Investment segment, subject to the supervision and control of Icahn Capital and Carl Icahn. Icahn Capital owns the general partners of Icahn Partners, Icahn Partners Master Fund I, Icahn Partners Master Fund III.

The Co-Manager Amendment modifies certain provisions of the Co-Manager Agreement solely as they relate to the shares of common stock of Netflix, Inc., a Delaware corporation, held within the New Sargon Portfolio (the "Designated Shares"). Pursuant to the Co-Manager Amendment, up to 85% of the Designated Shares may be sold by Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Capital without the consent of the Co-Managers . Following the sale of any of the Designated Shares without the consent of the Co-Managers, Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Capital must make available to the New Sargon Portfolio an amount in cash or cash equivalents equal to the proceeds received from such sale. Further, pursuant to the Amendment, the shares sold without consent of the Co-Managers will be deemed to remain in the New Sargon Portfolio on a notional basis for the purposes of calculating the market value of the New Sargon Portfolio in connection with the determination of gain in the New Sargon Portfolio.

Railcar

On September 20, 2013, American Entertainment Properties Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of ours and the parent company of AEP Rail Corp ("AEP"), entered into a transaction with ARL, a company wholly owned and controlled by Carl C. Icahn. ARL is a wholly owned subsidiary of IRL Holding LLC ("IRL".) Prior to the closing of the transaction, which took place on October 2, 2013, AEP bought out the remainder of a management contract between AEP Leasing LLC ("AEP Leasing") and ARL for \$21 million, and ARL distributed \$71 million in cash and \$171 million in notes receivable (including interest accrued) to its parent company, IRL. Pursuant to a contribution agreement dated September 20, 2013 by and among AEP, IRL, ARL and IEP Energy Holding LLC (the "ARL Contribution Agreement"), at the closing of the transaction, AEP contributed \$279 million in cash to ARL, and will, on January 1, 2014, contribute the fair market value of its 100% ownership interest in AEP Leasing to ARL, for aggregate consideration consisting of a 75% membership interest in the New ARL, which is expected to incur additional debt of \$381 million. Pursuant to the ARL Contribution Agreement, the New ARL will distribute \$381 million in cash to IRL after such new debt is incurred.

The New ARL is an entity under common control. Accordingly, the consolidated financial statements and footnotes will include the assets and operations of the New ARL for all periods presented effective in the fourth quarter of 2013. In addition, all earnings and capital transactions prior to our investment in the New ARL will be allocated to non-controlling interests.

The transaction was approved by a special committee of independent members of our board of directors. The special committee was advised by its own legal counsel and independent financial adviser with respect to the transaction. The special committee received an opinion from its financial adviser as to the fairness to us, from a financial point of view, of the consideration paid by us.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Partners of Icahn Enterprises L.P.

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Icahn Enterprises L.P. and subsidiaries (the "Partnership") (a Delaware limited partnership) as of September 30, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated statement of changes in equity for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013. These consolidated interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management.

We were furnished with the report of other accountants on their reviews of the condensed consolidated interim financial statements of CVR Energy, Inc., a subsidiary, whose total assets as of September 30, 2012 were \$3,652 million, and whose revenues for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 and the period from May 5, 2012 to September 30, 2012, constituted \$2,410 million and \$3,882 million, respectively, of the related consolidated totals.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews and the report of other accountants, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated interim financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of the Partnership as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our audit report dated March 14, 2013, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. Our report made reference to the report of other auditors as it relates to amounts included for CVR Energy, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2012. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/Grant Thornton LLP

New York, New York November 4, 2013

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Partners of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. and subsidiaries (the "Partnership") (a Delaware limited partnership) as of September 30, 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the consolidated statement of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated statement of changes in equity for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2013. These consolidated interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management.

We were furnished with the report of other accountants on their reviews of the condensed consolidated interim financial statements of CVR Energy, Inc., a subsidiary, whose total assets as of September 30, 2012 were \$3,652 million, and whose revenues for the three-month period ended September 30, 2012 and the period from May 5, 2012 to September 30, 2012, constituted \$2,410 million and \$3,882 million, respectively, of the related consolidated totals.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews and the report of other accountants, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated interim financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of the Partnership as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our audit report dated March 14, 2013, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. Our report made reference to the report of other auditors as it relates to amounts included for CVR Energy, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2012. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/Grant Thornton LLP

New York, New York November 4, 2013

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion is intended to assist you in understanding our present business and the results of operations together with our present financial condition. This section should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying notes contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2013 (this "Report").

Overview

Introduction

Icahn Enterprises L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises") is a master limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. ("Icahn Enterprises Holdings") is a limited partnership formed in Delaware on February 17, 1987. References to "we," "our" or "us" herein include both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings and their subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

Icahn Enterprises owns a 99% limited partner interest in Icahn Enterprises Holdings. Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc. ("Icahn Enterprises GP"), which is owned and controlled by Mr. Carl C. Icahn, owns a 1% general partner interest in each of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings as of September 30, 2013. Icahn Enterprises Holdings and its subsidiaries own substantially all of our assets and liabilities and conduct substantially all of our operations. Therefore, the financial results of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings are substantially the same, with differences relating primarily to debt, as discussed further in Note 10, "Debt," to the consolidated financial statements, and to the allocation of the general partner interest, which is reflected as an aggregate 1.99% general partner interest in the financial statements of Icahn Enterprises. In addition to the above, Mr. Icahn and his affiliates owned 100,436,406, or approximately 89.4%, of Icahn Enterprises' outstanding depositary units as of September 30, 2013.

We are a diversified holding company owning subsidiaries currently engaged in the following continuing operating businesses: Investment, Automotive, Energy, Metals, Railcar, Gaming, Food Packaging, Real Estate and Home Fashion. We also report the results of our Holding Company, which includes the results of certain subsidiaries of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings (unless otherwise noted), and investment activity and expenses associated with the Holding Company.

Equity Offering

On February 28, 2013, Icahn Enterprises entered into an underwriting agreement ("February 2013 Underwriting Agreement") with Jefferies & Company, Inc., providing for the issuance and purchase of an aggregate of 3,174,604 depositary units representing limited partner interests in Icahn Enterprises at a price to the public of \$63.00 per depositary unit. The depositary units were delivered to the unitholders on March 6, 2013. Pursuant to the February 2013 Underwriting Agreement, Icahn Enterprises also granted Jefferies & Company, Inc. a 30-day option to purchase up to 476,191 additional depositary units at the same public offering price, which expired unexercised.

On June 12, 2013, Icahn Enterprises entered into an underwriting agreement ("June 2013 Underwriting Agreement") with Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, UBS Securities LLC, Jefferies LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc., Wunderlich Securities, Inc. and KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc. (the "Underwriters"), providing for the issuance and purchase of an aggregate of 1,600,000 depositary units representing limited partner interests in Icahn Enterprises at a price to the public of \$75.54 per depositary unit. The depositary units were delivered to the unitholders on June 17, 2013. Pursuant to the June 2013 Underwriting Agreement, Icahn Enterprises also granted the Underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional aggregate 240,000 additional depositary units at the same public offering price, which expired unexercised.

Aggregate proceeds from these equity offerings were \$311 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and other offering related fees and expenses. Additionally, in connection with these equity offerings, our general partner made aggregate contribution of \$6 million to Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 in order to maintain its 1% general partner interest in each of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings.

Debt Offering

On August 1, 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes due 2020 (the "2020 Notes") in a private placement not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

In connection with the issuance of the 2020 Notes, pursuant to a certain registration rights agreement, dated August 1, 2013, on September 26, 2013, we filed an initial registration statement on Form S-4 with respect to the 2020 Notes for the sole

purpose of exchanging the unregistered 2020 Notes for registered Exchange Notes. The exchange offer registration statement on Form S-4 with respect to the 2020 Notes has not been declared effective by the SEC as of the date of this Report.

Investment in American Railcar Leasing, LLC

On September 20, 2013, American Entertainment Properties Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of ours and the parent company of AEP Rail Corp ("AEP"), entered into a transaction with American Railcar Leasing LLC ("ARL"), a company wholly owned and controlled by Carl C. Icahn. ARL is a wholly owned subsidiary of IRL Holding LLC ("IRL".) Prior to the closing of the transaction, which took place on October 2, 2013, AEP bought out the remainder of a management contract between AEP Leasing LLC ("AEP Leasing") and ARL for approximately \$21 million, and ARL distributed \$71 million in cash and approximately \$171 million in notes receivable (including interest accrued) to its parent company, IRL. Pursuant to a contribution agreement dated September 20, 2013 by and among AEP, IRL, ARL and IEP Energy Holding LLC (the "Contribution Agreement"), at the closing of the transaction, AEP contributed approximately \$279 million in cash to ARL, and will, on January 1, 2014, contribute the fair market value of its 100% ownership interest in AEP Leasing to ARL, for aggregate consideration consisting of a 75% membership interest in the newly capitalized ARL ("New ARL"), which is expected to incur additional debt of approximately \$381 million. Pursuant to the Contribution Agreement, the New ARL will distribute approximately \$381 million in cash to IRL after such new debt is incurred.

The New ARL is an entity under common control. Accordingly, the consolidated financial statements and footnotes will include the assets and operations of the New ARL for all periods presented effective in the fourth quarter of 2013. In addition, all earnings and capital transactions prior to our investment in the New ARL will be allocated to non-controlling interests.

The transaction was approved by a special committee of independent members of our board of directors. The special committee was advised by its own legal counsel and independent financial adviser with respect to the transaction. The special committee received an opinion from its financial adviser as to the fairness to us, from a financial point of view, of the consideration paid by us.

Results of Operations

Consolidated Financial Results

The following table summarizes total revenues, net income (loss) and net income (loss) attributable to Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings for each of our reporting segments and the Holding Company for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

			enues			Net Inco		· · ·		Income (Loss) A Enter Icahn Enterp	prises rises I	/ Holdings
	Three Months Ended September 30,				_	Three Months En	ded		Three Months Ended Septe			<u> </u>
		2013		2012		2013		2012		2013		2012
						(in mil	lions	s)				
Investment	\$	1,271	\$	(62)	\$	1,223	\$	(69)	\$	529	\$	(27)
Automotive		1,710		1,600		24		(10)		18		(8)
Energy ⁽¹⁾		2,056		2,241		68		212		33		166
Metals		243		236		(5)		(5)		(5)		(5)
Railcar		146		150		13		12		4		6
Gaming		153		177		8		22		5		15
Food Packaging		94		86		4		2		3		2
Real Estate		23		24		4		6		4		6
Home Fashion		47		54		1		(5)		1		(5)
Holding Company		(22)		(3)		(120)		(66)		(120)		(66)
	\$	5,721	\$	4,503	\$	1,220	\$	99	\$	472	\$	84

		Rev	•		Net Inco	me (I	Loss)	Icahn Enterprises Holdings				
	Niı	ne Months En	ded S	eptember 30,		Nine Months End	led S	eptember 30,	Ni	ine Months End	ded S	eptember 30,
	· <u> </u>	2013		2012	2013		2012		2013			2012
						(in mil	lions)					
Investment	\$	1,706	\$	304	\$	1,616	\$	284	\$	690	\$	121
Automotive		5,177		5,083		46		55		31		38
Energy ⁽¹⁾		6,735		3,651		552		281		340		219
Metals		737		872		(18)		(21)		(18)		(21)
Railcar		433		488		43		37		15		20
Gaming		445		488		28		36		18		25
Food Packaging		251		253		(10)		4		(7)		3
Real Estate		65		69		12		17		12		17
Home Fashion		144		176		(5)		(16)		(5)		(16)
Holding Company		(35)		29		(273)		(16)		(273)		(16)
	\$	15,658	\$	11,413	\$	1,991	\$	661	\$	803	\$	390

⁽¹⁾ We consolidated CVR effective May 4, 2012.

Overview

Our operating businesses are managed on a decentralized basis. Due to the structure of our business, we discuss the results of operations below by individual reporting segments. Refer to Note 2, "Operating Units," to the consolidated financial statements for a description of each of our operating segments and Note 13, "Segment Reporting," for a reconciliation of each of our reporting segment's results of operations to our consolidated results.

Investment

Our Investment segment is comprised of various private investment funds, including Icahn Partners L.P. ("Icahn Partners"), Icahn Partners Master Fund LP, Icahn Partners Master Fund II LP and Icahn Partners Master Fund III LP (collectively, the "Master Funds", and together with Icahn Partners, the "Investment Funds"), through which we invest our proprietary capital. We and certain of Mr. Icahn's wholly owned affiliates are the sole investors in the Investment Funds. Icahn Onshore LP and Icahn Offshore LP (together, the "General Partners") act as the general partner of Icahn Partners and the Master Funds, respectively. The General Partners provide investment advisory and certain administrative and back office services to the Investment Funds but do not provide such services to any other entities, individuals or accounts. Interests in the Investment Funds are not offered to outside investors.

Mr. Icahn, along with his affiliates (excluding Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings), makes investments in the Investment Funds. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the total fair market value of investments in the Investment Funds made by Mr. Icahn and his affiliates was approximately \$4.5 billion and \$3.5 billion, respectively.

Our Interests in the Investment Funds

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had investments with a fair market value of approximately \$3.6 billion and \$2.4 billion, respectively, in the Investment Funds.

Our share of the Investment Funds' net profit (loss) through our interests in the Investment Funds was \$529 million and \$(27) million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$690 million and \$121 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Returns

The following table sets forth performance information for the Investment Funds for the comparative periods presented. These returns represent a weighted-average composite of the average returns, net of expenses for the Investment Funds.

		Retu	rns	
	Three Months En	ded September 30,	Nine Months Ende	d September 30,
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Investment Funds	18.4%	-1.2 %	26.3%	5.0%

The Investment Funds' aggregate gross return was 18.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2013. During the third quarter of 2013, the Investment Funds' performance was driven by gains in long equity positions, primarily in a few of the largest core holdings. These gains were partially offset by the Investment Funds' short equity exposure, including broad market hedges.

The Investment Funds' aggregate gross return was -1.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Losses were primarily due to the Investment Funds' defensive short positions, partially offset by gains in certain core holdings.

The Investment Funds' aggregate gross return was 26.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. During the first nine months of 2013, the Investment Funds' performance was driven by gains in long equity positions, primarily in a few of the largest core holdings. The Investment Funds' short equity exposure, including broad market hedges, was a negative contributor to performance as equity markets rallied in the first nine months of 2013.

The Investment Funds' aggregate gross return was 5.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Gains were primarily due to the Investment Funds' long exposure to the equity markets which were driven by certain core holdings. These gains were offset in part by the Investment Funds' short equity exposure, including broad market hedges.

From inception in November 2004 through September 30, 2013, the Investment Funds' gross return was 245%, representing an annualized rate of return of 15%.

Automotive

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30				
	2013 2012					2013	2012			
				(in mi	illions)					
Net sales	\$	1,713	\$	1,602	\$	5,212	\$	5,070		
Cost of goods sold		1,459		1,390		4,430		4,327		
Gross margin	\$	254	\$	212	\$	782	\$	743		

Federal-Mogul's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q contain a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. Federal-Mogul's filings with the SEC are available on the SEC's website at *www.sec.gov*.

Federal-Mogul is a leading global supplier of technology and innovation in vehicle and industrial products for fuel economy, emissions reduction, alternative energies, environment and safety systems. Federal-Mogul serves the world's foremost original equipment manufacturers (each an "OEM") and servicers (each an "OES") of automotive, light, medium and heavy-duty commercial vehicles, off-road, agricultural, marine, rail, aerospace, power generation and industrial equipment, as well as the worldwide aftermarket. Federal-Mogul seeks to participate in both of these markets by leveraging its original equipment product engineering and development capability, manufacturing know-how, and expertise in managing a broad and deep range of replacement parts to service the aftermarket. Federal-Mogul believes that it is uniquely positioned to effectively manage the life cycle of a broad range of products to a diverse customer base.

Geographically, Federal-Mogul derived 37% of its net sales in the United States and 63% internationally during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Federal-Mogul has operations in established markets including France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States, and developing markets including Argentina, Brazil, China, Czech Republic, Hungary, India, Korea, Mexico, Poland, Russia, South Africa, Thailand, and Turkey. The attendant risks of Federal-Mogul's international operations are primarily related to currency fluctuations, changes in local economic and political conditions, and changes in laws and regulations.

Federal-Mogul operates in an extremely competitive industry, driven by global vehicle production volumes and part replacement trends. Business is typically awarded to the supplier offering the most favorable combination of cost, quality, technology and service. Customers continue to require periodic cost reductions that require Federal-Mogul to continually assess, redefine and improve its operations, products, and manufacturing capabilities to maintain and improve profitability. Management continues to develop and execute initiatives to meet the challenges of the industry and to achieve its strategy for sustainable global profitable growth.

Effective September 1, 2012, Federal-Mogul began operating as two unique end-customer focused business units. The Powertrain ("PT") business unit focuses on original equipment products for automotive, heavy duty and industrial applications. The Vehicle Components Solutions ("VCS") business unit sells and distributes a broad portfolio of products in the global aftermarket, while also serving original equipment manufacturers with products including braking, chassis, wipers and other vehicle components. The new organizational model is designed to allow for a strong product line focus benefiting both original equipment and aftermarket customers to enable the global Federal-Mogul teams to be responsive to customers' needs for superior products and to promote greater identification with Federal-Mogul premium brands. The division of the global Federal-Mogul business into two operating business units is expected to enhance management focus to capitalize on opportunities for organic or acquisition growth, profit improvement, resource utilization and business model optimization in line with the unique requirements of the two different customer bases.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period increased by \$111 million (7%). The impact of foreign currency increased sales by \$13 million, and the constant dollar sales increase was \$98 million. This constant dollar sales growth is comprised of an increase in sales in Europe of \$55 million (8%), including sales directly related to the acquisition of the spark plug business from BorgWarner, Inc. ("BWA") of \$13 million and sales from the European distribution agreement for ignition products of \$27 million, an increase in sales in North America of \$29 million (4%), and an increase in sales in the rest of the world ("ROW") of \$14 million (7%).

Our Automotive segment's constant dollar sales growth of \$98 million is primarily driven by an increase in sales in the PT business unit of \$77 million (9%). This increase is driven by additional demand for the PT business unit's products in the European market where sales increased by \$14 million (3%). This is compared to a decline in European light vehicle and commercial vehicle production of 1% and 7%, respectively. In North America, sales increased by \$42 million (14%) compared to an increase in both light vehicle and commercial vehicle production of 1% and 5%, respectively. In ROW, including Asia, as PT business unit's presence in the emerging market light vehicle market grew, sales increased by \$21 million (15%). When taking into account this regional and market mix of PT business unit's sales, sales therefore grew in excess of underlying market demand.

Cost of goods sold for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period increased by \$69 million (5%). The increase in materials, labor and overhead as a direct result of the increase in sales volumes was \$100 million and currency impacts of \$10 million, offset in part by savings in material costs of \$20 million and from acquisitions/dispositions of \$19 million.

Gross margin for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period increased by \$42 million (20%). Gross margin was 15% and 13% of net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The favorable impact on margins due to the volume increase was \$21 million. Productivity and acquisitions/dispositions increased gross margin by \$4 million each. The favorable impact on margins from materials and services sourcing savings was \$20 million, partially offset by \$8 million of unfavorable customer pricing.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period increased by \$142 million (3%). The impact of foreign currency increased sales by \$13 million, and the constant dollar sales increase was \$129 million. This constant dollar sales growth is comprised of an increase in sales in Europe of \$88 million (4%), including sales directly related to the acquisition of the spark plug business from BorgWarner, Inc. of \$43 million and sales from the European distribution agreement for ignition products of \$82 million, an increase in sales in North America of \$12 million (1%) and an increase in sales in ROW of \$29 million (5%).

The constant dollar sales increase was comprised of PT business unit increases of \$90 million and VCS business increases of \$39 million.

Given the PT business unit's weighted market presence in the light vehicle, commercial vehicle and industrial markets and the year-over-year changes in production rates for those markets, the expected change in PT business unit's sales would indicate a decline of 1%. However, the PT business unit's sales increased by 3%, reflecting growth in excess of the underlying market. This was driven by an increase in sales in North America of \$64 million (7%), an increase in sales in ROW of \$46 million (10%) and a decrease in sales in Europe of \$20 million (1%).

In the VCS business unit, constant dollar sales increased by \$39 million including the impact of sales from the European distribution agreement for ignition products of \$82 million, and therefore sales in Europe increased by 15%. This was partly offset by a decrease in sales in ROW of 11% and a decrease in North America of 4%. This reflects the cessation of selected non-strategic business contracts as well as a softening in the export business, mainly into Venezuela as a result of a tightening in exchange rate control in the country.

Cost of goods sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period increased by \$103 million (2%). The increase in materials, labor and overheads as a direct result of the increase in sales volumes/mix was \$155 million. Materials and sourcing savings of \$55 million and activity from acquisitions/dispositions of \$22 million were partly offset by additional costs of \$3 million and currency movements of \$18 million.

Gross margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period increased by \$39 million (5%). Gross margin was 15% and 15% of net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in gross margin was primarily driven by materials and services sourcing savings of \$55 million as well as an increase from acquisitions/dispositions of \$11 million and reduced pension of \$5 million. This was offset by a negative sales volume/mix gross margin impact of \$4 million, \$11 million of unfavorable customer pricing, \$9 million of increased depreciation, \$5 million of currency movements and \$3 million of unfavorable productivity.

Energy

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013), 2013	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012							
	Petroleum		Fertilizer		Total		Petroleum		Fertilizer		Total		
					(in mi	llions)				_		
Net sales	\$ 1,908	\$	69	\$	1,977	\$	2,335	\$	75	\$	2,410		
Cost of goods sold	1,873		46		1,919		1,815		42		1,857		
Gross margin	\$ 35	\$	23	\$	58	\$	520	\$	33	\$	553		
	_	nths Ended September 30, 2013								_			
	 Nine Moi	ıths	Ended September	r 30,	, 2013		Period May	5, 20	012 through Septer	nbe	r 30, 2012		
	 Nine Mor Petroleum	nths	Ended September Fertilizer	r 30,	, 2013 Total		Period May Petroleum	5, 20	012 through Septer Fertilizer	nbe	r 30, 2012 Total		
		nths		r 30,		llions	Petroleum	5, 20		nbe			
Net sales	\$	s \$		r 30,	Total	llions)	Petroleum	5, 20 \$		nbe \$			
Net sales Cost of goods sold	\$ Petroleum		Fertilizer		Total (in mi		Petroleum		Fertilizer		Total		

The following table provides a reconciliation of our Energy segment's petroleum business' gross margin to refining margin and refining margin adjusted for FIFO impacts for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	od May 5, 2012 gh September 30, 2012
		(in millions, exce		
Net sales	\$ 1,908	\$ 2,335	\$ 6,311	\$ 3,698
Cost of goods sold	1,873	1,815	5,688	3,052
Gross margin	35	 520	623	646
Add back:				
Direct operating expenses	105	89	275	136
Depreciation and amortization	36	34	108	58
Fair value inventory adjustment	_	_	_	23
Refining margin	176	643	1,006	863
FIFO impacts (favorable) unfavorable	(54)	(51)	(83)	52
Refining margin adjusted for FIFO impacts	\$ 122	\$ 592	\$ 923	\$ 915
Gross margin per barrel	\$ 2.37	\$ 29.35	\$ 12.49	\$ 22.47
Refining margin per barrel	11.89	36.31	20.15	30.01
Refining margin per barrel adjusted for FIFO impacts	8.21	33.44	18.48	31.81
Total crude oil throughput (barrels per day)	160,700	192,563	182,700	192,975

CVR's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q contain a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. CVR's filings with the SEC are available on the SEC's website at *www.sec.gov*.

We acquired a controlling interest in CVR on May 4, 2012. CVR is a diversified holding company primarily engaged in the petroleum refining and nitrogen fertilizer manufacturing industries through its holdings in CVR Refining, LP ("CVR Refining") and CVR Partners, LP ("CVR Partners"), respectively. CVR Refining is an independent petroleum refiner and marketer of high value transportation fuels. CVR Partners produces nitrogen fertilizers in the form of ammonia and urea ammonium nitrate ("UAN"). As of September 30, 2013, following various equity offerings as discussed below, CVR owned the general partner and approximately 71% of the common units of CVR Refining (including 100% of CVR Refining GP, LLC, its general partner) and approximately 53% of the common units of CVR Partners (including 100% of CVR GP, LLC, its general partner).

As of September 30, 2013, we owned 82.0% of the total outstanding common stock of CVR. In addition, as of September 30, 2013, as a result of purchasing common units of CVR Refining as discussed below, Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings owned approximately 4.0% of the total outstanding common stock of CVR Refining directly.

Equity Offerings

On January 23, 2013, CVR Refining completed its initial public offering ("CVR Refining IPO") of its common units representing limited partner interests, resulting in gross proceeds of \$600 million, before giving effect to underwriting discounts and other offering expenses. Included in these proceeds is \$100 million paid by us for the purchase of common units of CVR Refining in connection with the CVR Refining IPO. Additionally, on January 30, 2013, additional common units of CVR Refining were issued pursuant to the underwriters' exercise of their overallotment option, resulting in gross proceeds of \$90 million, before giving effect to underwriting discounts and other offering costs.

On May 20, 2013, CVR Refining completed an underwritten offering of its common units representing limited partner interests, and on June 10, 2013 issued additional common units pursuant to the underwriters' exercise of their overallotment option, resulting in gross proceeds of \$406 million before giving effect to underwriting discounts and offering expenses. In addition, we purchased approximately \$62 million of common units of CVR Refining in a privately negotiated transaction with CVR. CVR Refining did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of common units of CVR Refining to us.

On May 28, 2013, Coffeyville Resources, LLC ("CRLLC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of CVR, completed a secondary offering of common units of CVR Partners. Additionally, the underwriters were granted an option to purchase additional units at the public offering price, which expired unexercised at the end of the option period. The gross proceeds to CRLLC from this secondary offering were \$302 million. CVR Partners did not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of common units by CRLLC.

Major Influences on Results of Operations

Our Energy segment's earnings and cash flows from its petroleum operations are primarily affected by the relationship between refined product prices and the prices for crude oil and other feedstocks. In the nitrogen fertilizer business, earnings and cash flows from operations are primarily affected by the relationship among nitrogen fertilizer product prices, on-stream factors and direct operating expenses.

The prices of crude oil and other feedstocks and refined product prices are also affected by other factors, such as product pipeline capacity, local market conditions and the operating levels of competing refineries. Crude oil costs and the prices of refined products have historically been subject to wide fluctuations. Widespread expansion or upgrades of competitors' facilities, price volatility, international political and economic developments and other factors are likely to continue to play an important role in refining industry economics. These factors can impact, among other things, the level of inventories in the market, resulting in price volatility and a reduction in product margins. Moreover, the refining industry typically experiences seasonal fluctuations in demand for refined products, such as increases in the demand for gasoline during the summer driving season and for home heating oil during the winter, primarily in the Northeast. In addition to current market conditions, there are long-term factors that may impact the demand for refined products. These factors include mandated renewable fuels standards, proposed climate change laws and regulations, and increased mileage standards for vehicles. The petroleum refining industry is also subject to the EPA's Renewable Fuel Standard ("RFS"), which requires it to blend "renewable fuels" with its transportation fuels or purchase renewable energy credits, known as renewable identification numbers ("RINs"), in lieu of blending.

The EPA is required to determine and publish the applicable annual renewable fuel percentage standards for each compliance year by November 30 for the forthcoming year. The percentage standards represent the ratio of renewable fuel volume to gasoline and diesel volume. On August 6, 2013, the EPA announced the final 2013 renewable fuel percentage standard would be raised to 9.74%. In 2013, the Wynnewood refinery will be subject to the RFS for the first time, unless the Wynnewood refinery receives relief from the rule in 2013 based on the "disproportionate economic impact" of the rule on the Wynnewood refinery, and the cost of RINs became extremely volatile and significantly higher than the cost during the comparable 2012 period. The cost of RINs for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and the period May 5, 2012 through December 31, 2012 was approximately \$7 million and \$15 million, respectively, and the costs of RINs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was approximately \$57 million and \$155 million, respectively. The ultimate cost of RINs for the petroleum business in 2013 is difficult to estimate. In particular, the cost of RINs is dependent upon a variety of factors, which include the price at which RINs can be purchased, transportation fuel production levels, the mix of the petroleum business' petroleum products, as well as the fuel blending performed at its refineries, all of which can vary significantly from quarter to quarter. Based upon recent market prices of RINs and current estimates related to the other variable factors, the petroleum business estimates that the total cost of RINs will be approximately \$175 million to \$190 million for the year ending December 31, 2013.

If sufficient RINs are unavailable for purchase at times when the petroleum business seeks to purchase RINs, if the petroleum business has to pay a significantly higher price for RINs, or if the petroleum business is subject to penalties as a result of delays in its ability to timely deliver RINs to the EPA, our Energy segment's financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. Many petroleum refiners blend renewable fuel into their transportation fuels and do not have to pass on the costs of compliance through the purchase of RINs to their customers. Therefore, it may be significantly harder for the petroleum business to pass on the costs of compliance with RFS to its customers. Because the cost of purchasing RINs has been extremely volatile and has significantly increased over the last year, the Wynnewood refinery has petitioned the EPA as a "small refinery" for hardship relief from the RFS2 requirements in 2013 and 2014.

Refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel is a measurement calculated as the difference between net sales and cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization, direct operating expenses and fair value inventory adjustments) and refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel adjusted for FIFO impact is a measurement calculated as the difference between net sales and cost of goods sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization, direct operating expenses and fair value inventory adjustments) adjusted for FIFO impacts. Refining margin and refining margin adjusted for FIFO impact are non-GAAP

measures that we believe are important to investors in evaluating our Energy segment refineries' performance as a general indication of the amount above our Energy segment's cost of goods sold (taking into account the impact of utilization of FIFO) they are able to sell refined products. We believe that refining margin and refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel is important to enable investors to better understand and evaluate our Energy segment's ongoing operating results and allow for greater transparency in the review of our overall financial, operational and economic performance. In addition, we believe that presenting refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel adjusted for FIFO impact is useful to investors because this measure more accurately reflects the current operating environment.

In order to derive the refining margin per crude oil throughput barrel, our Energy segment utilizes the total dollar figures for refining margin, as derived above, and divides that by the applicable number of crude oil throughput barrels for the period. Our Energy segment's calculation of refining margin and refining margin adjusted for FIFO impact may differ from calculations of other companies in the industry, thereby limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. Under our Energy segment's FIFO accounting method, changes in crude oil prices can cause fluctuations in the inventory valuation of our Energy segment's crude oil, work in process and finished goods, thereby resulting in favorable FIFO impacts when crude oil prices increase and unfavorable FIFO impacts when crude oil prices decrease.

In assessing the operating performance of the nitrogen fertilizer business, CVR calculates plant gate price to determine its operating margin. Plant gate price refers to the unit price of nitrogen fertilizer, in dollars per ton, offered on a delivered basis, excluding shipment costs.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Net sales for the petroleum business decreased by \$427 million (18%) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period and was primarily due to lower overall sales volume due to the fluid catalytic cracking unit ("FCCU") outage at the Coffeyville refinery and lower product prices. The FCCU outage was offline for approximately 55 days for necessary repairs during the three months ended September 30, 2013, resulting in significantly reduced crude throughput and production at the Coffeyville refinery. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, CVR's petroleum business sold approximately 7.7 million and 6.5 million barrels of gasoline and distillate, respectively, with an average sales price per gallon for gasoline and 3.07, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, CVR's petroleum business sold approximately 9.6 million and 7.6 million barrels of gasoline and distillate, respectively, with an average sales price per gallon for gasoline and distillate of \$3.03 and \$3.15, respectively.

Net sales for the fertilizer business decreased by \$6 million (8%) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period, primarily due to lower ammonia sales volumes, lower UAN sales prices, and lower ammonia sales prices, offset in part by higher UAN sales volumes. Net sales for the fertilizer business for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was \$69 million, of which \$2 million and \$67 million were attributable to ammonia and UAN, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, CVR sold 3,251 tons and 226,714 tons of ammonia and UAN, respectively, with an average plant gate price per ton of \$533 and \$293, respectively. Plant gate prices are prices at the designated delivery point less any freight cost we absorb to deliver the product. CVR believes plant gate price is meaningful because it sells products both at its plant gate (sold plant) and delivered to the customer's designated delivery site (sold delivered) and the percentage of sold plant versus sold delivered can change month-to-month or quarter-to-quarter. On-stream factors (total number of hours operated divided by total hours in the reporting period) for the gasification, ammonia and UAN units were 91.2%, 90.1% and 89.5%, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2013. Excluding the impact of the downtime associated with the planned replacement of the damaged catalyst in July, the on-stream rates for the three months ended September 30, 2013 for the gasification, ammonia and UAN units would have been 98.7%, 98.2% and 97.8%, respectively.

Net sales for the fertilizer business for three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$75 million, of which \$18 million and \$57 million were attributable to ammonia and UAN, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, CVR sold 30,197 tons and 175,059 tons of ammonia and UAN, respectively, with an average plant gate price of \$578 and \$290 per ton, respectively. On-stream factors (total number of hours operated divided by total hours in the reporting period) for the gasification, ammonia and UAN units were 99.1%, 98.4% and 96.9%, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Cost of goods sold for the petroleum business increased by \$58 million (3%) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period, primarily due to an increase in the cost of RINS and higher crude oil prices, partially offset by lower sales volumes. Cost of goods sold for the petroleum business includes cost of crude oil, other feedstocks and blendstocks, purchased products for resale, RINs, transportation distribution costs, costs associated with the actual operations of CVR's refineries (such costs are collectively referred to as "direct operating expenses") such as energy and utility costs, property taxes, catalyst and chemical costs, repairs and maintenance and labor and environmental compliance costs. In addition, cost of goods sold includes depreciation and amortization. As further discussed above, during 2013, our Energy segment was negatively impacted by the increasing cost of RINs.

The petroleum business' average cost per barrel of crude oil consumed for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$104.48 and \$87.80, respectively. The impact of FIFO accounting also impacted cost of product sold for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Under our FIFO accounting method, changes in crude oil prices can cause fluctuations in the inventory valuation of our crude oil, work in process and finished goods, thereby resulting in a favorable FIFO inventory impact when crude oil prices increase and an unfavorable FIFO inventory impact when crude oil prices decrease. For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, the petroleum business had a favorable FIFO inventory impact of \$54 million and \$51 million, respectively.

Refining margin per barrel of crude oil throughput for the petroleum business was \$11.89 and \$36.31 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Refining margin adjusted for FIFO impact for CVR's petroleum business as \$8.21 and \$33.44 per crude oil throughput barrel for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Gross margin per barrel for the petroleum business was \$2.37 and \$29.35 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in refining margin and gross margin per barrel for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period was primarily due to decrease in sales and an increase in crude oil prices.

The fertilizer business' cost of goods sold increased by \$4 million (10%) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period, primarily due to costs associated with increased ammonia purchases and increased freight costs. Cost of goods sold for the fertilizer business is primarily comprised of pet coke expense, freight expense, distribution expense, direct operating expenses and depreciation and amortization.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

As noted above, we consolidate CVR effective May 4, 2012 and therefore, our Energy segment results for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 are not comparable to the prior year period.

Net sales for the petroleum business for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was approximately \$6.3 billion. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, CVR's petroleum business sold 26.5 million and 21.8 million barrels of gasoline and distillate, respectively, with an average sales price per gallon for gasoline and distillate of \$2.86 and \$3.04, respectively. For the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, CVR's petroleum business sold 15.6 million and 12.6 million barrels of gasoline and distillate, respectively, with an average sales price per gallon for gasoline and distillate of \$2.94 and \$3.02, respectively.

Net sales for the fertilizer business for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$239 million, of which \$25 million, \$209 million and \$5 million were attributable to ammonia, UAN and hydrogen, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, CVR sold 37,891 tons and 638,142 tons of ammonia and UAN, respectively, with an average plant gate price of \$672 and \$328 per ton, respectively. The sales volume of UAN for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 benefited from the UAN expansion coming online during the first quarter of 2013. On-stream factors (total number of hours operated divided by total hours in the reporting period) for the gasification, ammonia and UAN units were 99.3%, 98.7% and 97.7%, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Excluding the impacts of the UAN expansion coming on-line, the planned downtime associated with the replacement of the damaged catalyst, the unplanned Linde air separation unit outages and the planned downtime associated with weather issues, the on-stream rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 for the gasification, ammonia and UAN units would have been 99.3%, 98.7% and 97.7%, respectively.

For the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, the fertilizer business recognized net sales of \$124 million, of which \$26 million and \$98 million were attributable to ammonia and UAN, respectively. For the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, CVR sold 44,108 tons and 283,880 tons of ammonia and UAN, respectively, with an average plant gate price of \$573 and \$309, respectively. Plant gate prices are prices at the designated delivery point less any freight cost we absorb to deliver the product. CVR believes plant gate price is meaningful because it sells products both at its plant gate (sold plant) and delivered to the customer's designated delivery site (sold delivered) and the percentage of sold plant versus sold delivered can change month-to-month or quarter-to-quarter. On-stream factors (total number of hours operated divided by total hours in the reporting period) for the gasification, ammonia and UAN units continue to demonstrate their reliability with the units reporting 98.9%, 97.8% and 96.5%, respectively, on-stream for the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012.

Cost of goods sold for the petroleum business for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and for the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012 was approximately \$5.7 billion and \$3.1 billion, respectively. Cost of goods sold for the petroleum business includes cost of crude oil, other feedstocks and blendstocks, purchased products for resale, RINs, transportation distribution costs, costs associated with the actual operations of CVR's refineries (such costs are collectively referred to as "direct operating expenses") such as energy and utility costs, property taxes, catalyst and chemical costs, repairs and maintenance and labor and environmental compliance costs. In addition, cost of goods sold includes depreciation and amortization. The petroleum business' average cost per barrel of crude oil consumed for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and for the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2013 and the period May 5, 2012

through September 30, 2012. Under our FIFO accounting method, changes in crude oil prices can cause fluctuations in the inventory valuation of our crude oil, work in process and finished goods, thereby resulting in a favorable FIFO inventory impact when crude oil prices increase and an unfavorable FIFO inventory impact when crude oil prices decrease. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the period May 5, 2012 through September 31, 2012, the petroleum business had a (favorable) unfavorable FIFO inventory impact of approximately \$(83) million and \$52 million, respectively.

Refining margin per barrel of crude oil throughput for the petroleum business was \$20.15 and \$30.01 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, respectively. Refining margin adjusted for FIFO impact for CVR's petroleum business was \$18.48 and \$31.81 per barrel of crude oil throughput barrel for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, the petroleum business had a (favorable) unfavorable FIFO inventory impact of approximately \$(83) million and \$52 million, respectively. Gross margin per barrel for our petroleum business was \$12.49 and \$22.47 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012, respectively.

The fertilizer business' cost of goods sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and for the period May 5, 2012 through September 30, 2012 was \$137 million and 66 million, respectively. Cost of goods sold for the fertilizer business is primarily comprised of pet coke expense, freight expense, distribution expense, direct operating expenses and depreciation and amortization.

Metals

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2013		2012		2013		2012
				(in mi	llions)			_
Net sales	\$	243	\$	236	\$	737	\$	871
Cost of goods sold		246		239		749		881
Gross margin	\$	(3)	\$	(3)	\$	(12)	\$	(10)

Summarized ferrous tons and non-ferrous pounds sold for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	Three Months End	led September 30,	Nine Months Ended September 3			
	2013	2012	2013	2012		
		(in 00	00s)			
Ferrous tons sold	394	358	1,128	1,246		
Non-ferrous pounds sold	61,020	55,775	188,376	179,569		

The scrap metals business is highly cyclical and is substantially dependent upon the overall economic conditions in the U.S. and other global markets. Ferrous and non-ferrous scrap have been historically vulnerable to significant declines in consumption and product pricing during prolonged periods of economic downturn or stagnation.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$7 million (3%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily driven by higher ferrous and non-ferrous shipment volumes, offset in part by lower non-ferrous brokerage volumes and precious metals volumes in the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period.

Ferrous shipments increased by 36,000 gross tons (10%) and average pricing was \$4 per gross ton (1%) higher during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the comparable prior year period. The domestic steel capacity utilization rate averaged approximately 78.1% in the three months ended September 30, 2013. This was 3.4 percentage points higher than the comparable prior year period but reflected total steel output just 329 tons (1%) higher. The slight increase in average pricing was largely driven by higher market prices for ferrous scrap attributed to improved demand from steel producers in the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the prior year. Non-ferrous shipment volumes increased by 5,245,000 pounds (9%) with all product groups, except copper, showing improvement for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period. Average selling prices for non-ferrous decreased \$0.06 per pound (6%) during

the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the prior year primarily due to lower market prices for copper and nickel.

Cost of goods sold for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$7 million (3%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily due to higher shipment volumes, offset in part by lower processing costs. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was a loss of 1% for each of the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$134 million (15%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The decrease was primarily driven by lower ferrous shipment volumes and non-ferrous selling prices in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period.

Ferrous shipments decreased by 118,000 gross tons (9%) and average pricing was \$42 per gross ton (10%) lower during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the comparable prior year period. The decrease in average pricing was driven by lower market prices for ferrous scrap. The domestic steel capacity utilization rate was 77.2% during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, 0.2 percentage points lower than the rate during the comparable prior year period. Total steel output, though, was 2.5 million tons (3%) lower in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable period in 2012. The lower level of domestic demand, primarily in the first three months of 2013, and lower scrap export prices in the period contributed to lower market prices in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period. Non-ferrous shipment volumes increased by 8,807,000 pounds (5%), primarily driven by increased shipments of electric motors and batteries. The average selling price for non-ferrous material decreased by \$0.10 per pound (10%) primarily due to lower market pricing and product mix.

Cost of goods sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$132 million (15%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower shipment volumes, lower market prices for raw materials and lower processing costs. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was a loss of 2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to a loss of 1% for the comparable prior year period.

Railcar

	Three Months Ended September			eptember 30,	N	September 30,		
		2013		2012	-	2013		2012
				(in mi	llions)			
Net Sales/Other Revenues From Operations:								
Manufacturing	\$	205	\$	186	\$	611	\$	617
Railcar Leasing		17		4		42		8
Railcar Services		20		17		55		50
Eliminations		(97)		(55)		(277)		(187)
	\$	145	\$	152	\$	431	\$	488
Cost of Goods Sold/Other Expenses From Operations:								
Manufacturing	\$	161	\$	151	\$	477	\$	504
Railcar Leasing		5		2		14		4
Railcar Services		15		13		42		39
Eliminations		(71)		(48)		(211)		(157)
	\$	110	\$	118	\$	322	\$	390
Gross Margin:								
Manufacturing	\$	44	\$	35	\$	134	\$	113
Railcar Leasing		12		2		28		4
Railcar Services		5		4		13		11
Eliminations		(26)		(7)		(66)		(30)
	\$	35	\$	34	\$	109	\$	98

Our Railcar segment includes the results of American Railcar Industries, Inc. ("ARI") and AEP Leasing. ARI's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q contain a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. ARI's filings with the SEC are available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

ARI manufactures railcars, which are offered for sale or lease. ARI also provides railcar services consisting of railcar repair services, engineering and field services and fleet management services. AEP Leasing offers railcars for lease, with those railcars being purchased primarily from ARI. Manufacturing net sales and cost of goods sold above include net sales and related cost of goods sold for railcars sold by our Railcar segment to its railcar leasing businesses. For revenues recorded by ARI's manufacturing business on railcars sold to its own leasing business, such revenues are based on an estimated fair market value of the leased railcars as if they had been sold to a third party, and are eliminated in consolidation. For revenues recorded by ARI's manufacturing business on railcars sold to AEP Leasing, such revenues are based on actual sales of railcars from ARI to AEP Leasing as if they had been sold to a third party, and are eliminated in consolidation. Elimination amounts represent eliminations of intra-segment net sales and related cost of goods sold and gross margin for our Railcar segment

The North American railcar market has been, and we expect it to continue to be, highly cyclical. Industry-wide demand for tank railcars remains strong and inquiry activity for covered hopper railcars is beginning to strengthen.

During October 2013, ARI accepted a multi-year order for 2,750 plastic pellet hopper railcars for delivery from 2014 through 2016. Consistent with industry expectations, ARI anticipates demand for hopper railcars, specifically plastic pellet railcars, to begin strengthening for deliveries from 2014 through 2016. However, we cannot assure you that the tank railcar demand will continue at historically strong levels, that demand for hopper railcars, or any other railcar types, will improve, or that our railcar orders and shipments will track industry-wide trends.

Railcar shipments for the three months ended September 30, 2013 were approximately 1,640 railcars, including approximately 860 railcars to leasing customers, as compared to approximately 1,460 railcars for the comparable prior year period, including approximately 440 railcars to leasing customers. Railcar shipments for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were approximately 4,850 railcars, including approximately 2,410 railcars to leasing customers, as compared to approximately 5,870 railcars for the comparable prior year period, including approximately 1,820 railcars to leasing customers.

As of September 30, 2013, our Railcar segment had a backlog of approximately 6,300 railcars, including approximately 3,970 railcars for lease customers. In response to changes in customer demand, our Railcar segment continues to adjust production rates at its railcar manufacturing facilities.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Total manufacturing revenues, before elimination of railcar sales to our Railcar segment's leasing business, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$19 million (10%) over the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily due to higher shipments of hopper and tank railcar shipments. Manufacturing revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2013 include revenues of \$97 million relating to railcars built for our Railcar segment's leasing business, compared to \$55 million for the comparable prior year period. The increase in railcars built for our Railcar segment's leasing business is primarily due to an increase in demand for tank railcar leases.

Gross margin from manufacturing operations, before eliminations relating to railcar sales to our Railcar segment's leasing business, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was \$44 million compared to \$35 million for the comparable prior year period. Gross margin from manufacturing operations as a percentage of manufacturing revenues was 21% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 19% for the comparable prior year period. The increase in gross margin percentage over the respective periods was primarily due to a shift in the sales mix to a higher concentration of tank railcars.

Railcar leasing revenues increased for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period due to an increase in number of railcars leased to customers and an increase in the average lease rate. The lease fleet grew from approximately 2,320 railcars at September 30, 2012 to approximately 5,650 railcars at September 30, 2013.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Total manufacturing revenues, before elimination of railcar sales to our Railcar segment's leasing business, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$6 million (1%) over the comparable prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower covered hopper railcar shipments, partially offset by higher tank railcar shipments, and lower revenues from certain material cost changes that are passed through to customers. The decrease was offset in part by a higher mix of tank railcars shipped, which generally sell at higher prices due to more material and labor content, and improved general market conditions for tank railcars. Manufacturing revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 include revenues of \$277 million relating to railcars built for our Railcar segment's leasing business, compared to \$187 million for the comparable prior year period. The increase in railcars built for our Railcar segment's leasing business is primarily due to an increase in demand for tank railcar leases.

Gross margin from manufacturing operations, before eliminations relating to railcar sales to our Railcar segment's leasing business, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$134 million compared to \$113 million for the comparable prior year period. Gross margin from manufacturing operations as a percentage of manufacturing revenues was 22% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 18% for the comparable prior year period. The increase in gross margin percentage over the respective periods was primarily due to a shift in the sales mix to a higher concentration of tank railcars.

Railcar leasing revenues increased for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period due to an increase in number of railcars leased to customers and an increase in the average lease rate, as noted above.

Other Segments

Gaming

Gaming revenues and expenses are classified in other revenues from operations and other expenses from operations, respectively, in our consolidated financial statements.

Tropicana's Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q contain a detailed description of its business, products, industry, operating strategy and associated risks. Tropicana's filings with the SEC are available on the SEC's website at *www.sec.gov*.

Casino revenues are one of Tropicana's main performance indicators and account for a significant portion of its net revenues. The decrease in casino revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period was primarily due to a 6.8% decrease in consolidated gaming volumes, primarily due to lower gaming volumes at Tropicana Casino and Resort, Atlantic City ("Tropicana AC") and Belle of Baton Rouge Casino and Hotel ("Baton Rouge"). Tropicana's consolidated gaming hold percentage was 9.7% and 10.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The decrease in casino revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the comparable prior year period was primarily due to a 10.5% decrease in consolidated gaming volumes, primarily due to lower gaming volumes at Tropicana AC and Baton Rouge. Tropicana's consolidated gaming hold percentage was 9.9% for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Net revenues from Tropicana AC comprise approximately 47% and 50% of our Gaming segment's net revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 44% and 46% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Based on market data, the Atlantic City market experienced year over year declines in casino win of 7.1% and 9.8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. Tropicana AC's gaming results were negatively impacted by lower table games and slot volumes primarily due to the increased competition coupled with the lingering effects of Super Storm Sandy which occurred in late October 2012. Although Tropicana AC did not suffer any significant damage, the severity of the property damage to a large portion of the Atlantic City feeder markets resulted in long term business interruption.

Revenues from rooms decreased for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period. The average daily room rate and occupancy across all of Tropicana's gaming properties were \$75 and 68%, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$74 and 69%, respectively, for the comparable prior year period.

Revenues from rooms decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period. The average daily room rate and occupancy across all of Tropicana's gaming properties were \$69 and 62%, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$70 and 65%, respectively, for the comparable prior year period.

Food Packaging

Viskase Companies, Inc. ("Viskase") currently operates eight manufacturing facilities and ten distribution centers throughout North America, Europe, South America and Asia and derives approximately 71% of total net sales from customers located outside the United States.

Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$9 million (10%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily due to an increase of \$8 million attributable to volume and \$1 million attributable to price and product mix and foreign currency translation.

Cost of goods sold for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased \$8 million as compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was due to growth in unit volume, slightly higher raw material costs and energy prices, partially

offset by exchange rates and improved manufacturing efficiencies. Gross margin as a percent of net sales was 22% and 23% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The slight decrease in the gross margin as a percent of net sales over the comparable period was primarily due to sales mix.

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$21 million (8%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was due to an increase of \$19 million attributable to volume and \$3 million attributable to price and product mix, offset in part by \$1 million attributable to foreign currency translation.

Cost of goods sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased \$15 million as compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was due to growth in unit volume, higher raw material costs and energy prices, higher pension and depreciation expense, partially offset by exchange rates and improved manufacturing efficiencies. Gross margin as a percent of net sales was 24% and 23% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The slight improvement in the gross margin as a percent of net sales over the comparable period was primarily due to sales mix and improved manufacturing efficiencies.

Real Estate

Real Estate revenues and expenses include results from resort operations, sales of residential units and rental income and expenses, including income from financing leases. Sales of residential units are included in net sales in our consolidated financial statements. Results from resort and rental operations, including financing lease income, are included in other revenues from operations in our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue from our real estate operations for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were substantially derived from our resort and rental operations. Revenue from sales of residential units in our real estate development operations represent 0% and 4% of total Real Estate revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and 3% and 6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Home Fashion

The business of WestPoint Home LLC ("WPH") is significantly influenced by the overall economic environment, including consumer spending, at the retail level, for home textile products. Many of the larger retailers are customers of WPH. WPH will continue to realign its manufacturing operations and streamline its merchandising, sales and customer service divisions to improve its cost structure and better serve its customers. Given the uncertainty and volatility in the macroeconomic conditions, we cannot predict if WPH's financial performance will continue to improve.

Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$8 million (15%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in sales volume resulting from the effects of exiting certain unprofitable programs. Cost of goods sold for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$9 million (19%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower sales volume. Gross margin for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$1 million (17%) compared to the comparable prior year period. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was 16% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to 11% for the comparable prior year period. The improvement in gross margin as a percentage of net sales was primarily due to the effects of exiting certain unprofitable programs.

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$32 million (18%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in sales volume resulting from the effects of exiting certain unprofitable programs. Cost of goods sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$32 million (21%) compared to the comparable prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower sales volume. Gross margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was at the same level as the comparable prior year period. Gross margin as a percentage of net sales was 12% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to 10% for the comparable prior year period. The improvement in gross margin as a percentage of net sales was due to the effects of exiting certain unprofitable programs.

Other Consolidated Results of Operations

Other Income (Loss), Net

Our consolidated other income (loss), net for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$82 million and \$(171) million, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$130 million and \$(162) million, respectively. Included in our consolidated other income (loss), net are gains and losses related to certain of our derivatives. See Note 6, "Financial Instruments," and Note 16, "Other Income (Loss), Net," to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Selling, General and Administrative

Our consolidated selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") for the three months ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$83 million (29%) as compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily due to an increase of \$37 million for our Investment segment for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period due to an increase in compensation expense as a result of certain fund performance during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and an increase of \$53 million related to our Automotive segment primarily due to the recognition of an OPEB curtailment gain of \$51 million during the third quarter of 2012.

Our consolidated SG&A for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$120 million (13%) as compared to the comparable prior year period. The increase was primarily due to an increase of \$32 million of SG&A related to our Energy segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 for which there are limited comparable results in the comparable prior year period as we consolidated CVR effective May 4, 2012. In addition, SG&A increased by \$66 million for our Investment segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the corresponding prior year period due to an increase in compensation expense as a result of certain fund performance during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, increase of \$40 million related to the SG&A expenses of our Automotive segment, which included a net decrease of OPEB curtailment gains of \$32 million over the respective periods (the effect of which represents an increase in SG&A over the comparable periods). This was offset in part by a decrease of \$14 million related to SG&A expenses of our Gaming segment primarily due to certain cost cutting measures and decrease in real estate taxes due to a favorable tax settlement and a decrease in our Home Fashion segment of \$5 million primarily due to cost cutting measures.

Restructuring

Our consolidated restructuring costs were \$5 million and \$5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which were primarily attributable to our Automotive segment in each of the respective periods. During the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, our Automotive segment recorded \$4 million and \$5 million in restructuring charges, respectively, as further discussed below.

Our consolidated restructuring costs were \$22 million and \$21 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which were primarily attributable to our Automotive segment in each of the respective periods. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, our Automotive segment recorded \$20 million and \$19 million in restructuring charges, respectively, as further discussed below.

In February 2013, Federal-Mogul's Board of Directors approved the evaluation of restructuring opportunities in order to improve operating performance. Federal-Mogul obtained its Board of Director's approval to commence a restructuring plan ("Restructuring 2013"). Restructuring 2013 is intended to take place between 2013 and 2015 with an expected total cost of \$79 million, of which \$62 million and \$17 million pertains to employee costs and facility costs, respectively. In connection with Restructuring 2013, Federal-Mogul recorded \$3 million and \$19 million in restructuring charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, all of which pertain to employee costs. The restructuring charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were primarily related to other restructuring charges resulting from certain headcount reduction actions.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings during the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$7 million (5%) as compared the corresponding prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to the expiration of unfavorable interest rate swaps at our Automotive segment in the first quarter of 2013, lower interest expense incurred by our Railcar segment as a result of a lower interest rate secured on its lease fleet financing and lower average debt balance due to the voluntary early redemption of its senior unsecured notes, offset in part by higher interest expense incurred by our Holding Company as a result of net higher interest rate incurred on certain debt issued in the third quarter of 2013 (our variable rate notes matured on August 15, 2013) and higher interest expense incurred by our Investment segment attributable to higher due to broker balances.

Interest expense for both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased by \$7 million (2%) as compared the corresponding prior year period. The increase was primarily due to the inclusion of interest expense related to CVR's debt effective May 4, 2012, higher interest expense incurred on certain Holding Company debt issued during the third quarter of 2012 and net higher interest rate incurred on certain debt issued in the third quarter of 2013 (our variable rate notes matured on August 15, 2013), higher interest expense incurred by our Investment segment attributable to due to higher broker balances, offset in part by lower interest expense incurred by our Automotive segment as a result of the expiration of certain interest swaps during the first quarter of 2013, lower interest expense incurred by our Railcar segment as a result of a lower interest rate secured on its lease fleet financing and lower average debt balance due to the voluntary early redemption of its senior unsecured notes.

Income Tax Expense

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings recorded an income tax expense of \$57 million on pre-tax income of \$1,277 million compared to an income tax expense of \$110 million on pre-tax income of \$209 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Our effective income tax rate was 4.5% and 52.6% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is primarily due to partnership loss not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is primarily due to changes in valuation allowances and partnership income not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, both Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings recorded an income tax expense of \$274 million on pre-tax income of \$2,265 million compared to an income tax benefit of \$21 million on pre-tax income of \$640 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Our effective income tax rate was 12.1% and (3.3)% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is primarily due to partnership income not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the difference between the effective tax rate and statutory federal rate of 35% is primarily due to changes in valuation allowances and partnership income not subject to taxation, as such taxes are the responsibility of the partners.

Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings recognized an income tax expense on its pre-tax earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to an income tax benefit on its pre-tax earnings for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily due to the reversal of \$159 million of the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets by American Entertainment Properties Corp. ("AEP"), an indirect subsidiary of ours during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Specifically, in February, 2012, pursuant to a tax-free reorganization, WestPoint Home Inc. merged into a newly formed single member limited liability company, WPH, an entity owned by AEP. WPH constitutes our Home Fashion segment. Also, on May 4, 2012, AEP acquired a controlling interest in CVR. In recording this reversal, AEP evaluated all positive and negative evidence associated with its deferred tax assets, primarily as a result of the change in estimated future earnings from the acquisition of CVR, and concluded it was more likely than not that a portion of the federal net operating loss carryforward related to our Home Fashion segment would be realized.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Holding Company

As of September 30, 2013, the Holding Company had investments in the Investment Funds with a total fair market value of approximately \$3.6 billion. As of September 30, 2013, our Holding Company had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$1.0 billion and total debt of approximately \$4.0 billion.

We are a holding company. Our cash flow and our ability to meet our debt service obligations and make distributions with respect to depositary units likely will depend on the cash flow resulting from divestitures, equity and debt financings, interest income, returns on our interests in the Investment Funds and the payment of funds to us by our subsidiaries in the form of loans, dividends and distributions. We may pursue various means to raise cash from our subsidiaries. To date, such means include receipt of dividends from subsidiaries, obtaining loans or other financings based on the asset values of subsidiaries or selling debt or equity securities of subsidiaries through capital market transactions. To the degree any distributions and transfers are impaired or prohibited, our ability to make payments on our debt or distributions on our depositary units could be limited. The operating results of our subsidiaries may not be sufficient for them to make distributions to us. In addition, our subsidiaries are not obligated to make funds available to us, and distributions and intercompany transfers from our subsidiaries to us may be restricted by applicable law or covenants contained in debt agreements and other agreements.

As of September 30, 2013 based on covenants in the indenture governing our senior notes, we could incur approximately \$2.7 billion in additional indebtedness. See Note 10, "Debt," to the consolidated financial statements for additional information concerning credit facilities for us and our subsidiaries.

On January 24, 2013, the board of directors of CVR adopted a quarterly cash dividend policy. Subject to declaration by its Board of Directors, CVR's quarterly dividend is expected to be \$0.75 per share, or \$3.00 per share on an annualized basis, which it began paying in the second quarter of 2013. As we currently own approximately 82% of the common shares of CVR, the majority of CVR's dividend will directly upstream to us. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 we received \$961

million in dividends from CVR, including two special dividends declared as discussed below. In addition, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 we received \$8 million in dividends from CVR Refining. Subsequent to September 30, 2013, CVR declared a quarterly dividend which will result in an additional aggregate \$53 million payable to us in the fourth quarter of 2013. In addition, subsequent to September 30, 2013, CVR Refining declared a quarterly distribution which will result in an additional aggregate \$2 million payable to us in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Distributions on Depositary Units

On November 1, 2013, the Board of Directors of the general partner of Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.25 per depositary unit, which will be paid on or about January 13, 2014 to depositary unit holders of record at the close of business on November 14, 2013. Depositary unit holders will have until December 9, 2013 to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units; if a holder does not make an election, it will automatically be deemed to have elected to receive the dividend in cash. Depositary unit holders who elect to receive additional depositary units will receive units valued at the volume weighted average trading price of the units on NASDAQ during the 20 consecutive trading days ending January 8, 2014. No fractional depositary units will be issued pursuant to the distribution payment. Icahn Enterprises will make a cash payment in lieu of issuing fractional depositary units to any holders electing to receive depositary units. Any holders that would only be eligible to receive a fraction of a depositary unit based on the above calculation will receive a cash payment.

On August 6, 2013, the Board of Directors of the general partner of Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.25 per depositary unit, which was paid on October 9, 2013 to depositary unit holders of record at the close of business on August 16, 2013. Depositary unit holders had until September 6, 2013 to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units; if a holder did not make an election, it was automatically deemed to have elected to receive the distribution in cash. Depositary unit holders who elected to receive additional depositary units received units valued at the volume weighted average trading price of the units on NASDAQ during the 20 consecutive trading days ending October 4, 2013. No fractional depositary units were issued pursuant to the distribution payment. Icahn Enterprises made a cash payment in lieu of issuing fractional depositary units to any holders electing to receive depositary units. Any holders that were only eligible to receive a fraction of a depositary unit based on the above calculation received a cash payment.

On April 29, 2013, the Board of Directors of the general partner of Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.00 per depositary unit, which was paid on July 5, 2013 to depositary unit holders of record at the close of business on May 13, 2013. Depositary unit holders had until June 3, 2013 to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units; if a holder did not make an election, it was automatically deemed to have elected to receive the distribution in cash. Depositary unit holders who elected to receive additional depositary units received units valued at the volume weighted average trading price of the units on NASDAQ during the 20 consecutive trading days ending July 1, 2013. No fractional depositary units were issued pursuant to the distribution payment. Icahn Enterprises made a cash payment in lieu of issuing fractional depositary units to any holders electing to receive depositary units. Any holders that would only be eligible to receive a fraction of a depositary unit based on the above calculation received a cash payment.

On February 10, 2013, the Board of Directors of the general partner of Icahn Enterprises declared a quarterly distribution in the amount of \$1.00 per depositary unit, which was paid on April 15, 2013 to depositary unit holders of record at the close of business on February 21, 2013. Depositary unit holders had until March 14, 2013 to make an election to receive either cash or additional depositary units; if a holder did not make an election, it was automatically deemed to have elected to receive the distribution in cash. Depositary unit holders that elected to receive additional depositary units received units valued at the volume weighted average trading price of the units on NASDAQ during the 20 consecutive trading days immediately following the election deadline. No fractional depositary units were issued pursuant to the distribution payment. Icahn Enterprises made a cash payment in lieu of issuing fractional depositary units to holders electing to receive depositary units. Any holders that were only eligible to receive a fraction of a depositary unit based on the above calculation received a cash payment.

Equity Offering

On February 28, 2013, Icahn Enterprises entered into an underwriting agreement with Jefferies & Company, Inc., providing for the issuance and purchase of an aggregate of 3,174,604 depositary units representing limited partner interests in Icahn Enterprises at a price to the public of \$63.00 per depositary unit. The depositary units were delivered to the unitholders on March 6, 2013.

On June 12, 2013, Icahn Enterprises entered into an underwriting agreement with Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, UBS Securities LLC, Jefferies LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Oppenheimer & Co. Inc., Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc., Wunderlich Securities, Inc. and KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc. providing for the issuance and purchase of an aggregate of

1,600,000 depositary units representing limited partner interests in Icahn Enterprises at a price to the public of \$75.54 per depositary unit. The depositary units were delivered to the unitholders on June 17, 2013.

Aggregate proceeds from these equity offerings was \$311 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and other offering related fees and expenses. Additionally, in connection with these equity offerings, our general partner made aggregate contribution of \$6 million to Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 in order to maintain its 1% general partner interest in each of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings.

Debt Offering

On August 1, 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes due 2020 (the "2020 Notes") in private placement not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The proceeds from the offering will be used for general partnership purposes.

Borrowings

Debt consists of the following:

	Icahn Enterprises				Icahn Enterprises Holdings			
	September 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		September 30, 2013		Γ	December 31, 2012
		(in mi	llions)			(in m	illions)
6% senior unsecured notes due 2020 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings	\$	493	\$	_	\$	493	\$	_
8% senior unsecured notes due 2018 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings		2,474		2,476		2,471		2,471
7.75% senior unsecured notes due 2016 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings		1,050		1,050		1,047		1,047
Senior unsecured variable rate convertible notes due 2013 - Icahn Enterprises/Icahn Enterprises Holdings		_		556		_		556
Debt facilities - Automotive		2,739		2,738		2,739		2,738
Debt facilities - Energy		500		749		500		749
Credit facilities - Energy		125		125		125		125
Senior unsecured notes and secured term loan facility - Railcar		196		275		196		275
Credit facilities - Gaming		170		171		170		171
Senior secured notes and revolving credit facility - Food Packaging		214		214		214		214
Mortgages payable - Real Estate		50		70		50		70
Other		144		124		144		124
	\$	8,155	\$	8,548	\$	8,149	\$	8,540

See Note 10, "Debt," to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report for additional information concerning terms, restrictions and covenants of our debt. As of September 30, 2013, we are in compliance with all debt covenants.

Contractual Commitments and Contingencies

Except as discussed below, there have been no material changes to our contractual commitments and contingencies as compared to those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

On August 1, 2013, we issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of Senior Notes due 2020 (the "2020 Notes") in a private placement not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

In April 2013, AEP Leasing entered into an agreement ("ACF Agreement") with ACF whereby AEP Leasing will purchase 1,050 railcars from ACF in 2013 and 2014 for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$150 million. See Note 3, "Related Party Transactions - Railcar," to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have off-balance sheet risk related to investment activities associated with certain financial instruments, including futures, options, credit default swaps and securities sold, not yet purchased. For additional information regarding these arrangements, refer to Note 6, "Financial Instruments," to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this Report.

Consolidated Cash Flows

The following table summarizes cash flow information for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2013 for Icahn Enterprises' operating segments and the Holding Company:

		Nine Mon	ths l	Ended Septemb	er 30	, 2013			
	Net Cash Provided By (Used In)						September 30, 2013		
	_	erating tivities		Investing Activities		Financing Activities	(Cash and Cash Equivalents	
				(in r	nillion	s)			
Investment	\$	(131)	\$	_	\$	(140)	\$	5	
Automotive		258		(245)		57		960	
Energy		274		(178)		661		887	
Metals		45		(11)		_		31	
Railcar		109		(240)		(90)		115	
Gaming		58		(55)		(1)		245	
Food Packaging		(19)		(12)		2		14	
Real Estate		31		(2)		(20)		43	
Home Fashion		(4)		(1)		_		16	
Holding Company		(307)		(46)		222		958	
	\$	314	\$	(790)	\$	691	\$	3,274	

The consolidated cash flows of Icahn Enterprises and Icahn Enterprises Holdings are substantially the same. The immaterial differences between Icahn Enterprises' and Icahn Enterprises Holdings' consolidated statements of cash flows primarily relate to non-cash charges for interest expense which is included in net cash provided by operating activities. Therefore, we discuss only the consolidated cash flows of Icahn Enterprises below.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$314 million was primarily attributable to our Energy, Automotive and Railcar segments which had net cash provided by operating activities of \$274 million, \$258 million and \$109 million, respectively, primarily due to earnings before depreciation and amortization for each segment offset in part by changes in operating assets and liabilities.

Our Investment segment had net cash used in operating activities of \$131 million relating to its investment transactions. Additionally, the Holding Company had net cash used in operating activities of \$307 million primarily due to the payments of interest expense on its debt of \$291 million.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$790 million was primarily due to consolidated capital expenditures of \$785 million, of which \$270 million was related to our Automotive segment, \$255 million was related to our Railcar segment and \$184 million was related to our Energy segment. Capital expenditures for our Railcar segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 included \$240 million for manufacturing railcars for lease to others, which are included in property, plant and equipment, net in our consolidated balance sheets.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$691 million was primarily attributable to our Energy segment and the Holding Company, off set in part by our Investment segment. Our Energy segment received net proceeds of approximately \$1.2 billion from various equity offerings, which excludes \$162 million paid by us for investments in connection with these offerings, offset in part by repayments of debt of \$244 million and aggregate dividends paid by our Energy segment of \$335 million to its common unitholders, excluding dividends paid to the Holding Company. The Holding Company had net proceeds of \$317 million in connection with Icahn Enterprises' offering of depositary units, as discussed above. Additionally, the Holding Company received proceeds of \$493 million from the offering of its 2020 Notes and repaid its variable rate notes of \$556 million, as discussed further in Note 10, "Debt," to the consolidated financial statements.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our Investment segment distributed \$185 million to an affiliate of Mr.Icahn in connection with a non-cash transfer of a derivative liability from our Investment segment to an affiliate of Mr. Icahn.

Discussion of Segment Liquidity and Capital Resources

Investment

As of September 30, 2013, the Investment Funds' net notional exposure was 58%. The Investment Funds' long exposure was 152% (146% long equity and 6% long credit) and the Investment Funds' short exposure was 94% (94% short equity). The Investment Funds historically have had access to significant amounts of cash from prime brokers, subject to customary terms and market conditions.

Automotive

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the borrowing availability under the revolving credit facility was \$476 million and \$451 million, respectively. Federal-Mogul had \$39 million and \$37 million of letters of credit outstanding as of both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, pertaining to the term loan credit facility. To the extent letters of credit associated with the revolving credit facility are issued, there is a corresponding decrease in borrowings available under this facility. See Note 10, "Debt - Automotive," to the consolidated financial statements for additional discussion regarding Federal-Mogul's debt facilities.

Federal-Mogul has explored in the past and continues to explore alternatives for refinancing all or a portion of the Debt Facilities.

On July 11, 2013, Federal-Mogul received \$500 million in connection with its previously announced common stock registered rights offering (the "Federal-Mogul Rights Offering"). In connection with the Federal-Mogul Rights Offering, we fully exercised our subscription rights under our basic and over subscription privileges to purchase additional shares of Federal-Mogul common stock, thereby increasing our ownership of Federal-Mogul, for an aggregate additional investment of \$434 million, which is eliminated in our consolidated statement of cash flows. As of September 30, 2013, we owned approximately 80.7% of the outstanding common stock of Federal-Mogul.

Federal-Mogul's subsidiaries in Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Japan and the United States are party to accounts receivable factoring and securitization facilities. Gross accounts receivable transferred under these facilities were \$274 million and \$217 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Of those gross amounts, \$263 million and \$216 million, respectively, qualify as sales as defined in FASB ASC Topic 860, *Transfers and Servicing*. The remaining transferred receivables were pledged as collateral and accounted for as secured borrowings and recorded in the consolidated balance sheets within accounts receivable, net and debt. Under the terms of these facilities, Federal-Mogul is not obligated to draw cash immediately upon the transfer of accounts receivable. As of both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Federal-Mogul had no outstanding transferred receivables for which cash had not yet been drawn. Proceeds from the transfers of accounts receivable qualifying as sales were \$379 million and \$335 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and approximately \$1.1 billion for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

Certain of the facilities contain terms that require Federal-Mogul to share in the credit risk of the sold receivables. The maximum exposures to Federal-Mogul associated with certain of these facilities' terms were \$18 million and \$19 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Based on Federal-Mogul's analysis of the creditworthiness of its customers on which such receivables were sold and outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, Federal-Mogul estimated the loss to be immaterial.

Energy

As of September 30, 2013, CRLLC had availability under the Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility of \$373 million and had letters of credit outstanding of \$27 million. There were no borrowings outstanding under the ABL Credit Facility as of September 30, 2013. In addition, as of September 30, 2013, CVR Partners had \$25 million availability under its revolving credit facility, with an uncommitted incremental facility of up to \$50 million.

See Note 10, "Debt - Energy," to the consolidated financial statements for further discussion regarding CVR's credit facilities, including the Amended and Restated ABL Credit Facility.

CVR Refining and CVR Partners have a distribution policy in which they will generally distribute all of their available cash each quarter, within 60 days after the end of each quarter. CVR Refining's distributions began with the quarter ended March 31, 2013 and have been adjusted to exclude the period prior to the CVR Refining IPO from January 1, 2013 through January 22, 2013 (the period preceding the closing of the CVR Refining IPO). The distributions will be made to all common unitholders. CVR currently holds approximately 71% and 53% of CVR Refining's and CVR Partner's common units outstanding, respectively. The amount of each distribution will be determined pursuant to each general partner's calculation of available cash for the applicable quarter. The general partner of each partnership, as a non-economic interest holder, is not entitled to receive cash distributions. As a result of each general partner's distribution policy, funds held by CVR Refining and CVR Partners will not be available for CVR's use, and CVR as a unitholder will receive its applicable percentage of the distribution of funds within 60 days, respectively, following each quarter. CVR Refining and CVR Partners do not have a legal obligation to pay distributions and there is no guarantee that they will pay any distributions on the units in any quarter.

On January 24, 2013, the board of directors of CVR adopted a quarterly cash dividend policy. Subject to declaration by its board of directors, CVR's quarterly dividend is expected to be \$0.75 per share, or \$3.00 per share on annualized basis, which CVR began paying in the second quarter of 2013. Additionally, CVR declared and paid two special cash dividends during the nine months during ended September 30, 2013. CVR paid an aggregate total of approximately \$1.2 billion in dividends, or \$13.50 per share, during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, of which \$961 million was paid to us.

CVR divides the petroleum business and the nitrogen fertilizer business' capital spending needs into two categories: maintenance and growth. Maintenance capital spending includes only non-discretionary maintenance projects and projects required to comply with environmental, health and safety regulations. CVR undertakes discretionary capital spending based on the expected return on incremental capital employed. Discretionary capital projects generally involve an expansion of existing capacity, improvement in product yields, and/or a reduction in direct operating expenses. Major scheduled turnaround expenses are expensed when incurred. CVR estimates that the total capital spending for the year ending December 31, 2013 to range between approximately \$238 million and \$273 million.

The petroleum business and the nitrogen fertilizer business' estimated capital expenditures are subject to change due to unanticipated increases in the cost, scope and completion time for our capital projects. For example, CVR may experience increases in labor or equipment costs necessary to comply with government regulations or to complete projects that sustain or improve the profitability of the refineries or nitrogen fertilizer plant. Capital spending for CVR Partner's nitrogen fertilizer business has been and will be determined by the board of directors of its general partner. Capital spending for CVR Refining's petroleum business will be determined by the board of directors of its general partner.

CVR believes that its cash flows from operations, and existing cash and cash equivalents and improvements in its working capital, together with borrowings under its existing credit facilities as necessary, will be sufficient to satisfy the anticipated cash requirements associated with our existing operations for at least the next twelve months. However, its future capital expenditures and other cash requirements could be higher than CVR currently expects as a result of various factors. Additionally, CVR's ability to generate sufficient cash from its operating activities depends on its future performance, which is subject to general economic, political, financial, competitive, and other factors beyond its control. Depending on the needs of CVR's business contractual limitations and market conditions, it may from time to time seek to use equity securities, incur additional debt, modify the terms of our existing debt, issue debt securities, or otherwise refinance our existing debt. There can be no assurance that CVR will seek to do any of the foregoing or that it will be able to do any of the foregoing on terms acceptable to it or at all.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Except as disclosed below, there have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

We are currently performing the annual goodwill impairment test for our Automotive segment which will be finalized during the fourth quarter of 2013. Any goodwill impairment charge that results from this annual impairment test for our Automotive segment will be recorded in the fourth quarter of 2013.

We perform our annual goodwill impairment analysis as of April 30th for our Energy segment, or more frequently if impairment indicators exist, in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 350-20-35, *Goodwill - Subsequent Measurement* and FASB ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurement*. The first step of the impairment analysis involves comparing the fair values of these assets to the respective carrying values to determine the potential for goodwill impairment. The second step of the impairment test, if necessary, involves quantifying the level of goodwill impairment. These fair values are based upon consideration of various valuation methodologies, including projected future cash flows discounted at rates of return commensurate with the risks involved and pricing multiples of current and future earnings observed for comparable public companies.

All of our Energy reporting units with goodwill passed "Step 1" of the April 30, 2013 goodwill impairment analysis. Petroleum and Fertilizer, representing our Energy segment reporting units, had fair values in excess of carrying values of 37% and 18%, respectively. Based on the results of our "Step 1" goodwill impairment analysis for our Energy segment, we concluded that no impairment existed and therefore "Step 2" of the goodwill impairment analysis was not necessary. As of September 30, 2013, our Petroleum and Fertilizer reporting units had goodwill of \$574 million and \$356 million, respectively.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards Updates

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-04, which amends FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 405, *Liabilities*. This ASU requires the measurement of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date as the sum of (1) the amount the reporting entity agreed to pay on the basis of its arrangement among its co-obligors and (2) any additional amount the reporting entity expects to pay on behalf of its co-obligors. This guidance also requires the disclosure of the nature and amount of the obligation as well as other information about those obligations. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2013. We anticipate that the adoption of this guidance will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In March 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-05, which amends FASB ASC Topic 830, *Foreign Currency Matters*. This ASU resolves the accounting for certain foreign currency matters with respect to the release of cumulative translation adjustment into net income within a foreign entity under certain circumstances. This ASU is effective prospectively for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. This ASU should be applied prospectively to derecognition events occurring after the effective date. Early adoption is permitted provided that if the entity adopts this guidance early, it is applied as of the beginning of the entity's fiscal year of adoption. The adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-08, which amends FASB ASC Topic 946, *Financial Services - Investment Companies*. This ASU clarifies the characteristics of an investment company, and provides comprehensive guidance for assessing whether an entity is an investment company. This ASU is effective for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. Earlier adoption is prohibited. The adoption of this ASU will not have any impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, which amends FASB ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. This ASU requires that unrecognized tax benefits, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss or a tax credit carryforward, except in certain cases. This ASU is effective for fiscal years (and interim reporting periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2013. Earlier adoption is permitted. The adoption of this ASU will not have any impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements included in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" which are not historical in nature are intended to be, and are hereby identified as, "forward-looking statements" for purposes of the safe harbor provided by Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or by Public Law 104-67.

Forward-looking statements reflect our current views with respect to future events and are based on assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from trends, plans, or expectations set forth in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties may include the risks and uncertainties described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and those set forth in this Report.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Investment

Our predominant exposure to market risk is related to our Investment segment and the sensitivities to movements in the fair value of the Investment Funds' investments.

The fair value of the financial assets and liabilities of the Investment Funds primarily fluctuates in response to changes in the value of securities. The net effect of these fair value changes impacts the net gains from investment activities in our consolidated statements of operations. However, the majority of these fair value changes are absorbed by the non-controlling interest holders in the Investment Funds. The Investment Funds' risk is regularly evaluated and is managed on a position basis as well as on a portfolio basis. Senior members of our investment team meet on a regular basis to assess and review certain risks, including concentration risk, correlation risk and credit risk for significant positions. Certain risk metrics and other analytical tools are used in the normal course of business by the General Partners.

Market Risk

The Investment Funds hold investments that are reported at fair value as of the reporting date, which include securities owned, securities sold, not yet purchased and derivatives as reported on our consolidated balance sheets. Based on their respective balances as of September 30, 2013, we estimate that in the event of a 10% adverse change in the fair value of these investments, the fair values of securities owned, securities sold, not yet purchased and derivatives would decrease by \$1,183 million, \$70 million and \$856 million, respectively. However, as of September 30, 2013, we estimate that the impact to our share of the net gain from investment activities reported on our consolidated statement of operations would be significantly less than the change in fair value since we have an investment of approximately 44% in these Investment Funds, and the non-controlling interests in income would correspondingly offset approximately 56% of the change in fair value.

Credit Risk

We and certain of our consolidated Investment Funds are subject to certain inherent risks through our investments.

Our entities typically invest excess cash in large money market funds. The money market funds primarily invest in government securities and other short-term, highly liquid instruments with a low risk of loss. The Investment Funds also maintain free credit balances with their prime brokers and in interest bearing accounts at major banking institutions. We seek to diversify our cash investments across several accounts and institutions and we monitor performance and counterparty risk.

The Investment Funds and, to a lesser extent, other entities hold derivative instruments that are subject to credit risk in the event that the counterparties are unable to meet the terms of such agreements. When the Investment Funds make such investments or enter into other arrangements where they might suffer a significant loss through the default or insolvency of a counterparty, the General Partners monitor the credit quality of such counterparty and seek to do business with creditworthy counterparties. Counterparty risk is monitored by obtaining and reviewing public information filed by the counterparties and others.

Automotive

Refer to Note 6, "Financial Instruments-Automotive," to the consolidated financial statements for discussion regarding our Automotive segment's interest rate risk, commodity price risk and foreign currency risk.

The translated values of revenue and expense from our Automotive segment's international operations are subject to fluctuations due to changes in currency exchange rates. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our Automotive segment derived 37% of its net sales in the United States and 63% internationally. Of these international sales, 57% are denominated in the euro, with no other single currency representing more than 9%. To minimize foreign currency risk, our Automotive segment generally maintains natural hedges within its non-U.S. activities, including the matching of operational revenues and costs. Where natural hedges are not in place, our Automotive segment manages certain aspects of its foreign currency activities and larger transactions through the use of foreign currency options or forward contracts. Our Automotive segment estimates that a hypothetical 10% adverse movement of all foreign currencies in the same direction against the U.S.

dollar over the nine months ended September 30, 2013 would have decreased net income attributable to Icahn Enterprises for our Automotive segment by approximately \$11 million.

Energy

The risk inherent in our Energy segment's market risk sensitive instruments and positions is the potential loss from adverse changes in commodity prices and interest rates. Our Energy segment is exposed to market pricing for all of the products sold in the future both at its petroleum business and the nitrogen fertilizer business, as all of the products manufactured in both businesses are commodities.

Our Energy segment's earnings and cash flows and estimates of future cash flows are sensitive to changes in energy prices. The prices of crude oil and refined products have fluctuated substantially in recent years. These prices depend on many factors, including the overall demand for crude oil and refined products, which in turn depends, among other factors, general economic conditions, the level of foreign and domestic production of crude oil and refined products, the availability of imports of crude oil and refined products, the marketing of alternative and competing fuels, the extent of government regulations and global market dynamics. The prices our Energy segment receives for refined products are also affected by factors such as local market conditions and the level of operations of other refineries in our markets. The prices at which our Energy segment can sell gasoline and other refined products are strongly influenced by the price of crude oil. Generally, an increase or decrease in the price of crude oil results in a corresponding increase or decrease in the price of gasoline and other refined products. The timing of the relative movement of the prices, however, can impact profit margins, which could significantly affect our Energy segment's earnings and cash flows.

Commodity Price Risk

At September 30, 2013, CVR Refining had open commodity hedging instruments consisting of 20.6 million barrels of crack spreads primarily to fix the margin on a portion of our future gasoline and distillate production. The fair value of the outstanding contracts at September 30, 2013 was a net unrealized gain of \$110 million. A change of \$1.00 per barrel in the fair value of the crack spread swaps would result in an increase or decrease in the related fair values of commodity hedging instruments of approximately \$21 million.

Interest Rate Risk

On June 30 and July 1, 2011, CRNF entered into two floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements for the purpose of hedging the interest rate risk associated with a portion of the nitrogen fertilizer business' \$125.0 million floating rate term debt which matures in April 2016. The aggregate notional amount covered under these agreements, which commenced on August 12, 2011 and expires on February 12, 2016, totals \$62.5 million (split evenly between the two agreement dates). Under the terms of the interest rate swap agreement entered into on June 30, 2011, CRNF receives a floating rate based on three month LIBOR and pays a fixed rate of 1.94%. Under the terms of the interest rate swap agreement entered into on July 1, 2011, CRNF receives a floating rate based on three month LIBOR and pays a fixed rate of 1.975%. Both swap agreements will be settled every 90 days. The effect of these swap agreements is to lock in a fixed rate of interest of approximately 1.96% plus the applicable margin paid to lenders over three month LIBOR as governed by the CRNF credit agreement. At September 30, 2013, the effective rate was approximately 4.6%. The agreements were designated as cash flow hedges at inception and accordingly, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the swap is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and will be reclassified into interest expense when the interest rate swap transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the gain or loss will be recognized immediately in current interest expense.

CVR Partners still has exposure to interest rate risk on 50% of its \$125 million floating rate term debt. A 1.0% increase over the Eurodollar floor spread of 3.5%, as specified in the credit agreement, would increase interest cost to CVR Partners by less than \$1 million on an annualized basis, thus decreasing CVR Partner's net income by the same amount.

Gaming

Interest Rate Risk

Tropicana's primary exposure to market risk is interest rate risk associated with its senior secured first lien term loan facility ("Tropicana Term Loan") that bears interest based on floating rates. Based on Tropicana's borrowings as of September 30, 2013, assuming a 1% increase over the 7.5% floor specified in the Tropicana Term Loan, Tropicana's annual interest cost would change by approximately \$2 million.

Holding Company

Interest Rate Risk

The fair values of our long-term debt and other borrowings will fluctuate in response to changes in market interest rates. Increases and decreases in prevailing interest rates generally translate into decreases and increases in fair values of those instruments. Additionally, fair values of interest rate sensitive instruments may be affected by the creditworthiness of the issuer, relative values of alternative investments, the liquidity of the instrument and other general market conditions. Historically, the Holding Company does not invest in derivative financial instruments, interest rate swaps or other investments that alter interest rate exposure. The Holding Company has predominately long-term fixed interest rate debt. Generally, the fair market value of debt securities with a fixed interest rate will increase as interest rates fall, and the fair market value will decrease as interest rates rise. At September 30, 2013, the impact of a 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates on fixed rate debt would have no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Equity Price Risk

The carrying values of investments subject to equity price risks are based on quoted market prices or management's estimates of fair value as of the balance sheet dates. Market prices are subject to fluctuation and, consequently, the amount realized in the subsequent sale of an investment may significantly differ from the reported market value. Fluctuation in the market price of a security may result from perceived changes in the underlying economic characteristics of the investee, the relative price of alternative investments and general market conditions. Furthermore, amounts realized in the sale of a particular security may be affected by the relative quantity of the security being sold.

Based on sensitivity analysis for our equity price risks as of September 30, 2013, in the event of a 10% adverse change in the fair value of our derivatives, the fair value of our derivative position would decrease by approximately \$163 million.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

As of September 30, 2013, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of Icahn Enterprises' and Icahn Enterprises Holdings' and subsidiaries' disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to the Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in such reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

See Note 17, "Commitments and Contingencies" to the consolidated financial statements located in Part I, Item I of this Report, which is incorporated by reference into this Part II, Item 1, for a description of the litigation, legal and administrative proceedings and environmental matters.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Except as disclosed below, there have been no other material changes to our risk factors during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Automotive

A drop in the market share and changes in product mix offered by Federal-Mogul's customers can impact Federal-Mogul's revenues.

Federal-Mogul is dependent on the continued growth, viability and financial stability of Federal-Mogul's customers. Federal-Mogul's customers generally are OEMs in the automotive industry. This industry is subject to rapid technological change, vigorous competition, short product life cycles and cyclical and reduced consumer demand patterns. When Federal-Mogul's customers are adversely affected by these factors, it may be similarly affected to the extent that its customers reduce the volume of orders for its products. As a result of changes impacting Federal-Mogul's customers, including shifts in regional growth, shifts in OEM sales demand, and shifts in consumer demand related to vehicle segment purchases and content penetration, sales mix can shift, which may have either favorable or unfavorable impact on revenue. For instance, a shift in sales demand favoring a particular OEM's vehicle model for which Federal-Mogul does not have a supply contract may negatively impact its revenue. A shift in regional sales demand toward certain markets could favorably impact the sales of those of Federal-Mogul's customers that have a large market share in those regions, which in turn would be expected to have a favorable impact on its revenue. In 2012, sales in Europe shifted away from diesel-powered vehicles, which adversely affected our Automotive segment's operations.

The mix of vehicle offerings by Federal-Mogul's OEM customers also impacts its sales. A decrease in consumer demand for specific types of vehicles where Federal-Mogul has traditionally provided significant content could have a significant effect on its business and financial condition. Federal-Mogul's sales of products in the regions in which its customers operate is also dependent upon the success of those customers in those regions.

Escalating price pressures from customers may adversely affect Federal-Mogul's business.

Downward pricing pressures by automotive manufacturers is a characteristic of the automotive industry. Virtually all automakers have implemented aggressive price reduction initiatives and objectives with their suppliers, and such actions are expected to continue in the future. In addition, accurately estimating the impact of such pressures is difficult because any price reductions are a result of negotiations and other factors. Accordingly, suppliers must be able to reduce their operating costs in order to maintain profitability. If Federal-Mogul is unable to offset customer price reductions in the future through improved operating efficiencies, new manufacturing processes, sourcing alternatives and other cost reduction initiatives, our Automotive segment's results of operations and financial condition will likely be adversely affected.

Employee strikes and labor-related disruptions involving Federal-Mogul or one or more of Federal-Mogul's customers or suppliers may adversely affect its operations.

Federal-Mogul's business is labor-intensive and many of its employees are represented by unions or work councils. A strike or other form of significant work disruption by Federal-Mogul's employees would likely have an adverse effect on its ability to operate its business. A labor dispute involving Federal-Mogul or one or more of its customers or suppliers or that could otherwise affect its operations could reduce its sales and harm its profitability. A labor dispute involving another supplier to Federal-Mogul's customers that results in a slowdown or a closure of those customers' assembly plants where its products are included in the assembled parts or vehicles could also adversely affect our Automotive segment's business and harm its profitability. In addition, Federal-Mogul's inability or the inability of any of its customers, its suppliers or its customers' suppliers to negotiate an extension of a collective bargaining agreement upon its expiration could reduce Federal-Mogul's sales and harm its profitability. Significant increases in labor costs as a result of the renegotiation of collective bargaining agreements could also adversely affect our Automotive segment's business and harm its profitability.

Federal-Mogul may not be successful in its efforts to identify, complete or integrate acquisitions, and it may pursue acquisitions or joint ventures that involve inherent risks, any of which may cause it not to realize anticipated benefits and adversely affect our Automotive segment's results of operations.

In the past, Federal-Mogul has grown through acquisitions, and may engage in acquisitions in the future as part of Federal-Mogul's sustainable global profitable growth strategy. The full benefits of these acquisitions, however, require integration of manufacturing, administrative, financial, sales, and marketing approaches and personnel. If Federal-Mogul is unable to successfully integrate its acquisitions, it may not realize the benefits of the acquisitions, the financial results may be negatively affected, or additional cash may be required to integrate such operations.

In the future, Federal-Mogul may not be able to successfully identify suitable acquisition or joint venture opportunities or complete any particular acquisition, combination, joint venture or other transaction on acceptable terms. Federal-Mogul's identification of suitable acquisition candidates and joint venture opportunities and the integration of acquired business operations involve risks inherent in assessing the values, strengths, weaknesses, risks and profitability of these opportunities. This includes the effects on Federal-Mogul's business, the potential overvaluation of acquisition candidates, diversion of management's attention and risks associated with unanticipated problems or unforeseen liabilities. Moreover, any acquisition may require significant financial resources that would otherwise be used for the ongoing development of Federal-Mogul's business or require it to incur or assume additional indebtedness, resulting in increased leverage. Even if Federal-Mogul identifies suitable acquisition candidates, there may be competition from buyers when trying to acquire these candidates or Federal-Mogul may not be able to successfully negotiate the terms of any definitive agreements. Accordingly, there can be no assurances that Federal-Mogul will be able to acquire such candidates at reasonable prices, on favorable terms or at all.

Federal-Mogul's failure to identify suitable acquisition or joint venture opportunities may restrict Federal-Mogul's ability to grow its business. If Federal-Mogul is successful in pursuing future acquisitions or joint ventures, Federal-Mogul may be required to expend significant funds, incur additional debt and/or issue additional securities (potentially resulting in dilution to existing stockholders), which may materially adversely affect results of operations. If Federal-Mogul spends significant funds or incurs additional debt, Federal-Mogul's ability to obtain financing for working capital or other purposes could decline and Federal-Mogul may be more vulnerable to economic downturns and competitive pressures. Even if Federal-Mogul overcomes these challenges and risks, it may not realize the anticipated benefits of these acquisitions and there may be other unanticipated or unidentified effects. While Federal-Mogul would typically seek protection through representations and warranties and indemnities, as applicable, significant liabilities may not be identified in due diligence or may come to light only after the expiration of any indemnity periods.

Furthermore, the difficulties of integration may be increased by the necessity of coordinating geographically dispersed organizations, integrating personnel with disparate business backgrounds and combining different corporate cultures. These difficulties could be further increased to the extent Federal-Mogul pursues acquisition or joint venture opportunities internationally. Federal-Mogul may not be effective in retaining key employees or customers of the combined businesses. Federal-Mogul may face integration issues pertaining to the internal controls and operations functions of the acquired companies and also may not realize cost efficiencies or synergies that were anticipated when selecting the acquisition candidates. Federal-Mogul may experience managerial or other conflicts with its joint venture partners. Any of these items could adversely affect our Automotive segment's results of operations.

Federal-Mogul may not recognize anticipated benefits from any strategic divestiture of portions of our business.

Federal-Mogul evaluates potential divestiture opportunities with respect to portions of our business from time to time, and may determine to proceed with a divestiture opportunity if and when Federal-Mogul believes such opportunity is consistent with its business strategy and it would be able to realize value for its stockholders in so doing. Federal-Mogul has in the past sold (including its recent sale of F-M Sintertech), and may from time to time in the future sell, one or more portions, or all of its business. Any divestiture or disposition could expose Federal-Mogul to significant risks, including, without limitation, fees for legal and transaction-related services, diversion of management resources, loss of key personnel and reduction in revenue. Further, Federal-Mogul may be required to retain or indemnify a buyer against certain liabilities and obligations in connection with any such divestiture, and it may also become subject to third-party claims arising out of such divestiture. In addition, Federal-Mogul may not achieve the expected price in a divestiture transaction.

If a divestiture does occur, Federal-Mogul cannot be certain that its business, operating results and financial condition will not be adversely affected. A successful divestiture depends on various factors, including Federal-Mogul's ability to:

- Effectively transfer liabilities, contracts, facilities and employees to any purchaser;
- Identify and separate the assets (including intangible assets) to be divested from those that it wishes to retain;
- · Reduce fixed costs previously associated with the divested assets or business; and
- Collect the proceeds from any divestitures.

If Federal-Mogul does not realize the expected benefits or synergies of any divestiture transaction, it could adversely affect its financial condition and results of operations.

Federal-Mogul's substantial pension obligations and other post-employment benefits could adversely impact our Automotive segment's operating margins and cash flows.

The automotive industry, like other industries, continues to be impacted by the rising cost of providing pension and other post-employment benefits. Federal-Mogul has substantial pension and other post-employment benefit obligations. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our Automotive segment had net periodic benefit costs of \$6 million and \$23 million for United States and non-United States pension plans, respectively, and a net periodic benefit credit related to other post-employment benefits of \$30 million, inclusive of \$38 million curtailment gains. In addition, Federal-Mogul sponsors certain defined benefit plans worldwide that are underfunded and will require cash payments. As of December 31, 2012, our Automotive segment had unfunded pension obligations of approximately \$520 million and \$419 million, for United States and non-United States pension plans, respectively. If the performance of the assets in the pension plans does not meet Federal-Mogul's expectations, or other actuarial assumptions are modified, Federal-Mogul's required contributions may be higher than it expects. Federal-Mogul's pension and other post-employment benefit obligations could adversely impact our Automotive segment's operating margins and cash flows.

Federal-Mogul may be subject to the pension liabilities of other members of Mr. Icahn's control group which could have a materially adverse effect on Federal-Mogul.

As a result of the more than 80% ownership interest in Federal-Mogul by Mr. Icahn's affiliates, Federal-Mogul and its subsidiaries are subject to the pension liabilities of all entities in which Mr. Icahn has a direct or indirect ownership interest of at least 80%. As members of the controlled group, Federal-Mogul would be liable for any failure of other group companies to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon a termination of those pension plans. In addition, other entities now or in the future within the controlled group in which Federal-Mogul is included may have pension plan obligations that are, or may become, underfunded and it would be liable for any failure of such entities to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon termination of such plans. Any such liabilities could have a materially adverse effect on our Automotive segment's business, financial condition and results of operations. The current underfunded status of other group pension plans requires those companies to notify the PBGC of certain "reportable events," such as if Federal-Mogul ceases to be a member of the controlled group, or if it makes certain extraordinary dividends or stock redemptions. The obligation to report could cause Federal-Mogul to seek to delay or reconsider the occurrence of such reportable events.

Railcar

The New ARL has significant amount of indebtedness, which could adversely affect its business, financial condition and results of operations.

The New ARL is significantly leveraged. As a result of an investment in the New ARL, effective on October 2, 2013, the New ARL's total indebtedness is approximately \$1.3 billion, consisting of borrowings under its credit facilities, term loans and bond securitizations. The New ARL's significant indebtedness could materially impact our Railcar segment's operations, and could place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our less leveraged competitors. It may be difficult for the New ARL to satisfy its repayment and other obligations with respect to such indebtedness, and it may not be able to refinance its existing indebtedness as it matures. Significant indebtedness may also increase the New ARL's vulnerability to adverse general economic, industry or competitive developments or conditions and limit its flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in its business and the industry in which it operates. The New ARL may be limited in its ability to raise additional capital or obtain additional financing to fund its operations, capital expenditures or other growth initiatives, and other general corporate requirements and may be required to dedicate a significant portion of our cash flow from operations to interest and principal payments on its indebtedness. The New ARL is also exposed to the risk of increased interest rates as certain of its borrowings are subject to variable rates of interest. As a consequence of the New ARL's level of indebtedness, a significant portion of its cash flow from operations may be dedicated to debt service requirements. In addition, the terms of the New ARL's various credit agreements may contain certain covenants, which if the New ARL fails to comply, may trigger an event of default, whereby the lender could accelerate the repayment of such debt. We cannot assure you that the New ARL would be able to renegotiate, refinance, restructure or otherwise obtain the necessary funds to satisfy its indebtedness.

Changes in the credit markets and the financial services industry could adversely affect our Railcar segment's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The credit markets and the financial services industry continue to experience volatility which may result in tighter availability of credit on more restrictive terms. This could adversely affect our Railcar segment's liquidity and financial condition if its ability to borrow money to finance operations, obtain credit from trade creditors, offer leasing products to its customers or sell railcar assets to other lessors were to be impaired. In addition, our Railcar segment could also be adversely

affected by its customers' ability to purchase or pay for products from it or its suppliers' ability to provide it with product, either of which could negatively affect our Railcar segment's operations.

A significant increase in the number of tank cars requiring compliance-based maintenance could negatively impact operations and substantially increase costs.

Our Railcar segment performs a variety of maintenance programs on its full-service tank railcars based upon their service time that are mandated by government regulations and industry rules. New government regulations or industry rules are enacted from time to time, which may affect the number and type of procedures required to be performed. These compliance programs are cyclical in nature and as a result, our Railcar segment can face significant increases in the volume of tank railcars requiring extensive maintenance in any given year. A significant increase in the number of tank cars requiring maintenance may negatively impact our Railcar segment's operations and substantially increase maintenance and other related costs.

Our Railcar segment's assets may become obsolete.

In addition to changes in laws, rules and regulations that may make assets obsolete, our Railcar segment's operations may be adversely impacted by changes in the preferred method used by their customers to ship their products, changes in demand for particular products, or by a shift by customers toward purchasing assets rather than leasing them from our Railcar segment. The industries in which our Railcar segment's customers operate are driven by dynamic market forces and trends, which are in turn influenced by economic and political factors in the United States and abroad. Demand for our Railcar segment's railcars may be significantly affected by changes in the markets in which their customers operate. A significant reduction in customer demand for transportation or manufacture of a particular product or change in the preferred method of transportation used by customers to ship their products could result in the economic obsolescence of our Railcar segment's assets leased by those customers.

Our Railcar segment may incur future asset impairment charges.

Our Railcar operations regularly review long-lived assets investments for impairment, including when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of an asset or investment may not be recoverable. Our Railcar segment may be required to recognize asset impairment charges in the future as a result of a weak economic environment, challenging market conditions, events related to particular customers or asset types, or as a result of asset or portfolio sale decisions by management or other factors that affect our Railcar segment's estimates of expected cash flows to be generated from its long-lived assets.

Our Railcar segment may be subject to various types of litigation in the future.

The nature of Railcar segment's businesses and assets expose it to the potential for claims and litigation related to personal injury and property damage, environmental claims and various other matters. Certain of our Railcar segment's railcars may be used by customers to transport hazardous materials, and a rupture of a railcar carrying such materials in an accident could lead to litigation and subject our Railcar segment to the potential for significant liability. Our Railcar segment's failure to maintain railcars in compliance with governmental regulations and industry rules could expose it to personal injury, property damage and environmental claims. A substantial adverse judgment against our Railcar operations could have a material adverse effect on our Railcar segment's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Our Railcar segment could be liable for physical damage or product liability claims that exceed our insurance coverage.

The nature of our Railcar operations' business subjects us to physical damage and product liability claims, especially in connection with the repair and manufacture of products that carry hazardous or volatile materials. Although we maintain liability insurance coverage at commercially reasonable levels, an unusually large physical damage or product liability claim or a series of claims based on a failure repeated throughout our production process could exceed our insurance coverage or result in damage to our reputation.

Our Railcar segment could be unable to procure adequate insurance on a cost-effective basis in the future.

Our Railcar segment manages its exposure to risk, in part, by insuring its assets and their associated risks. There is no guarantee that such insurance will be consistently available on a cost-effective basis in the future. If the cost of insurance coverage becomes prohibitively expensive, our Railcar segment could be forced to reduce the amount of coverage and increase the amount of its self-insured risk retention.

Any failure by our Railcar segment to comply with regulations imposed by federal and foreign agencies could negatively affect Railcar segment's financial results.

Our Railcar segment's operations and the industry it serves, including its customers, are subject to extensive regulation by governmental, regulatory and industry authorities and by federal and foreign agencies. These organizations establish rules and regulations for the railcar industry, including construction specifications and standards for the design and manufacture of railcars; mechanical, maintenance and related standards; and railroad safety. New regulatory rulings and regulations from these

entities could impact our Railcar segment's financial results, demand for our Railcar segment's products and the economic value of our Railcar segment's assets. In addition, if our Railcar segment fails to comply with the requirements and regulations of these entities, our Railcar segment could face sanctions and penalties that could negatively affect our Railcar segment' financial results.

Metals

PSC Metals' business is subject to extensive environmental regulation and risk.

As disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, PSC Metals is subject to comprehensive local, state and federal statutory and regulatory environmental requirements. PSC Metals believes that it is currently in material compliance with applicable statutes and regulations governing the protection of human health and the environment, including employee health and safety. We can give no assurance that PSC Metals will continue to be in material compliance or avoid material fines, penalties and expenses associated with compliance issues in the future. In that regard, on April 3, 2013, two citizens groups filed a citizen suit under the Clean Water Act (the "CWA") for alleged storm water and process water discharges at PSC Metals' Nashville, Tennessee facility that the citizens groups allege violate the CWA and PSC Metals' storm water discharge permit. The CWA requires that in order to maintain a citizen suit, the citizen plaintiff must be able to show that the violations are on-going or are reasonably likely to reoccur. PSC Metals believes, based on its investigation to date, that the citizen plaintiffs cannot meet this burden. Based on reviewing the nature and extent of the allegations, PSC Metals currently cannot reasonably provide an estimate of range of loss. PSC Metals received a subpoena from the Department of Justice regarding information for a criminal investigation. PSC is currently investigating this matter and responding to the subpoena. PSC has not discovered any facts that would, in its opinion, give rise to further proceedings.

Other

We carry significant goodwill on our consolidated balance sheets, which is subject to impairment testing and could subject us to significant non-cash charges to earnings if impairment occurs.

As of September 30, 2013, we had a goodwill balance of approximately \$2.1 billion, of which approximately \$1.1 billion relates to our Automotive segment and approximately \$0.9 billion relates to our Energy segment. Goodwill is not amortized, but is tested for impairment annually or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of an operating segment's reporting unit below its carrying amount. Factors that could indicate that an operating segment's goodwill is impaired include, but are not limited to, whether the operating segment's fair value, as measured by its market capitalization, has remained below its net book value for a significant period of time, lower than projected operating results and cash flows, and significant industry deterioration in key geographic regions. If impairment is determined to exist, it may result in a significant non-cash charge to earnings.

We may be subject to the pension liabilities of our affiliates.

Mr. Icahn, through certain affiliates, owns 100% of Icahn Enterprises GP and approximately 89.4% of Icahn Enterprises' outstanding depositary units as of September 30, 2013. Applicable pension and tax laws make each member of a "controlled group" of entities, generally defined as entities in which there is at least an 80% common ownership interest, jointly and severally liable for certain pension plan obligations of any member of the controlled group. These pension obligations include ongoing contributions to fund the plan, as well as liability for any unfunded liabilities that may exist at the time the plan is terminated. In addition, the failure to pay these pension obligations when due may result in the creation of liens in favor of the pension plan or the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC"), against the assets of each member of the controlled group. As a result of the more than 80% ownership interest in us by Mr. Icahn's affiliates, we and our subsidiaries are subject to the pension liabilities of all entities in which Mr. Icahn has a direct or indirect ownership interest of at least 80%. Two such entities, ACF Industries LLC and Federal-Mogul, are the sponsors of several pension plans. All the minimum funding requirements of the Code and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended by the Pension Protection Act of 2006, for these plans have been met as of September 30, 2013. If the plans were voluntarily terminated, they would be underfunded by approximately \$703 million. These liabilities could increase or decrease, depending on a number of factors, including future changes in benefits, investment returns, and the assumptions used to calculate the liabilities upon a termination of their pension plans. These results are based on the most recent information provided by the plans' actuaries. These liabilities could increase or decrease, depending on a number of factors, including future changes in benefits, investment returns, and the assumptions used to calculate the liability.

As members of the controlled group, we would be liable for any failure of ACF and Federal-Mogul to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon a termination of their pension plans. In addition, other entities now or in the future within the controlled group that includes us may have pension plan obligations that are, or may become, underfunded and we would be liable for any failure of such entities to make ongoing pension contributions or to pay the unfunded liabilities upon a termination of such plans. The current underfunded status of the pension plans of ACF and Federal-

Mogul requires them to notify the PBGC of certain "reportable events," such as if we cease to be a member of the ACF or Federal-Mogul controlled group, or if we make certain extraordinary dividends or stock redemptions. The obligation to report could cause us to seek to delay or reconsider the occurrence of such reportable events. Starfire Holding Corporation ("Starfire") which is 99.4% owned by Mr. Icahn, has undertaken to indemnify us and our subsidiaries from losses resulting from any imposition of certain pension funding or termination liabilities that may be imposed on us and our subsidiaries or our assets as a result of being a member of the Icahn controlled group. The Starfire indemnity (which does not extend to pension liabilities of our subsidiaries that would be imposed on us as a result of our interest in these subsidiaries and not as a result of Mr. Icahn and his affiliates more than 80% ownership interest in us. Thus, Starfire would not indemnify us for the unfunded pension termination liability for Federal-Mogul) provides, among other things, that so long as such contingent liabilities exist and could be imposed on us, Starfire will not make any distributions to its stockholders that would reduce its net worth to below \$250 million. Nonetheless, Starfire may not be able to fund its indemnification obligations to us.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit No.	Description
15.1	Letter of Grant Thornton LLP regarding unaudited interim financial information.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.3	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.4	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.3	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.4	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
Exhibit 101 ⁽¹⁾	The following financial information from Icahn Enterprises' and Icahn Enterprises Holdings' Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) includes: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012,(iv) the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 and (vi) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

⁽¹⁾ Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Icahn Enterprises L.P.

Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., its

By: general partner

By: /s/SungHwan Cho

SungHwan Cho

Chief Financial Officer and Director

Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., its

By: general partner

By: /s/Peter Reck

Peter Reck

Chief Accounting Officer

Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., its

By: general partner

By: /s/SungHwan Cho

SungHwan Cho

Chief Financial Officer and Director

Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., its

By: general partner

By: /s/Peter Reck

Peter Reck

Chief Accounting Officer

To the Partners of

Icahn Enterprises L.P.

We have reviewed, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the unaudited consolidated interim financial statements of Icahn Enterprises L.P. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2013, and for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, as indicated in our report dated November 4, 2013; because we did not perform an audit, we expressed no opinion on that information.

We are aware that our report referred to above, which is included in your Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 is incorporated by reference in Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-188360).

We also are aware that the aforementioned report, pursuant to Rule 436(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, is not considered a part of the Registration Statement prepared or certified by an accountant within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of that Act.

/s/Grant Thornton LLP

New York, New York November 4, 2013

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

I, Daniel A. Ninivaggi, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Icahn Enterprises L.P. for the period ended September 30, 2013;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in the report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/Daniel A. Ninivaggi

Daniel A. Ninivaggi

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

- I, SungHwan Cho, certify that:
 - 1. 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Icahn Enterprises L.P. for the period ended September 30, 2013;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in the report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/SungHwan Cho

SungHwan Cho

Chief Financial Officer and Director of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

I, Daniel A. Ninivaggi, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. for the period ended September 30, 2013;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in the report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/Daniel A. Ninivaggi

Daniel A. Ninivaggi

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

- I, SungHwan Cho, certify that:
 - 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. for the period ended September 30, 2013;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in the report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/SungHwan Cho

SungHwan Cho

Chief Financial Officer and Director of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rules 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

- I, Daniel A. Ninivaggi, President and Chief Executive Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P., certify that, to the best of my knowledge, based upon a review of the Icahn Enterprises L.P. quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2013:
 - (1) The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
 - (2) The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

/s/Daniel A. Ninivaggi

Daniel A. Ninivaggi

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rules 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

- I, SungHwan Cho, Chief Financial Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P., certify that, to the best of my knowledge, based upon a review of the Icahn Enterprises L.P. quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2013:
 - (1) The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
 - (2) The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

/s/SungHwan Cho

SungHwan Cho

Chief Financial Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises L.P.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rules 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

- I, Daniel A. Ninivaggi, President and Chief Executive Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., certify that, to the best of my knowledge, based upon a review of the Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2013:
 - (1) The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
 - (2) The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

/s/Daniel A. Ninivaggi

Daniel A. Ninivaggi

President, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350) and Rules 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

- I, SungHwan Cho, Chief Financial Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P., certify that, to the best of my knowledge, based upon a review of the Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P. quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2013:
 - (1) The report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
 - (2) The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

/s/SungHwan Cho

SungHwan Cho

Chief Financial Officer of Icahn Enterprises G.P. Inc., the general partner of Icahn Enterprises Holdings L.P.